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## FEASIBILITY STUDY INTRODUCTION

This Feasibility Study, completed by El Associates, as commissioned by the Board of School Directors, is intended as a tool in evaluating the Bedford Area School District's current and future facility needs and expenditures.

The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania requires that School Districts complete a Feasibility Study of all facilities owned by the School District as part of receiving State reimbursement for a PlanCon project. The study must provide an appraisal of the ability of existing schools to meet current and planned educational programs and space needs including an analysis of projected enrollment. The Districtwide feasibility study requirements are outlined on the following pages.

This study has been compiled using data gathered at recent meetings with District Administrators. Visits to the buildings have been conducted to evaluate their compliance with Department of Education Standards; International Building Codes; Pennsylvania Department of Labor and Industry Standards; National Plumbing and Electrical Codes; and the American Disability Act Accessibility Standards. The Feasibility Study began with a tour of each existing building to evaluate its size, age, condition, suitability as an educational facility and potential for upgrading or expansion. Discussions took place with the School District, following the building tours, to confirm current and projected building usage and school programs, also to explore possible future changes in program and developments that might affect the study.

The following topics are covered within the study:

- An overview of the Bedford Area School District that considers such factors as geography, population, and wealth. Distinguishing characteristics that will have an impact on Bedford Area School District's facilities are identified such as geographically separate population centers.
- An analysis of Bedford Area School District's projected enrollment, including population projection charts 10 years into the future for grade groupings $\mathrm{K}-5 ; 6-8,9-12$; and $\mathrm{K}-12$.
- An overview of Bedford Area School District's educational program that highlights special facility needs, including curriculums that would require special design features.
- An analysis of each building's capacity as it relates to the educational program.
- Existing educational trends, future technologies, and future learning strategies/activities are considered as part of this evaluation as criteria to judge a facility and to determine its long-range usefulness as a school.
- An analysis of each building's physical condition includes the following: Current building codes, PA Department of Education Standards, energy conservation measures, and the America Disability Act Accessibility Standards (ADA). The analysis is divided into at least seven major facility components: Site; Exterior of Building; Interior of Building; Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning; Plumbing; Electrical; and Code Evaluation; as well as applicable components including Security, IT and Communications, and Educational Upgrades.
- An analysis of construction options, including cost estimates, and a summary depicting buildings, options, and costs.


# Pennsylvania Department of Education: District-Wide Facility Study Guidelines 

"District-Wide Facility Study Guidelines", which are based on the Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE) PlanCon-A instructions are outlined below.

Basic Education Circular (BEC) 24 P.S. § 7-733, "School Construction Reimbursement Criteria," explains the requirement for school building district-wide facility studies as a condition for reimbursement.

> School districts must develop a complete building facility study of all district educational facilities including the district administration office. The study must be completed prior to, and within two years of, the date of the PlanCon Part A, Project Justification, submission. The study must provide an appraisal as to each facility's ability to meet current and planned educational program requirements, the degree to which the present facilities meet reasonably current construction standards, and an estimated cost of necessary repairs and improvements. Facility studies must contain documentation regarding the authors' credentials for producing the document.

The Department no longer requires the entire facility study to be submitted. In liew of the study, Page A03, District-Wide Facility Study Certification, must be submitted. The Department of Education, however, reserves the right to request a copy of the entire district-wide facility study. Completion of a district-wide facility study is a prerequisite to submission of Part A. If the date of the district-wide facility study is more than 2 years old, an addendum which addresses each of the individual elements required for a study must be completed.

Before the Commonwealth will consider a building project for reimburement, school districts must demonstrate that they have evaluated all of their facilities. The purpose of the district-wide facility study is to develop a plan for addressing the entire school district's facility needs. The study must consider how well each building lends itself to the district's current and planned educational program, both in terms of the building's design (e.g., arrangement, number, layout and size of various spaces relative to current and projected enrollment) and structure (e.g., soundness, compliance with codes, access, environmental conditions). When the study indicates some inadequacy or deficiency, it must provide an estimate of the cost to correct the problem.

It is important to remember that PlanCon is designed as an administrative tool with the primary purpose of documenting planning and determining subsidy. It contains assumptions that may not apply to a particular school district. PlanCon, for instance, computes full time equivalent elementary capacity based on the assumption of 25 students per room. Secondary capacity presumes a 90 percent utilization rate. Capacity for special education rooms is calculated only for reimbursement purposes. It is important that facility studies provide a clear explanation of methodoligies used to dertermine such things as capacity and enrollment.

## Pennsylvania Department of Education: District-Wide Facility Study Guidelines (con't)

## District-wide facility studies must contain all of the following elements and include answers to all the of questions asked:

An overview of the school district that considers such factors as geography, population, and wealth. Are there any distinguishing characteristics that will have an impact on facilities such as geographically separate population centers?

An overview of the school district's educational program that highlights any special facility needs. Are there instructional practices or planned curriculums that will require special design features?

An analysis of projected enrollment. What is the likely enrollment for each grade structure? Are projections five to ten years into the future reasonable and reliable? Is there a predictable growth potential in certain areas of the district? It is not sufficient to base construction plans on PDE's "current enrollment plus 10 percent." The " 10 percent rule" is to be used solely for reimbursement.

An analysis of each building's capacity as it relates to the educational program. One must ask not only how many students can a building house, but if each building provides the types of educational spaces dictated by the educational program. Factors such as the length of the school day, number of classes per day, grade alignments, and size of particular rooms and adequacy of those rooms will affect capacity.

An analysis of each building's physical condition. What is the condition and projected useful life of each building's major components (heating, HVAC, plumbing, etc.)? Are there code violations? Is the building energy efficient? What will it cost to upgrade each building to current standards?

An analysis of construction options. What choices does the district have considering the above analysis? What are the pros and cons of each alternative?

Cost estimates for each option.

A summary depicting buildings, options, and costs.
Documentation regarding the authors' credentials. What education, registration or licensure and experience qualify the authors to perform the study?
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## DISTRICT OVERVIEW

## DISTRICT OVERVIEW INTRODUCTION

This section of the Feasibility Study is an overview of the Bedford Area School District that considers such factors as geography, population, and wealth. Distinguishing characteristics that will have an impact on Bedford Area School District's facilities are identified such as geographically separate population centers.

The topics covered in this section of the Feasibility Study include:

- A summary of School District Buildings.
- Geography / Geographic Population Centers including data and respective maps.
- Population / Population Density / Population Distribution by Land Use including data and respective maps.
- Housing Characteristics including the years housing units were built and the years that the householder moved into the housing units.
- Economic Characteristics including Income and Occupation data.
- General Population Characteristics.


## DISTRICT OVERVIEW SUMMARY

## School District Buildings

The Elementary School program (grades K-5) consists of the Bedford Elementary School and the Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School.

The Secondary School program consists of the Bedford Middle School (grades 6-8), the Hyndman Middle/Senior High School (grades 6-12), and the Bedford High School (grades 9-12) with District Administration Offices.

## Geography / Geographic Population Centers

The Bedford Area School District is located in the Central and Southwestern sections of Bedford County, Pennsylvania; and includes the municipalities of Bedford Borough, Bedford Township, Colerain Township, Cumberland Valley Township, Harrison Township, Hyndman Borough, Londonderry Township, Manns Choice Borough, Rainsburg Borough, and Snake Spring Township.

The School District presently operates two geographically separate campus sites. The K-5 Bedford Elementary School is located in Bedford; also the 6-8 Bedford Middle School and the 9-12 Bedford High School with District Administration Offices share a campus site located in Bedford. The K-5 Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School and the 6-12 Hyndman Middle/Senior High School share a campus site located in Hyndman.

## Population / Population Density / Population Distribution by Land Use

The School District Population age percentages based on the 2000 U.S. Census: $5 \%$ of residents are Pre-school age children 0 to 4 years; 16\% of residents are School age children 5 to 17 years; $59 \%$ of residents are Adults age 18 to 64 years; and 20\% of residents are Adults age 65+ years.

The School District serves an approximate population of 16,890 residents within 292.46 square miles, and is primarily a rural agricultural area. The approximate average Population Density of the School District is 58 persons per square mile, while the Household Average Density is 27 households per square mile.

The majority of the School District's population lives in Rural Non-Farm areas. The School District is approximately $73.0 \%$ Rural, with $70.2 \%$ of the population classified as Rural Non-Farm, and $2.8 \%$ of the population classified as Rural Farm. The remaining $27 \%$ of the School District's population is classified as Urban.

## DISTRICT OVERVIEW SUMMARY

## Housing Characteristics

The Years that Housing Structures (all occupied and unoccupied units) were built in the School District are as follows: $31.6 \%$ were built 1939 or Earlier; $18.6 \%$ were built between 1940 to 1959; $26.4 \%$ were built between 1960 to 1979; 10.5\% were built between 1980 to $1989 ; 5.7 \%$ were built between 1990 to 1994; and 7.2\% were built between 1995 to March 2000.

The Years that the Householder moved into the Housing Unit (total occupied housing units) in the School District are as follows: 18.9\% in 1969 or Earlier; 13.9\% between 1970 to 1979; 17.2\% between 1980 to 1989; 14.6\% between 1990 to 1994; 23.4\% between 1995 to 1998; 12.0\% between 1999 to March 2000.

## Economic Characteristics

Economic data based on the 2000 U.S. Census for the School District: $\$ 32,238$ was the Median Household Income; $\$ 37,829$ was the Median Family Income; \$16,040 was the Per Capita Income; $6.4 \%$ of Families were Below Poverty Level; 9.0\% of the Population were Below Poverty Level; $\$ 78,290$ was the Median House Value.

The Occupation data of employed civilian population age 16 years and over for the School District: 26.6\% Management \& Professional; 13.4\% Service Occupations; 24.3\% Sales \& Office; 0.9\% Farming, Fishing \& Forestry; 10.2\% Construction, Extraction \& Maintenance; 24.6\% Production, Transportation \& Material Moving.

## General Population Characteristics

Total population of the School District: 48.8\% Male and 51.2\% Female.
Total Population over 25 years of age: $80.0 \%$ have a High School Degree or higher; 13.0\% have a Bachelor Degree or higher.

Total Population over 16 years of age: $58.4 \%$ are in the Labor Force; $54.9 \%$ commute to work; Mean travel time to work is 26.2 minutes.

The racial makeup of the School District in 2000 was $98.1 \%$ White, 0.6\% African American, 0.1\% Native American, $0.4 \%$ Asian, $0.0 \%$ Pacific Islander, $0.2 \%$ Other Races, and $0.6 \%$ from two or more races. Hispanic or Latino of any race were $0.6 \%$ of the population.

## DISTRICT OVERVIEW

## School District Buildings

The Elementary School program (grades K-5) consists of the Bedford Elementary School and the Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School.

The Secondary School program consists of the Bedford Middle School (grades 6-8), the Hyndman Middle/Senior High School (grades 6-12), and the Bedford High School (grades 9-12) with District Administration Offices.

Table 1 profiles the School District Buildings. Refer to Map 1 for a geographic illustration of the School District.

| TABLE 1 <br> Bedford Area SD Buildings | Grade Levels | 2007-08 <br> Student Enrollment | Site <br> Size <br> Acres | Construction / Renovation Dates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford Elementary | K-5 | 843 | 21 | 1996 |
| Hyndman-Londonderry Elem. | K-5 | 190 | 44.2* | 1958; 1989 A |
| Bedford Middle School | 6-8 | 460 | 30** | 1978 |
| Hyndman Middle/Senior H. S. | 6-12 | 220 | 44.2* | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1951; } 1976 \text { A; } 2002 \text { A; } \\ 2006 \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Bedford High School with District Administration Offices | 9-12 | 613 | 30** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1888; } 1927 \text { A; } 1934 \text { A; } \\ & 1946 \text { A; } 1954 \text { A; } 1996 \text { A } \end{aligned}$ |

* shared site; ** shared site


## School Board of Directors

The Board of School Directors is made up of nine members. The nine directors are elected from the District's residents as a whole. Elections are held in alternate years in accordance with law. Director's terms last four years. The Superintendent is the chief administrative officer of the School District, with overall responsibility for all aspects of operations, including education, finance and facility planning. The Business Administrator is responsible for budget and financial operations. Both of these officials are selected by the Board of School Directors.

## Geographic Population Centers

The School District presently operates two geographically separate campus sites. The K-5 Bedford Elementary School is located in Bedford; also the 6-8 Bedford Middle School and the 9-12 Bedford High School with District Administration Offices share a campus site located in Bedford. The K-5 Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School and the 6-12 Hyndman Middle/Senior High School share a campus site located in Hyndman.

## Bedford Area School District - District Map

Map 1 illustrates the Bedford Area School District. Map source is the Bedford County Comprehensive Plan.

## Bedford Campus

A Bedford Elementary School

B Bedford Middle School

C Bedford High School with District Administration Offices

## Hyndman Campus

D HyndmanLondonderry Elementary School

E Hyndman Middle/Senior High School

$\square$
$\square$ HYNDMAN CAMPUS ATTENDANCE AREA

## DISTRICT OVERVIEW

## Geography

The Bedford Area School District is located in the Central and Southwestern sections of Bedford County, Pennsylvania The School District stretches South to the Pennsylvania-Maryland border. Refer to Maps 1 and 2 for geographic illustrations.

The Bedford Area School District includes the municipalities of Bedford Borough, Bedford Township, Colerain Township, Cumberland Valley Township, Harrison Township, Hyndman Borough, Londonderry Township, Manns Choice Borough, Rainsburg Borough, and Snake Spring Township.

The School District is bounded on the North by South Woodbury Township; on the South by the Pennsylvania-Maryland border; on the East by Hopewell Township, West Providence Township, Monroe Township, and Southampton Township; and on the West by East St. Clair Township, Napier Township and Juniata Township.

The Buffalo Mountain ridge runs in a North-South direction bisecting the School District between Londonerry Township and Cumberland Valley Township.

Several main arteries traverse the School District including Interstate Route 76 (the Pennsylvania Turnpike) and Interstate Route 70 traveling in East-West directions; Interstate Route 99 / U.S. Route 220 (Bedford Valley Road) traveling an a North-South direction; U.S. Route 30 (Lincoln Highway); also PA Route 56, PA Route 96 ( Hyndman Road), and PA Route 326.

## Bedford County School Districts - County Map

Map 2 illustrates the School Districts located in Bedford County. Map source is the Bedford County Comprehensive Plan.

$\square$ BEDFORD AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT
NORTHERN BEDFORD SCHOOL DISTRICT

$\square$
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CHESTNUT RIDGE SCHOOL DISTRICT

## DISTRICT OVERVIEW

## Population

The School District Population age percentages based on the 2000 U.S. Census: 5\% of residents are Pre-school age children 0 to 4 years; 16\% of residents are School age children 5 to 17 years; $59 \%$ of residents are Adults age 18 to 64 years; and $20 \%$ of residents are Adults age 65+ years.

Table 2 profiles the School District population and percentages by age groupings. The Data is based on the 2000 U.S. Census.

| TABLE 2 <br> Population | Number of <br> Residents | Percentage of <br> Residents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-school children 0 to 4 years | 911 | $5 \%$ |
| School age children 5 to 17 years | 2,703 | $16 \%$ |
| Adults 18 to 64 years | 9,917 | $59 \%$ |
| Adults 65+ years | 3,359 | $20 \%$ |
| School District Total | $\mathbf{1 6 , 8 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

## Population Density

The School District serves an approximate population of 16,890 residents within 292.46 square miles, and is primarily a rural agricultural area. The approximate average Population Density of the School District is 58 persons per square mile, while the Household Average Density is 27 households per square mile.

Table 3 profiles the population density of each municipality. The Data is based on the 2000 U.S. Census. Refer to Map 3 for a graphic illustration of the Bedford County Population Density.

| TABLE 3 <br> Population <br> Density | Total <br> Area <br> sq. mi. | Number <br> of <br> Residents | Number <br> of <br> Households | No. of <br> Housing <br> Units | Population <br> Density <br> per sq. mi. | Household <br> Avg. Density <br> per sq. mi. |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bedford Borough | 1.07 | 3,141 | 1,536 | 1,640 | $2,938.3$ | $1,436.9$ |
| Bedford Township | 68.60 | 5,417 | 2,144 | 2,403 | 79.0 | 31.3 |
| Colerain Township | 42.60 | 1,147 | 435 | 483 | 26.9 | 10.2 |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 60.50 | 1,494 | 596 | 710 | 24.7 | 9.9 |
| Harrison Township | 38.00 | 1,007 | 385 | 600 | 26.5 | 10.1 |
| Manns Choice Borough | 0.54 | 291 | 116 | 124 | 543.9 | 216.8 |
| Rainsburg Borough | 0.16 | 146 | 57 | 66 | 924.1 | 360.8 |
| Snake Spring Twp | 25.90 | 1,482 | 552 | 652 | 57.2 | 21.3 |
| Hyndman Borough | 0.70 | 1,005 | 413 | 448 | $1,446.0$ | 594.2 |
| Londonderry Twp | 54.40 | 1,760 | 685 | 799 | 32.4 | 12.6 |
| School District Total | $\mathbf{2 9 2 . 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 8 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 9 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 9 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |

## Bedford County Population Density - County Map

Map 3 illustrates the Bedford County Population per square mile. Map source is the Bedford County Comprehensive Plan.


## DISTRICT OVERVIEW

## Population Distribution by Rural and Urban Land Use

The majority of the School District's population lives in Rural Non-Farm areas.
The School District is approximately $73.0 \%$ Rural, with $70.2 \%$ of the population classified as Rural Non-Farm, and $2.8 \%$ of the population classified as Rural Farm. The remaining $27 \%$ of the District's population is classified as Urban.

Table 4 profiles the Number and Percentage totals of existing land use in the following catagories: Urban and Rural including Farm and Non-Farm for each Municipality that comprise the Bedford Area School District. The Data is based on the Bedford County Comprehensive Plan. Refer to Map 4 for a graphic illustration of the Bedford County Existing Land Use. Definitions of Urban and Rural are listed below.

| TABLE 4 <br> Urban \& Rural Population Land Use | Total <br> Area sq. mi. | Total <br> Popul. | Urban |  | Rural |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Farm |  | Non-Farm |  |
|  |  |  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Bedford Borough | 1.1 | 3,141 | 3,141 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Bedford Township | 68.6 | 5,417 | 1,368 | 25.3\% | 146 | 2.7\% | 3,903 | 2.7\% |
| Colerain Township | 42.6 | 1,142 | 0 | 0.0\% | 123 | 10.8\% | 1,019 | 10.8\% |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 60.5 | 1,494 | 0 | 0.0\% | 34 | 2.3\% | 1,460 | 2.3\% |
| Harrison Township | 38.0 | 989 | 0 | 0.0\% | 56 | 5.7\% | 933 | 5.7\% |
| Manns Choice Borough | 0.5 | 309 | 0 | 0.0\% | 14 | 4.5\% | 295 | 4.5\% |
| Rainsburg Borough | 0.2 | 151 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 151 | 0.0\% |
| Snake Spring Twp | 25.9 | 1,482 | 31 | 2.1\% | 44 | 3.0\% | 1,407 | 3.0\% |
| Hyndman Borough | 0.7 | 990 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 990 | 0.0\% |
| Londonderry Twp | 54.4 | 1,775 | 17 | 1.0\% | 63 | 3.5\% | 1,695 | 3.5\% |
| School District Total | 292.5 | 16,890 | 4,557 | 27.0\% | 480 | 2.8\% | 11,853 | 70.2\% |

The 2000 U.S. Census introduced a new definition of Urban. The U.S. Census Bureau classifies as urban all territory, population, and housing units located within urbanized areas (UAs) and urban clusters (UCs). An urbanized area (UA) consists of densely settled territory that contains 50,000 or more people. An urban cluster (UC) consists of densely settled territory that has at least 2,500 people but fewer than 50,000 people.

Urban delineates UA and UC boundaries to encompass densely settled territory, which generally consists of: A cluster of one or more block groups or census blocks each of which has a population density of at least 1,000 people per square mile at the time; and Surrounding block groups and census blocks each of which has a population density of at least 500 people per square mile at the time; and Less densely settled blocks that form enclaves or indentations, or are used to connect discontiguous areas with qualifying densities.
"Rural consists of all territory, population, and housing units located outside of UAs and UCs.

## Bedford County Existing Land Use - County Map

Map 4 illustrates the Existing Land Use in Bedford County. Map and information source is the Bedford County Comprehensive Plan. Bedford County is approximately 1071.07 square miles in area or $685,482.85$ acres in size of which only $7.26 \%$ was developed in 2000 . The land use categories and approximate percentages of Bedford County's total land area are listed below.


## DISTRICT OVERVIEW

## Housing Characteristics

Tables 5-6 profile the Housing Characteristics of each Municipality that comprise the Bedford Area School District. The Data is based on the 2000 U.S. Census.

Table 5 profiles the Year that Housing Structures were built in the School District and by each Municipality. The data represents Total Housing Units including occupied and unoccupied units.

| TABLE 5 <br> Year <br> Structure Built | 1939 <br> or <br> Earlier | 1940 <br> to <br> 1959 | 1960 <br> to <br> 1979 | 1980 <br> to <br> 1989 | 1990 <br> to <br> 1994 | 1995 <br> to <br> March 2000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bedford Borough | 759 | 380 | 356 | 44 | 26 | 75 |
| Bedford Township | 520 | 505 | 630 | 342 | 200 | 206 |
| Colerain Township | 171 | 43 | 114 | 75 | 38 | 42 |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 170 | 165 | 208 | 73 | 35 | 59 |
| Harrison Township | 182 | 90 | 153 | 59 | 43 | 69 |
| Manns Choice Borough | 69 | 24 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 9 |
| Rainsburg Borough | 41 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Snake Spring Twp | 121 | 109 | 248 | 78 | 44 | 52 |
| Hyndman Borough | 249 | 31 | 88 | 42 | 24 | 10 |
| Londonderry Twp | 223 | 120 | 264 | 115 | 36 | 45 |
| School District Total | $\mathbf{2 , 5 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 7}$ |
| School District \% Total | $\mathbf{3 1 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 2 \%}$ |

Table 6 profiles the Year that the Householder moved into the Housing Unit in the School District and by each Municipality. The data represents Total Occupied Housing Units.

| TABLE 6 <br> Year Householder <br> Moved into Unit | 1969 <br> or <br> Earlier | 1970 <br> to <br> 1979 | 1980 <br> to <br> 1989 | 1990 <br> to <br> 1994 | 1995 <br> to <br> 1998 | 1999 <br> to <br> March 2000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bedford Borough | 216 | 163 | 172 | 177 | 490 | 318 |
| Bedford Township | 402 | 325 | 349 | 364 | 508 | 196 |
| Colerain Township | 78 | 59 | 102 | 68 | 80 | 50 |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 127 | 75 | 127 | 86 | 124 | 57 |
| Harrison Township | 70 | 43 | 65 | 75 | 88 | 41 |
| Manns Choice Borough | 20 | 12 | 22 | 17 | 31 | 17 |
| Rainsburg Borough | 19 | 12 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 |
| Snake Spring Twp | 112 | 101 | 119 | 64 | 102 | 54 |
| Hyndman Borough | 87 | 70 | 77 | 71 | 67 | 37 |
| Londonderry Twp | 180 | 103 | 150 | 88 | 121 | 47 |
| School District Total | $\mathbf{1 , 3 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 7}$ |
| School District \% Total\|| | $\mathbf{1 8 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0 \%}$ |

## DISTRICT OVERVIEW

## Economic Characteristics

Tables 7-8 profile the Economic Characteristics of each Municipality that comprise the Bedford Area School District. The Data is based on the 2000 U.S. Census.

Table 7 profiles Median Household Income, Median Family Income, Per Capita Income, the percentage of Families and Individuals below the Poverty Level, also the Median House Value.

| TABLE 7 <br> Economic <br> Characteristics | Median Household Income | Median Family Income | Per Capita Income | \% Below Poverty Level |  | Median House Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Family | Population |  |
| Bedford Borough | \$28,549 | \$39,122 | \$18,028 | 8.5\% | 11.9\% | \$90,000 |
| Bedford Township | \$36,395 | \$43,235 | \$18,676 | 5.3\% | 6.5\% | \$99,200 |
| Colerain Township | \$34,911 | \$40,875 | \$18,149 | 7.3\% | 11.0\% | \$84,800 |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | \$35,268 | \$40,060 | \$17,768 | 6.7\% | 9.8\% | \$76,500 |
| Harrison Township | \$35,000 | \$37,552 | \$16,182 | 3.7\% | 7.3\% | \$77,100 |
| Manns Choice Borough | \$31,500 | \$36,042 | \$13,533 | 6.7\% | 8.8\% | \$65,000 |
| Rainsburg Borough | \$25,278 | \$31,875 | \$11,448 | 5.1\% | 7.6\% | \$63,100 |
| Snake Spring Twp | \$36,389 | \$38,289 | \$16,801 | 4.4\% | 6.3\% | \$96,700 |
| Hyndman Borough | \$27,700 | \$34,792 | \$15,865 | 7.7\% | 10.5\% | \$54,800 |
| Londonderry Twp | \$31,389 | \$36,445 | \$13,951 | 9.0\% | 10.2\% | \$75,700 |
| Average Total | \$32,238 | \$37,829 | \$16,040 | 6.4\% | 9.0\% | \$78,290 |

Table 8 profiles the Occupation of employed civilian population age 16 years and over.

| TABLE 8 <br> Occupation | Managemt. <br> $\&$ <br> Professional | Service <br> Occupations | Sales <br> $\&$ <br> Office | Farming, <br>  <br> Forestry | Construct., <br>  <br> Mainten. | Production, <br>  <br> Mat'l Moving |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford Borough | 536 | 187 | 346 | 5 | 98 | 291 |
| Bedford Township | 693 | 241 | 729 | 23 | 220 | 541 |
| Colerain Township | 133 | 65 | 97 | 12 | 76 | 152 |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 170 | 78 | 128 | 10 | 105 | 160 |
| Harrison Township | 89 | 63 | 95 | 6 | 36 | 133 |
| Manns Choice Borough | 22 | 32 | 22 | 2 | 24 | 49 |
| Rainsburg Borough | 12 | 9 | 16 | 0 | 7 | 21 |
| Snake Spring Twp | 165 | 133 | 151 | 2 | 68 | 171 |
| Hyndman Borough | 54 | 74 | 105 | 4 | 49 | 103 |
| Londonderry Twp | 141 | 134 | 156 | 7 | 91 | 246 |
| School District Total | $\mathbf{2 , 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 6 7}$ |
| School District \% Total | $\mathbf{2 6 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 6 \%}$ |

## DISTRICT OVERVIEW

## Population Characteristics

The following data profiles Population Characteristics of each Municipality that comprise the Bedford Area School District. The Data is based on the 2000 U.S. Census.

Total population: |  | 48.8\% Male |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $51.2 \%$ Female |

Population over 25 years of age: $80.0 \%$ have a High School Degree or higher 13.0\% have a Bachelor Degree or higher

Population over 16 years of age: $\quad 58.4 \%$ are in the Labor Force
$54.9 \%$ commute to work
Mean travel time to work is 26.2 minutes

The racial makeup of the School District in 2000 was $98.1 \%$ White, 0.6\% African American, 0.1\% Native American, 0.4\% Asian, 0.0\% Pacific Islander, $0.2 \%$ Other Races, and $0.6 \%$ from two or more races. Hispanic or Latino of any race were $0.6 \%$ of the population.

Table 9 profiles the General Characteristics of each Municipality based on the 2000 U.S. Census.

| TABLE 9 General Characteristics | Total <br> Popul. | Male <br> Popul. | Female Popul. | 25+ ' 1 'y' 1 Degree or Higher | 25+ <br> Bachelor Degree or Higher | Popul. 16+ in Labor Force | Popul. 16+ <br> Travel to Work | Mean <br> Travel Time to Work |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford Borough | 3,141 | 1,403 | 1,738 | 1,959 | 495 | 1,513 | 1,438 | 16.9 |
| Bedford Township | 5,417 | 2,693 | 2,724 | 3,152 | 501 | 2,564 | 2,436 | 19.7 |
| Colerain Township | 1,142 | 576 | 571 | 641 | 74 | 559 | 532 | 25.9 |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 1,494 | 773 | 721 | 846 | 119 | 704 | 639 | 32.4 |
| Harrison Township | 989 | 515 | 492 | 534 | 115 | 439 | 406 | 27.3 |
| Manns Choice Borough | 309 | 134 | 157 | 149 | 8 | 160 | 148 | 23.8 |
| Rainsburg Borough | 151 | 69 | 77 | 76 | 11 | 69 | 65 | 27.0 |
| Snake Spring Twp | 1,482 | 715 | 767 | 870 | 138 | 717 | 685 | 23.6 |
| Hyndman Borough | 990 | 477 | 528 | 522 | 38 | 412 | 377 | 36.7 |
| Londonderry Twp | 1,775 | 890 | 870 | 940 | 71 | 842 | 766 | 28.9 |
| School District Total | 16,890 | 8,245 | 8,645 | 9,689 | 1,570 | 7,979 | 7,492 | 26.2 |
| School Dist. \% Total | 100\% | 48.8\% | 51.2\% | 80.0\% | 13.0\% | 58.4\% | 54.9\% |  |

## DEMOGRAPHICS

## DEMOGRAPHIC EXPLORATION INTRODUCTION

This section of the Feasibility Study is divided into two parts. Part A explores demographic data for the General Population and the resulting effects on the Student Population of the Bedford Area School District including: Population Information; Household Information; Housing Unit Developments; and Live Birth Data. Part B explores demographic data that focuses on the Student Population of the School District including: Projected Student Enrollment Data; Building Capacity Data; Student Enrollment vs. Building Capacity Data; as well as Educational Program Information.

Demographic projections are the basis for making decisions concerning the establishment of facilities, both existing and new. Recognizing that the intent of a school district's physical plan is to house students for the purpose of education, appropriate criteria must be used to determine those projections. Student enrollment projections for this study were supplied by the Department of Education, the School District, and El Associates. This data also was used to generate future building requirements.

The Projected Student Enrollment Tables show the student enrollment projections by grade level, by grade grouping, and by year. Future student enrollment has been computed from known live births and interpolated, where necessary, using the cohort survival methodology. The cohort survival method has a record of reliability in relatively stable districts (what has occurred in the past will, to a large extent, continue to occur). However, changes can occur in birth trends, in-migration patterns, internal policies, economic climate, zoning and land use controls, infrastructure considerations, and interest rates that may affect projections. Thus, influencing factors must be monitored and analyzed every year by the school district. Significant changes, therefore, can be quickly identified and appropriate adjustments made.

It is not only the number of students that affects the capability of adequate facilities. The educational program also must be analyzed. Other factors that may affect the ability of the existing facilities to meet the needs of the educational program are:

1. Full-day Kindergarten and Pre-Kindergarten
2. Grade groupings to remain
3. Future trends in special education
4. Trends in technology-based education
5. Desired classroom size as noted in study

## General and Student Population

## Population

The following data, based on the 1990 and 2000 U.S. Census, illustrates a net increase in the Total Population as well as Adults age 18-64 years and Adults ages 65+ years; and a net decrease in Preschool age children 0-4 years and School age children 5-17 years.

## Households

The following data, based on the 1990 and 2000 U.S. Census, illustrates a net increase in the Total Housing Units as well as Occupied Housing Units, Owner Occupied Units, and Renter Occupied Units; and a net decrease in Vacant Housing Units and Persons Per Household.

The overall Total Housing Units shows an increase of 403 units or $5.36 \%$ from 1990 to 2000; and an increase of 469 units or $5.92 \%$ from 2000 to 2007.

## Housing Unit Developments

There is the potential availability of land for development within the School District. Available Data for Housing Unit Developments collected from the municipalities and other sources illustrates a modest amount of planned development within the School District.

## Live Birth Data

The Live Birth Data, based on information from the Pennsylvania Department of Education, illustrates a net decrease in the number of children entering Kindergarten and First Grade compared to the number of Births. When comparing Live Births to current PDE projections, inmigration occurs in Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth and Ninth grades.

## Students not included in Enrollment Projections

In 2007-2008, a Total of 199 students or 9\% of students are not attending District Schools. 76 students attend private schools; 23 students are special needs and special education students placed outside the District; 10 are Residentail Facility/Treatment students; 49 are home schooled students; and 41 are Charter / Cyber School students.

## General and Student Population

## Students per Household

In 2000, there were 2,703 School age children residing in the School District with 2,357 children or $87 \%$ attending the Bedford Area School District and 346 children or $13 \%$ not attending the District Schools.

In 2000, the percentage of School age children per Total Housing Units was 0.34 , while the percentage of Students per Total Housing Units attending the Bedford Area School District was 0.30.

Based on current available housing data, the percentage of Students per Total Housing Units attending the Bedford Area School District for the 2007-08 School year was 0.28.

## Data Summary

While there has been an increase in both the Total Population and Total Housing Units, the number of Persons per Household has decreased. The increase in population is occurring in residents age 1864 and 65+ years, indicating that while the district is experiencing growth, it is also experiencing an aging population.

There is a modest potential for population growth within the School District by both new Housing Unit Developments and the current vacant housing units.

## Assumptions

Available Data for Housing Unit Developments collected from the municipalities and other sources illustrates approximately 240 potential new Housing Units. There also are approximately 1,006 Vacant Housing Units. Given the current rate of 0.28 students per household attending the District Schools, this would equate to an additional 68 students in new Housing Units. If half of the Vacant Housing Units also are occupied, then given the current rate of 0.28 students per household attending the District Schools, this would equate to an additional 142 students in the Vacant Housing Units.

## Ten year Assumption

Given the assumption that the timeframe for the additional students is ten years that would equate to approximately 210 students / 10 years. Therefore, an additional 21 students per year would attend the District Schools.

## Five year Assumption

Given the assumption that the timeframe for the additional students is five years that would equate to approximately 210 students / 5 years. Therefore, an additional 42 students per year would attend the District Schools.

## Population Information

Tables 10-16 profile the Population of each Municipality that comprise the Bedford Area School District. The Data is based on the U.S. Census. The Tables illustrate a net increase in the Total Population as well as Adults age 18-64 years and Adults ages 65+ years. The Tables illustrate a net decrease in Pre-school age children 0-4 years and School age children 5-17 years. The 2000 Census data indicates that the median age is 42.4 .

Table 10 profiles data from the 1990 Census and Table 11 profiles data from the 2000 Census. The Tables profile Total Population as well as various age groupings including: Pre-school age children $0-4$ years; School age children 5-17 years; Adults age 18-64 years; and Adults age 65+ years.

| TABLE 10 <br> 1990 U.S. Census | Total <br> Population | Age <br> $0-4 ~ Y r s . ~$ | Age <br> 5-17 Yrs. | Age <br> 18-64 Yrs. | Age <br> $65+$ Yrs. |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bedford Borough | 3,137 | 146 | 451 | 1,805 | 735 |  |
| Bedford Township | 4,945 | 296 | 864 | 2,993 | 792 |  |
| Colerain Township | 1,058 | 74 | 219 | 632 | 133 |  |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 1,473 | 78 | 288 | 870 | 237 |  |
| Harrison Township | 967 | 57 | 171 | 548 | 191 |  |
| Manns Choice Borough | 249 | 14 | 42 | 150 | 43 | 44 |
| Rainsburg Borough | 175 | 11 | 32 | 88 | 290 |  |
| Snake Spring Twp | 1,511 | 79 | 253 | 889 | 202 |  |
| Hyndman Borough | 1,019 | 51 | 167 | 599 | 259 |  |
| Londonderry Twp | 1,893 | 122 | 349 | 1,163 | 259 | $\mathbf{2 , 9 2 6}$ |
| School District Total | $\mathbf{1 6 , 4 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 7 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ |  |
| School Dist. \% Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ |  |


| TABLE 11 <br> 2000 U.S. Census | Total <br> Population | Age <br> 0-4 Yrs. | Age <br> 5-17 Yrs. | Age <br> 18-64 Yrs. | Age <br> 65+ Yrs. | Median <br> Age |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bedford Borough | 3,141 | 155 | 437 | 1,834 | 715 | 43.4 |
| Bedford Township | 5,417 | 288 | 865 | 3,183 | 1,081 | 41.3 |
| Colerain Township | 1,147 | 62 | 192 | 707 | 186 | 41.4 |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 1,494 | 70 | 275 | 906 | 243 | 40.8 |
| Harrison Township | 1,007 | 61 | 181 | 569 | 196 | 40.9 |
| Manns Choice Borough | 291 | 18 | 64 | 170 | 39 | 36.4 |
| Rainsburg Borough | 146 | 4 | 23 | 73 | 46 | 54.0 |
| Snake Spring Twp | 1,482 | 76 | 204 | 852 | 350 | 44.8 |
| Hyndman Borough | 1,005 | 65 | 178 | 547 | 215 | 40.6 |
| Londonderry Twp | 1,760 | 112 | 284 | 1,076 | 288 | 40.2 |
| School District Total | $\mathbf{1 6 , 8 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 9 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 4}$ |
| School Dist. \% Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ |  |

## Population Information

Table 12 profiles the Total population of each municipality for the Census years 1990 and 2000 as well as Population Estimates for the year 2006. (Data Source: U.S. Census) The overall Total Population shows an increase of 463 persons or $2.8 \%$ from 1990 to 2000; and a projected decrease of 58 persons or $0.3 \%$ from 2000 to 2006.

| TABLE 12 <br> Total <br> Population1990 <br> Actual <br> Total <br> Popul. | 2000 <br> Actual <br> Total <br> Popul. | Value <br> Change <br> 1990 to <br> 2000 | \% <br> Change <br> 1990 to <br> 2000 | 2006 <br> Estim. <br> Total <br> Popul. | Value <br> Change <br> 2000 to <br> 2006 | \% <br> Change <br> 2000 <br> to <br> 2006 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bedford Borough | 3,137 | 3,141 | 4 | $0.1 \%$ | 3,028 | -113 | $-3.6 \%$ |
| Bedford Township | 4,945 | 5,417 | 472 | $9.5 \%$ | 5,389 | -28 | $-0.5 \%$ |
| Colerain Township | 1,058 | 1,147 | 89 | $8.4 \%$ | 1,179 | 32 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 1,473 | 1,494 | 21 | $1.4 \%$ | 1,508 | 14 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Harrison Township | 967 | 1,007 | 40 | $4.1 \%$ | 1,012 | 5 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Manns Choice Borough | 249 | 291 | 42 | $16.9 \%$ | 281 | -10 | $-3.4 \%$ |
| Rainsburg Borough | 175 | 146 | -29 | $-16.6 \%$ | 140 | -6 | $-4.1 \%$ |
| Snake Spring Twp | 1,511 | 1,482 | -29 | $-1.9 \%$ | 1,569 | 87 | $5.9 \%$ |
| Hyndman Borough | 1,019 | 1,005 | -14 | $-1.4 \%$ | 967 | -38 | $-3.8 \%$ |
| Londonderry Twp | 1,893 | 1,760 | -133 | $-7.0 \%$ | 1,759 | -1 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| School Dist.Total | $\mathbf{1 6 , 4 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 8 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 8 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 3 \%}$ |

TABLE 12 - CHART A


## Population Information

Table 13 profiles the Pre-school age children 0-4 years population of each municipality. Bedford Borough, Harrison Township, Hyndman Borough, and Manns Choice Borough show an increase in 04 years population; while Bedford Township, Colerain Township, Cumberland Valley Township, Londonderry Township, Rainsburg Borough and Snake Spring Township show a decrease in 0-4 years population. The overall 0-4 years population shows a decrease of 17 children or $1.83 \%$.

| TABLE 13 <br> Age 0-4 Years | 1990 <br> $0-4$ Years | 2000 <br> $0-4 ~ Y e a r s ~$ | Value <br> Change | $\%$ <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford Borough | 146 | 155 | 9 | $6.16 \%$ |
| Bedford Township | 296 | 288 | -8 | $-2.70 \%$ |
| Colerain Township | 74 | 62 | -12 | $-16.22 \%$ |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 78 | 70 | -8 | $-10.26 \%$ |
| Harrison Township | 57 | 61 | 4 | $7.02 \%$ |
| Manns Choice Borough | 14 | 18 | 4 | $28.57 \%$ |
| Rainsburg Borough | 11 | 4 | -7 | $-63.64 \%$ |
| Snake Spring Twp | 79 | 76 | -3 | $-3.80 \%$ |
| Hyndman Borough | 51 | 65 | 14 | $27.45 \%$ |
| Londonderry Twp | 122 | 112 | -10 | $-8.20 \%$ |
| School District Total | $\mathbf{9 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 . 8 3 \%}$ |

Table 14 profiles the School age children $5-17$ years population of each municipality. Bedford Township, Harrison Township, Hyndman Borough, and Manns Choice Borough show an increase in 5 17 years population; while Bedford Borough, Colerain Township, Cumberland Valley Township, Londonderry Township, Rainsburg Borough, and Snake Spring Township show a decrease in 5-17 years population. The overall 5-17 years population shows a decrease of 133 children or $4.69 \%$.

| TABLE 14 <br> Age 5-17 Years | 1990 <br> 5-17 Years | 2000 <br> 5-17 Years | Value <br> Change | \% <br> Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bedford Borough | 451 | 437 | -14 | $-3.10 \%$ |
| Bedford Township | 864 | 865 | 1 | $0.12 \%$ |
| Colerain Township | 219 | 192 | -27 | $-12.33 \%$ |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 288 | 275 | -13 | $-4.51 \%$ |
| Harrison Township | 171 | 181 | 10 | $5.85 \%$ |
| Manns Choice Borough | 42 | 64 | 22 | $52.38 \%$ |
| Rainsburg Borough | 32 | 23 | -9 | $-28.13 \%$ |
| Snake Spring Twp | 253 | 204 | -49 | $-19.37 \%$ |
| Hyndman Borough | 167 | 178 | 11 | $6.59 \%$ |
| Londonderry Twp | 349 | 284 | -65 | $-18.62 \%$ |
| School District Total | $\mathbf{2 , 8 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 4 . 6 9 \%}$ |

## Population Information

Table 15 profiles the Adults age 18-64 years population of each municipality. Bedford Borough, Bedford Township, Colerain Township, Cumberland Valley Township, Harrison Township, and Manns Choice Borough show an increase in 18-64 years population; while Hyndman Borough, Londonderry Township, Rainsburg Borough, and Snake Spring Township show a decrease in 18-64 years population. The overall 18-64 years population shows an increase of 180 Adults or $1.85 \%$.

| TABLE 15 <br> Age 18-64 Years | 1990 <br> 18-64 Years | 2000 <br> 18-64 Years | Value <br> Change | $\%$ <br> Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford Borough | 1,805 | 1,834 | 29 | $1.61 \%$ |
| Bedford Township | 2,993 | 3,183 | 190 | $6.35 \%$ |
| Colerain Township | 632 | 707 | 75 | $11.87 \%$ |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 870 | 906 | 36 | $4.14 \%$ |
| Harrison Township | 548 | 569 | 21 | $3.83 \%$ |
| Manns Choice Borough | 150 | 170 | 20 | $13.33 \%$ |
| Rainsburg Borough | 88 | 73 | -15 | $-17.05 \%$ |
| Snake Spring Twp | 889 | 852 | -37 | $-4.16 \%$ |
| Hyndman Borough | 599 | 547 | -52 | $-8.68 \%$ |
| Londonderry Twp | $\mathbf{1 , 1 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 6}$ | -87 | $-7.48 \%$ |
| School District Total | $\mathbf{9 , 7 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 9 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 5 \%}$ |

Table 16 profiles the Adults age 65+ years population of each municipality. Bedford Township, Colerain Township, Cumberland Valley Township, Harrison Township, Hyndman Borough, Londonderry Township, Rainsburg Borough, and Snake Spring Township show an increase in 65+ years population; while Bedford Borough and Manns Choice Borough shows a decrease in 65+ years population. The overall 65+ years population shows an increase of 433 Adults or 14.80\%.

| TABLE 16 <br> Age 65+ Years | 1990 <br> $65+$ Years | 2000 <br> $65+$ Years | Value <br> Change | $\%$ <br> Change |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bedford Borough | 735 | 715 | -20 | $-2.72 \%$ |
| Bedford Township | 792 | 1,081 | 289 | $36.49 \%$ |
| Colerain Township | 133 | 186 | 53 | $39.85 \%$ |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 237 | 243 | 6 | $2.53 \%$ |
| Harrison Township | 191 | 196 | 5 | $2.62 \%$ |
| Manns Choice Borough | 43 | 39 | -4 | $-9.30 \%$ |
| Rainsburg Borough | 44 | 46 | 2 | $4.55 \%$ |
| Snake Spring Twp | 290 | 350 | 60 | $20.69 \%$ |
| Hyndman Borough | 202 | 215 | 13 | $6.44 \%$ |
| Londonderry Twp | 259 | 288 | 29 | $11.20 \%$ |
| School District Total | $\mathbf{2 , 9 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 8 0 \%}$ |

## Household Information

Tables 17-24 profile the Household data of each Municipality that comprise the Bedford Area School District. The Data is based on the U.S. Census. The Tables illustrate a net increase in the Total Housing Units as well as Occupied Housing Units, Owner Occupied Units, and Renter Occupied Units. The Tables illustrate a net decrease in Vacant Housing Units and Persons Per Household.

Table 17 profiles data from the 1990 Census and Table 18 profiles data from the 2000 Census. The Tables profile the Total Housing Units and Occupied Housing Units, as well as Owner Occupied Units, Renter Occupied Units, Vacant Housing Units and Persons Per Household.

| TABLE 17 <br> Housing Units <br> 1990 U.S. Census | Total <br> Housing <br> Units | Occupied <br> Housing <br> Units | Owner <br> Occupied <br> Units | Renter <br> Occupied <br> Units | Vacant <br> Housing <br> Units | Persons <br> Per <br> Household |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bedford Borough | 1,579 | 1,461 | 818 | 643 | 118 | 2.11 |
| Bedford Township | 2,292 | 1,887 | 1,547 | 340 | 405 | 2.58 |
| Colerain Township | 419 | 366 | 313 | 53 | 53 | 2.88 |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 630 | 541 | 472 | 69 | 89 | 2.72 |
| Harrison Township | 560 | 354 | 288 | 66 | 206 | 2.64 |
| Manns Choice Borough | 117 | 100 | 81 | 19 | 17 | 2.49 |
| Rainsburg Borough | 64 | 58 | 46 | 12 | 6 | 2.71 |
| Snake Spring Twp | 630 | 506 | 425 | 81 | 124 | 2.73 |
| Hyndman Borough | 445 | 427 | 319 | 108 | 18 | 2.39 |
| Londonderry Twp | 786 | 698 | 592 | 106 | 88 | 2.71 |
| School District Total | $\mathbf{7 , 5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 9 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 0}$ |


| TABLE 18 <br> Housing Units <br> 2000 U.S. Census | Total <br> Housing <br> Units | Occupied <br> Housing <br> Units | Owner <br> Occupied <br> Units | Renter <br> Occupied <br> Units | Vacant <br> Housing <br> Units | Persons <br> Per <br> Household |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bedford Borough | 1,640 | 1,536 | 798 | 738 | 104 | 2.04 |
| Bedford Township | 2,403 | 2,144 | 1,781 | 363 | 259 | 2.43 |
| Colerain Township | 483 | 435 | 372 | 63 | 48 | 2.64 |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 710 | 596 | 520 | 76 | 114 | 2.51 |
| Harrison Township | 600 | 385 | 325 | 60 | 215 | 2.55 |
| Manns Choice Borough | 124 | 116 | 92 | 24 | 8 | 2.51 |
| Rainsburg Borough | 66 | 57 | 45 | 12 | 9 | 2.23 |
| Snake Spring Twp | 652 | 552 | 493 | 59 | 100 | 2.49 |
| Hyndman Borough | 448 | 413 | 328 | 85 | 35 | 2.43 |
| Londonderry Twp | 799 | 685 | 585 | 100 | 114 | 2.55 |
| School District Total | $\mathbf{7 , 9 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 9 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 3 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 4}$ |

## Household Information

Table 19 profiles the Total Housing Units of each municipality for the Census years 1990 and 2000; as well as Housing Units built since 2000 by data collected from the municipalities. The overall Total Housing Units shows an increase of 403 units or $5.36 \%$ from 1990 to 2000; and an increase of 469 units or $5.92 \%$ from 2000 to 2007.

| TABLE 19 | 1990 <br> Total <br> Housing <br> Units | 2000 <br> Total <br> Housing <br> Units | Value <br> Change <br> 1990 to <br> 2000 | \% <br> Change <br> 1990 to <br> 2000 | 2007 <br> Total <br> Housing <br> Units | Value <br> Change <br> 2000 to <br> 2007 | \% <br> Change <br> 2000 to <br> 2007 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bedford Borough ${ }^{*}$ | 1,579 | 1,640 | 61 | $3.86 \%$ | 1,640 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Bedford Township | 2,292 | 2,403 | 111 | $4.84 \%$ | 2,587 | 184 | $7.66 \%$ |
| Colerain Township | 419 | 483 | 64 | $15.27 \%$ | 533 | 50 | $10.35 \%$ |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 630 | 710 | 80 | $12.70 \%$ | 762 | 52 | $7.32 \%$ |
| Harrison Township | 560 | 600 | 40 | $7.14 \%$ | 640 | 40 | $6.67 \%$ |
| Manns Choice Borough | 117 | 124 | 7 | $5.98 \%$ | 132 | 8 | $6.45 \%$ |
| Rainsburg Borough | 64 | 66 | 2 | $3.13 \%$ | 66 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Snake Spring Twp | 630 | 652 | 22 | $3.49 \%$ | 735 | 83 | $12.73 \%$ |
| Hyndman Borough | 445 | 448 | 3 | $0.67 \%$ | 456 | 8 | $1.79 \%$ |
| Londonderry Twp | 786 | 799 | 13 | $1.65 \%$ | 843 | 44 | $5.51 \%$ |
| School District Total | $\mathbf{7 , 5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 9 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 3 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 3 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 9 2 \%}$ |

* Housing information was not received from respective municipality.

TABLE 19 - CHART A


## Household Information

Table 20 profiles the Occupied Housing Units of each municipality. Bedford Borough, Bedford Township, Colerain Township, Cumberland Valley Township, Harrison Township, Manns Choice Borough and Snake Spring Township show an increase in occupied Housing Units; while Hyndman Borough, Londonderry Township and Rainsburg Borough show a decrease in Occupied Housing Units. The overall Occupied Housing Units shows an increase of 521 units or 8.14\%

| TABLE 20 <br> Occupied <br> Housing Units | 1990 <br> Occupied <br> Housing Units | 2000 <br> Occupied <br> Housing Units | Value <br> Change | $\%$ <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford Borough | 1,461 | 1,536 | 75 | $5.13 \%$ |
| Bedford Township | 1,887 | 2,144 | 257 | $13.62 \%$ |
| Colerain Township | 366 | 435 | 69 | $18.85 \%$ |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 541 | 596 | 55 | $10.17 \%$ |
| Harrison Township | 354 | 385 | 31 | $8.76 \%$ |
| Manns Choice Borough | 100 | 116 | 16 | $16.00 \%$ |
| Rainsburg Borough | 58 | 57 | -1 | $-1.72 \%$ |
| Snake Spring Twp | 506 | 552 | 46 | $9.09 \%$ |
| Hyndman Borough | 427 | 413 | -14 | $-3.28 \%$ |
| Londonderry Twp | 698 | 685 | -13 | $-1.86 \%$ |
| School District Total | $\mathbf{6 , 3 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 9 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 1 4 \%}$ |

Table 21 profiles the Owner Occupied Units of each municipality. Bedford Township, Colerain Township, Cumberland Valley Township, Harrison Township, Hyndman Borough, Manns Choice Borough and Snake Spring Township show an increase of Owner Occupied Units; while Bedford Borough, Londonderry Township and Rainsburg Borough show a decrease of Owner Occupied Units. The overall Owner Occupied Units shows an increase of 438 units or 8.94\%.

| TABLE 21 <br> Owner <br> Occupied Units | 1990 <br> Owner <br> Occupied Units | 2000 <br> Owner <br> Occupied Units | Value <br> Change | $\%$ <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford Borough | 818 | 798 | -20 | $-2.44 \%$ |
| Bedford Township | 1,547 | 1,781 | 234 | $15.13 \%$ |
| Colerain Township | 313 | 372 | 59 | $18.85 \%$ |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 472 | 520 | 48 | $10.17 \%$ |
| Harrison Township | 288 | 325 | 37 | $12.85 \%$ |
| Manns Choice Borough | 81 | 92 | 11 | $13.58 \%$ |
| Rainsburg Borough | 46 | 45 | -1 | $-2.17 \%$ |
| Snake Spring Twp | 425 | 493 | 68 | $16.00 \%$ |
| Hyndman Borough | 319 | 328 | 9 | $2.82 \%$ |
| Londonderry Twp | 592 | 585 | -7 | $-1.18 \%$ |
| School District Total | $\mathbf{4 , 9 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 3 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 9 4 \%}$ |

## Household Information

Table 22 profiles the Renter Occupied Units of each municipality. Bedford Borough, Bedford Township, Colerain Township, Cumberland Valley Township, and Manns Choice Borough show an increase of Renter Occupied Units; while Harrison Township, Hyndman Borough, Londonderry Township and Snake Spring Township show a decrease of Renter Occupied Units. The overall Renter Occupied Units shows an increase of 83 units or $5.54 \%$.

| TABLE 22 <br> Renter <br> Occupied Units | 1990 <br> Renter <br> Occupied Units | 2000 <br> Renter <br> Occupied Units | Value <br> Change | $\%$ <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford Borough | 643 | 738 | 95 | $14.77 \%$ |
| Bedford Township | 340 | 363 | 23 | $6.76 \%$ |
| Colerain Township | 53 | 63 | 10 | $18.87 \%$ |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 69 | 76 | 7 | $10.14 \%$ |
| Harrison Township | 66 | 60 | -6 | $-9.09 \%$ |
| Manns Choice Borough | 19 | 24 | 5 | $26.32 \%$ |
| Rainsburg Borough | 12 | 12 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Snake Spring Twp | 81 | 59 | -22 | $-27.16 \%$ |
| Hyndman Borough | 108 | 85 | -23 | $-21.30 \%$ |
| Londonderry Twp | 106 | 100 | -6 | $-5.66 \%$ |
| School District Total | $\mathbf{1 , 4 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 5 4 \%}$ |

Table 23 profiles the Vacant Housing Units of each municipality. Cumberland Valley Township, Harrison Township, Hyndman Borough, Londonderry Township, and Rainsburg Borough show an increase of Vacant Housing Units; while Bedford Borough, Bedford Township, Colerain Township, Manns Choice Borough, and Snake Spring Township show a decrease of Vacant Housing Units. The overall Vacant Housing Units shows a decrease of 118 units or 10.50\%.

| TABLE 23 <br> Vacant <br> Housing Units | 1990 <br> Vacant <br> Housing Units | 2000 <br> Vacant <br> Housing Units | Value <br> Change | \% <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bedford Borough | 118 | 104 | -14 | $-11.86 \%$ |
| Bedford Township | 405 | 259 | -146 | $-36.05 \%$ |
| Colerain Township | 53 | 48 | -5 | $-9.43 \%$ |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 89 | 114 | 25 | $28.09 \%$ |
| Harrison Township | 206 | 215 | 9 | $4.37 \%$ |
| Manns Choice Borough | 17 | 8 | -9 | $-52.94 \%$ |
| Rainsburg Borough | 6 | 9 | 3 | $50.00 \%$ |
| Snake Spring Twp | 124 | 100 | -24 | $-19.35 \%$ |
| Hyndman Borough | 18 | 35 | 17 | $94.44 \%$ |
| Londonderry Twp | 88 | 114 | 26 | $\mathbf{2 9 . 5 5 \%}$ |
| School District Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 . 5 0 \%}$ |

## Household Information

Table 24 profiles the Persons Per Household of each municipality. Hyndman Borough and Manns Choice Borough show an increase of Persons Per Household; while Bedford Borough, Bedford Township, Colerain Township, Cumberland Valley Township, Harrison Township, Londonderry Township, Rainsburg Borough and Snake Spring Township show a decrease of Persons Per Household. The Persons Per Household shows a decrease of 0.16 persons or $6.09 \%$.

| TABLE 24 <br> Persons <br> Per Household | 1990 <br> Persons <br> Per Household | 2000 <br> Persons <br> Per Household | Value <br> Change | $\%$ <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford Borough | 2.11 | 2.04 | -0.07 | $-3.32 \%$ |
| Bedford Township | 2.58 | 2.43 | -0.15 | $-5.81 \%$ |
| Colerain Township | 2.88 | 2.64 | -0.24 | $-8.33 \%$ |
| Cumberland Valley Twp | 2.72 | 2.51 | -0.21 | $-7.72 \%$ |
| Harrison Township | 2.64 | 2.55 | -0.09 | $-3.41 \%$ |
| Manns Choice Borough | 2.49 | 2.51 | 0.02 | $0.80 \%$ |
| Rainsburg Borough | 2.71 | 2.23 | -0.48 | $-17.71 \%$ |
| Snake Spring Twp | 2.73 | 2.49 | -0.24 | $-8.79 \%$ |
| Hyndman Borough | 2.39 | 2.43 | 0.04 | $1.67 \%$ |
| Londonderry Twp | 2.71 | 2.55 | -0.16 | $-5.90 \%$ |
| School District Total | $\mathbf{2 . 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 6 . 0 9 \%}$ |

## Housing Unit Developments

Tables 25-34 profile the approved and not approved Housing Unit Developments of each Municipality that comprise the Bedford Area School District. The Data is based on information obtained from the Municipalities.

| TABLE 25A | ubdivision Pla | Develo | ents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Development Name / Location | Type / Remarks | $\begin{array}{\|\|c\|} \hline \hline \text { Planned } \\ \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Units } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | No. Units Approved |  |  |
|  |  |  | Built | Under Constr. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Not } \\ \text { Constr. } \end{array}$ |
| Barkley Manor Development ** <br> ** Information obtained via Developer | Lots | 20 |  |  | 20 |
| TOTAL |  | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TABLE 25B Bedford Borough Not Approved Subdivision Plans / Developments |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Planned | No. U | nits App | roved |
| Development Name / Location | Type / Remarks | Total Units | Built | Under Constr | Not <br> Constr. |
| Barkley Manor Development ** <br> ** Information obtained via Developer | Lots | 4 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



## Housing Unit Developments

| TABLE 27A Colerain Township Approved Subdivision Plans / Developments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Development Name / Location | Type / Remarks | Planned | No. Units Approved |  |  |
|  |  | Total Units | Built | Under Constr. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Not} \\ \text { Constr. } \end{gathered}$ |
| None Approved |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TABLE 27B Colerain Township Not Approved Subdivision Plans / Developments |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Planned | No. | nits App | roved |
| Development Name / Location | Type / Remarks | Total Units | Built | Under Constr. | Not Constr |
| Richard Koontz | Single Family Homes | 4 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| TABLE 28 Cumberland Valley Twp Approved Subdivision Plans / Developments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Development Name / Location | Type / Remarks | Planned | No. Units Approved |  |  |
|  |  | Total Units | Built | Under Constr | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Constr. } \end{gathered}$ |
| None Planned |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| TABLE 29 Harrison Township Approved Subdivision Plans / Developments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Development Name / Location | Type / Remarks | Planned | No. Units Approved |  |  |
|  |  | Total Units | Built | Under Constr | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Constr. } \end{gathered}$ |
| None Planned |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

TABLE $30 \quad$ Manns Choice Borough Approved Subdivision Plans / Developments

| Development Name / Location | Type / Remarks | Planned | No. Units Approved |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Units | Built | Under Constr. | Not Constr. |
| None Planned |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Housing Unit Developments

| TABLE 31 Rainsburg Borough Approved Subdivision Plans / Developments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Development Name / Location | Type / Remarks | Planned | No. Units Approved |  |  |
|  |  | Total Units | Built | Under Constr | Not <br> Constr. |
| None Planned |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| TABLE 32 Snake Spring Twp Approved Subdivision Plans / Developments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Development Name / Location | Type / Remarks | Planned | No. Units Approved |  |  |
|  |  | Total Units | Built | Under Constr | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Constr. } \end{gathered}$ |
| Cove Park Acre / off Rte. 326 | Lots | 16 | 7 |  | 9 |
| Hawthorne Manor / <br> 1st Left off Upper Snake Spring Rd. (SR1003) | Lots | 40 | 32 |  | 8 |
| Juniata Mills / Lutxille Rd. (SR2019) | Lots | 75 | 33 |  | 42 |
| TOTAL |  | 131 | 72 | 0 | 59 |


| TABLE 33 Hyndman Borough Approved Subdivision Plans / Developments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Development Name / Location | Type / Remarks | Planned | No. Units Approved |  |  |
|  |  | Total Units | Built | Under Constr | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Constr. } \end{gathered}$ |
| None Planned |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| TABLE 34 Londonderry Township Approved Subdivision Plans / Developments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Development Name / Location | Type / Remarks | Planned | No. Units Approved |  |  |
|  |  | Total Units | Built | Under Constr. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Not } \\ \text { Constr. } \end{array}$ |
| None Planned |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Live Birth Data

Tables 35-37 profile Live Birth data for the Bedford Area School District. The Data is based on information from the Pennsylvania Department of Education. The Tables illustrate a net decrease in the number of children entering Kindergarten and First Grade compared to the number of Births. When comparing Live Births to current PDE projections, inmigration occurs in Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth and Ninth grades.

Table 35 profiles the number of Births from the years 1997 through the years 2011. The Live Birth data from years 2006-2011 are based on projections. The overall live birth data shows a steady projected rate in the number of live births.

Table 36 profiles the number of children entering Kindergarten from the year 2002 through the year 2016. Birth data is known for students entering Kindergarten in 2010, however, the student enrollment data from years 2007-2016 are based on PDE projections. (The assumption is made that the respective children born in 1997 will enter Kindergarten in the year 2002)

Table 37 profiles the number of children entering First Grade from the year 2003 through the year 2016. Birth data is known for students entering First Grade in 2011, however, the student enrollment data from years 2007-2016 are based on PDE projections. (The assumption is made that the respective children born in 1997 will enter First Grade in the year 2003)

| TABLE 35 |  | TABLE 36 |  |  | TABLE 37 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year of Birth | Number of Births | Year Entering K | Number Entering K | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { Birth } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { K } \end{gathered}$ | Year Entering 1st | Number <br> Entering 1st | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Birth } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { 1st } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1997 | 163 | 2002 | 160 | 98.16\% | 2003 | 157 | 96.32\% |
| 1998 | 191 | 2003 | 179 | 93.72\% | 2004 | 185 | 96.86\% |
| 1999 | 198 | 2004 | 148 | 74.75\% | 2005 | 153 | 77.27\% |
| 2000 | 165 | 2005 | 155 | 93.94\% | 2006 | 164 | 99.39\% |
| 2001 | 180 | 2006 | 175 | 97.22\% | 2007 | 166 | 92.22\% |
| 2002 | 168 | 2007 | 160 | 95.24\% | 2008 | 155 | 92.26\% |
| 2003 | 166 | 2008 | 158 | 95.18\% | 2009 | 153 | 92.17\% |
| 2004 | 144 | 2009 | 137 | 95.14\% | 2010 | 133 | 92.36\% |
| 2005 | 171 | 2010 | 162 | 94.74\% | 2011 | 158 | 92.40\% |
| 2006 | 171 | 2011 | 162 | 94.74\% | 2012 | 158 | 92.40\% |
| 2007 | 171 | 2012 | 162 | 94.74\% | 2013 | 158 | 92.40\% |
| 2008 | 171 | 2013 | 162 | 94.74\% | 2014 | 158 | 92.40\% |
| 2009 | 171 | 2014 | 162 | 94.74\% | 2015 | 158 | 92.40\% |
| 2010 | 171 | 2015 | 162 | 94.74\% | 2016 | 158 | 92.40\% |
| 2011 | 171 | 2016 | 162 | 94.74\% |  |  |  |

## Live Birth Data

The following Charts compares the Live Birth data from the preceding Tables with the Year Entering Kindergarten and the Year Entering First Grade

TABLE 35 \& TABLE 36 - CHART A


TABLE 35 \& TABLE 37 - CHART B


## Student Population

## Projected Student Enrollment

Method I (District-wide Projections): Student Enrollment projections supplied by the Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE). The data shows a projected decline in the overall School District K -12 student population by 140 students.

- Projections are based on Live birth data.
- Projections may not account for in-migration trends of students moving into the School District.

Method I-A (Bedford Schools): Student Enrollment projections for the Bedford Campus Schools based on Method I. The data shows a projected decline in the overall School District K-12 student population by 161 students.

Method I-B (Hyndman Schools): Student Enrollment projections for the Hyndman Campus Schools based on Method I. The data shows a projected increase in the overall School District K-12 student population by 14 students.

Method II (District-wide Projections): Student Enrollment projections based upon the average of historical increase of the past five years. The data shows a projected increase in the overall School District K-12 student population by 251 students.

- Projections are based on the First Grade Historical Trend of the past 5 years
- Historical trends should be evaluated in addition to available and future housing data.

Method II-A (Bedford Schools): Student Enrollment projections for the Bedford Campus Schools based on Method II. The data shows a projected increase in the overall School District K-12 student population by 375 students.

Method II-B (Hyndman Schools): Student Enrollment projections for the Hyndman Campus Schools based on Method II. The data shows a projected decline in the overall School District K-12 student population by 110 students.

Method III (District-wide Projections): Student Enrollment projections based upon available housing and future housing data. The data shows a projected increase in the overall School District K12 student population by 182 students.

- Projections are based on available and future housing data.
- Data should be evaluated in addition to Historical trends.

Method III-A (Bedford Schools): Student Enrollment projections for the Bedford Campus Schools based on Method III. The data shows a projected increase in the overall School District K-12 student population by 234 students.

Method III-B (Hyndman Schools): Student Enrollment projections for the Hyndman Campus Schools based on Method III. The data shows a projected decline in the overall School District K-12 student population by 44 students.

## Student Population

## Existing Educational Program

A summary of the School District's existing conditions is profiled by the Existing Educational Program data and graphic illustrations. The information includes: Existing Campus Structure for the Bedford Schools and Hyndman Schools; Existing Grade Alignment; 2007-08 Student Enrollment; District and PDE Funcional Capacity; District and PDE Special Education Capacity; and the Highest Projected Enrollment for each grade grouping.

## Student Enrollment / Capacity Evaluation

The Tables graphically illustrate the Projected Student Enrollment for each of the existing grade groupings vs. the current building capacity of the respective grade grouping.

Methods I, II, and III profile the District Schools for the following grade groupings: K-5 which includes Bedford and Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary Schools; 6-8, 9-12 which includes Bedford Middle School, Hyndman Middle / Senior High School, and Bedford High School; also K-12 which includes all the Schools.

Methods I-A, II-A and III-A profile the Bedford Schools for the following grade groupings: K-5 Bedford Elementary School; 6-8 Bedford Middle School; 9-12 Bedford High School; 6-12 (for comparison with the Hyndman School grade grouping); and K-12.

Methods I-B, II-B and III-B profile the Hyndman Schools for the following grade groupings: K-5 Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School; 6-12 Hyndman Middle / Senior High School; and K-12.

## Existing Building Capacity

Room schedules for the Elementary and Secondary Schools provide data for the Existing Adjusted Building Capacity. Spaces that receive capacity are shown as well as each Building's Functional Capacity, Total Capacity, and Special Educational Capacity.

## Building Capacity Overview

The Building Capacity Overview provides an explanation of Building Capacity and adjustments; including Functional Capacity, Total Capacity and Special Education Capacity as defined for the purpose of this study.

## Educational Program Requirements

The Educational Program Requirements provide an overview of the Bedford Area School District's Educational Program. The information was generated by the Bedford Area School District.

The Educational Program must be analyzed, as well as, the resulting affects of the existing facilities ability to meet the current and future needs of the educational program.

## 2006-07 PDE Projected Student Enrollment

TABLE 38

|  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $\mathrm{~K}-5$ | 6 | 7 | 8 | $\mathbf{6 - 8}$ | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | $\mathbf{9 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 - 1 2}$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathbf{- 1 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002-03$ | 160 | 175 | 165 | 164 | 170 | 196 | $\mathbf{1 0 3 0}$ | 195 | 188 | 207 | $\mathbf{5 9 0}$ | 186 | 188 | 167 | 183 | $\mathbf{7 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 4 4}$ |
| $2003-04$ | 179 | 157 | 168 | 165 | 175 | 155 | $\mathbf{9 9 9}$ | 207 | 200 | 191 | $\mathbf{5 9 8}$ | 215 | 190 | 181 | 159 | $\mathbf{7 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 4 2}$ |
| $2004-05$ | 148 | 185 | 159 | 176 | 170 | 174 | $\mathbf{1 0 1 2}$ | 165 | 203 | 203 | $\mathbf{5 7 1}$ | 194 | 214 | 194 | 180 | $\mathbf{7 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 6 5}$ |
| $2005-06$ | 155 | 153 | 188 | 160 | 182 | 172 | $\mathbf{1 0 1 0}$ | 181 | 171 | 199 | $\mathbf{5 5 1}$ | 209 | 183 | 197 | 183 | $\mathbf{7 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 3 3}$ |
| $2006-07$ | 175 | 164 | 154 | 192 | 164 | 190 | $\mathbf{1 0 3 9}$ | 177 | 182 | 166 | $\mathbf{5 2 5}$ | 204 | 190 | 178 | 197 | $\mathbf{7 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 3 3}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RATIOS | 0.95 | 0.925 | 1.012 | 1.019 | 1.039 | 1.044 |  | 1.047 | 1.012 | 0.971 |  | 1.027 | 0.909 | 0.969 | 0.972 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2007-08$ | 160 | 166 | 166 | 157 | 200 | 171 | $\mathbf{1 0 2 0}$ | 199 | 179 | 177 | $\mathbf{5 5 5}$ | 171 | 185 | 184 | 173 | $\mathbf{7 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 8 8}$ |
| $2008-09$ | 158 | 155 | 168 | 169 | 163 | 209 | $\mathbf{1 0 2 2}$ | 179 | 201 | 174 | $\mathbf{5 5 4}$ | 182 | 155 | 179 | 179 | $\mathbf{6 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 7 1}$ |
| $2009-10$ | 137 | 153 | 157 | 171 | 176 | 170 | $\mathbf{9 6 4}$ | 219 | 181 | 195 | $\mathbf{5 9 5}$ | 179 | 165 | 150 | 174 | $\mathbf{6 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 2 7}$ |
| $2010-11$ | 162 | 133 | 155 | 160 | 178 | 184 | $\mathbf{9 7 2}$ | 178 | 222 | 176 | $\mathbf{5 7 6}$ | 200 | 163 | 160 | 146 | $\mathbf{6 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 1 7}$ |
| $2011-12$ | 162 | 158 | 135 | 158 | 166 | 186 | $\mathbf{9 6 5}$ | 193 | 180 | 216 | $\mathbf{5 8 9}$ | 181 | 182 | 158 | 156 | $\mathbf{6 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 3 1}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2012-13$ | 162 | 158 | 160 | 138 | 164 | 173 | $\mathbf{9 5 5}$ | 195 | 195 | 175 | $\mathbf{5 6 5}$ | 222 | 165 | 176 | 154 | $\mathbf{7 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 3 7}$ |
| $2013-14$ | 162 | 158 | 160 | 163 | 143 | 171 | $\mathbf{9 5 7}$ | 181 | 197 | 189 | $\mathbf{5 6 7}$ | 180 | 202 | 160 | 171 | $\mathbf{7 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 3 7}$ |
| $2014-15$ | 162 | 158 | 160 | 163 | 169 | 149 | $\mathbf{9 6 1}$ | 179 | 183 | 191 | $\mathbf{5 5 3}$ | 194 | 164 | 196 | 156 | $\mathbf{7 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 2 4}$ |
| $2015-16$ | 162 | 158 | 160 | 163 | 169 | 176 | $\mathbf{9 8 8}$ | 156 | 181 | 178 | $\mathbf{5 1 5}$ | 196 | 176 | 159 | 191 | $\mathbf{7 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 2 5}$ |
| $2016-17$ | 162 | 158 | 160 | 163 | 169 | 176 | $\mathbf{9 8 8}$ | 184 | 158 | 176 | $\mathbf{5 1 8}$ | 183 | 178 | 171 | 155 | $\mathbf{6 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 9 3}$ |

METHOD I: The PDE model uses enrollment data reported annually by all local education agencies to the Division of Data Services on the Public School Enrollment Report. Resident live birth data is provided by the Pennsylvania Department of Health. Grade progression is determined by calculating retention rates for grades 2 to 12 using the most recent five years of enrollment data. Retention rates for kindergarten are determined by births five years earlier and for first grade from births six years earlier. These rates are evaluated to determine if a pattern is discernable, or if any retention rates are unusual. If a pattern is found, the pattern is continued in making the projections. Unusual retention rates are discarded and the average of the remaining rates is used in making the projections. Nongraded elementary and secondary students are prorated across grades before retention rates are calculated.

Table 38A compares the PDE and District Functional Capacity for each school with the Method I, 2006-07 PDE projected enrollment information.

| TABLE 38A <br> School | District Functional Capacity | PDE <br> Functiona Capacity | Student Enrollment $2006-07$ | 5 Year Growth | Projected Student Enrollment 2011-12 | 10 Year Growth | Projected Student Enrollment 2016-17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford E.S. <br> Hyndman-Londonderry E.S. | $\begin{aligned} & 834 \\ & 235 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 975 \\ & 275 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| K-5 Total | 1,069 | 1,250 | 1,039 | -74 | 965 | -51 | 988 |
| Bedford Middle School <br> Hyndman Middle/Sr H.S. <br> Bedford High School / DAO | $\begin{aligned} & 525 \\ & 317 \\ & 643 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 590 \\ & 356 \\ & 724 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8, 9-12 Total | 1,485 | 1,670 | 1,294 | -28 | 1,266 | -89 | 1,205 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K-12 Total | 2,554 | 2,920 | 2,333 | -102 | 2,231 | -140 | 2,193 |

2007-08 Projected Student Enrollment Based on Historical Data
District Schools

TABLE 39

|  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | K-5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6-8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 9-12 | 6-12 | K-12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003-04 | 171 | 156 | 163 | 168 | 172 | 158 | 988 | 201 | 197 | 185 | 583 | 213 | 186 | 182 | 150 | 731 | 1314 | 2302 |
| 2004-05 | 152 | 185 | 156 | 176 | 164 | 176 | 1009 | 158 | 200 | 202 | 560 | 189 | 207 | 185 | 169 | 750 | 1310 | 2319 |
| 2005-06 | 161 | 153 | 185 | 157 | 183 | 176 | 1015 | 178 | 170 | 204 | 552 | 198 | 183 | 190 | 177 | 748 | 1300 | 2315 |
| 2006-07 | 171 | 171 | 159 | 199 | 162 | 196 | 1058 | 185 | 180 | 166 | 531 | 203 | 188 | 173 | 185 | 749 | 1280 | 2338 |
| 2007-08 | 175 | 169 | 167 | 163 | 195 | 164 | 1033 | 194 | 190 | 180 | 564 | 174 | 198 | 195 | 162 | 729 | 1293 | 2326 |
| RATIOS |  | 1.035 | 1.003 | 1.048 | 1.006 | 1.046 |  | 1.013 | 1.025 | 1.007 |  | 1.009 | 0.966 | 0.973 | 0.949 |  |  |  |
| 2008-09 | 169 | 172 | 170 | 175 | 164 | 204 | 1053 | 166 | 199 | 191 | 556 | 182 | 168 | 193 | 185 | 727 | 1284 | 2337 |
| 2009-10 | 172 | 175 | 173 | 178 | 176 | 171 | 1045 | 206 | 170 | 200 | 577 | 193 | 176 | 164 | 183 | 715 | 1292 | 2336 |
| 2010-11 | 175 | 178 | 176 | 181 | 179 | 184 | 1072 | 174 | 212 | 171 | 557 | 202 | 187 | 171 | 155 | 715 | 1271 | 2343 |
| 2011-12 | 178 | 181 | 179 | 184 | 182 | 187 | 1090 | 186 | 178 | 213 | 577 | 173 | 195 | 181 | 162 | 712 | 1289 | 2379 |
| 2012-13 | 181 | 184 | 182 | 187 | 185 | 190 | 1109 | 189 | 191 | 179 | 559 | 215 | 167 | 190 | 172 | 744 | 1304 | 2413 |
| 2013-14 | 184 | 187 | 185 | 190 | 188 | 193 | 1128 | 193 | 194 | 192 | 579 | 181 | 208 | 163 | 180 | 731 | 1310 | 2438 |
| 2014-15 | 187 | 190 | 188 | 193 | 191 | 197 | 1146 | 196 | 197 | 195 | 589 | 194 | 175 | 202 | 154 | 725 | 1314 | 2460 |
| 2015-16 | 189 | 193 | 191 | 197 | 195 | 200 | 1164 | 199 | 201 | 199 | 599 | 197 | 188 | 170 | 192 | 746 | 1345 | 2509 |
| 2016-17 | 192 | 196 | 194 | 200 | 198 | 203 | 1183 | 203 | 204 | 202 | 609 | 201 | 190 | 182 | 161 | 735 | 1344 | 2526 |
| 2017-18 | 195 | 199 | 197 | 203 | 201 | 207 | 1201 | 206 | 208 | 206 | 619 | 204 | 194 | 185 | 173 | 756 | 1376 | 2577 |

METHOD II: First Grade enrollment increased by 3 students each year. This is based upon the average of historical increase of the past five years.

Table 39A compares the PDE and District Functional Capacity for each school with the Method II, 200708 projected enrollment information.

| TABLE 39A <br> School | District Functional Capacity | PDE <br> Functional Capacity | Student <br> Enrollment <br> $2007-08$ | 5 Year <br> Growth | Projected Student Enrollment 2012-13 | 10 Year Growth | Projected Student Enrollment 2017-18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford E.S. <br> Hyndman-Londonderry E.S. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 834 \\ & 235 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 975 \\ & 275 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 843 \\ & 190 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| K-5 Total | 1,069 | 1,250 | 1,033 | 76 | 1,109 | 168 | 1,201 |
| Bedford Middle School Hyndman Middle/Sr H.S. Bedford High School / DAO | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline 525 \\ & 317 \\ & 643 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline 590 \\ & 356 \\ & 724 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 460 \\ & 220 \\ & 613 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8, 9-12 Total | 1,485 | 1,670 | 1,293 | 11 | 1,304 | 83 | 1,376 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K-12 Total | 2,554 | 2,920 | 2,326 | 87 | 2,413 | 251 | 2,577 |

## 2007-08 Projected Student Enrollment Based on Housing Start Data

TABLE 40

|  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | K-5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6-8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 9-12 | 6-12 | K-12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003-04 | 171 | 156 | 163 | 168 | 172 | 158 | 988 | 201 | 197 | 185 | 583 | 213 | 186 | 182 | 150 | 731 | 1314 | 2302 |
| 2004-05 | 152 | 185 | 156 | 176 | 164 | 176 | 1009 | 158 | 200 | 202 | 560 | 189 | 207 | 185 | 169 | 750 | 1310 | 2319 |
| 2005-06 | 161 | 153 | 185 | 157 | 183 | 176 | 1015 | 178 | 170 | 204 | 552 | 198 | 183 | 190 | 177 | 748 | 1300 | 2315 |
| 2006-07 | 171 | 171 | 159 | 199 | 162 | 196 | 1058 | 185 | 180 | 166 | 531 | 203 | 188 | 173 | 185 | 749 | 1280 | 2338 |
| 2007-08 | 175 | 169 | 167 | 163 | 195 | 164 | 1033 | 194 | 190 | 180 | 564 | 174 | 198 | 195 | 162 | 729 | 1293 | 2326 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RATIOS |  | 1.035 | 1.003 | 1.048 | 1.006 | 1.046 |  | 1.013 | 1.025 | 1.007 |  | 1.009 | 0.966 | 0.973 | 0.949 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008-09 | 167 | 171 | 170 | 175 | 164 | 204 | 1050 | 166 | 199 | 191 | 556 | 182 | 168 | 193 | 185 | 727 | 1284 | 2334 |
| 2009-10 | 169 | 173 | 172 | 178 | 176 | 171 | 1039 | 206 | 170 | 200 | 577 | 193 | 176 | 164 | 183 | 715 | 1292 | 2330 |
| 2010-11 | 171 | 175 | 174 | 180 | 179 | 184 | 1062 | 174 | 212 | 171 | 557 | 202 | 187 | 171 | 155 | 715 | 1271 | 2333 |
| 2011-12 | 173 | 177 | 176 | 182 | 181 | 187 | 1075 | 186 | 178 | 213 | 577 | 173 | 195 | 181 | 162 | 712 | 1289 | 2364 |
| 2012-13 | 175 | 179 | 178 | 184 | 183 | 189 | 1088 | 189 | 191 | 179 | 559 | 215 | 167 | 190 | 172 | 744 | 1304 | 2391 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013-14 | 177 | 181 | 180 | 186 | 185 | 191 | 1100 | 191 | 194 | 192 | 578 | 181 | 208 | 163 | 180 | 731 | 1309 | 2409 |
| 2014-15 | 179 | 183 | 182 | 188 | 187 | 193 | 1112 | 194 | 196 | 195 | 585 | 194 | 175 | 202 | 154 | 725 | 1310 | 2423 |
| 2015-16 | 181 | 185 | 184 | 190 | 189 | 196 | 1125 | 196 | 199 | 198 | 592 | 197 | 188 | 170 | 192 | 746 | 1338 | 2463 |
| 2016-17 | 183 | 187 | 186 | 192 | 191 | 198 | 1137 | 198 | 201 | 200 | 599 | 199 | 190 | 182 | 161 | 733 | 1332 | 2470 |
| 2017-18 | 185 | 189 | 188 | 195 | 194 | 200 | 1150 | 200 | 203 | 202 | 606 | 202 | 193 | 185 | 173 | 753 | 1358 | 2508 |

METHOD III: First Grade enrollment increased by 2 students each year. This is based upon the available housing and future housing data.

Table 40A compares the PDE and District Functional Capacity for each school with the Method III, 200708 projected enrollment information.

| TABLE 40A <br> School | District <br> Functional <br> Capacity | PDE <br> Functional <br> Capacity | Student <br> Enrollment <br> 2007-08 | Projected <br> 5 Year <br> Growth | Student <br> Enrollment <br> 2012-13 | Projected <br> 10 Year <br> Growth | Snrollment <br> 2017-18 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bedford E.S. | 834 | 975 | 843 |  |  |  |  |
| Hyndman-Londonderry E.S. | 235 | 275 | 190 |  |  |  |  |
| K-5 Total | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 0}$ |
| Bedford Middle School | 525 | 590 | 460 |  |  |  |  |
| Hyndman Middle/Sr H.S. | 317 | 356 | 220 |  |  |  |  |
| Bedford High School / DAO | 643 | 724 | 613 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6 - 8 , ~ 9 - 1 2 ~ T o t a l ~}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 5 8}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K-12 Total | $\mathbf{2 , 5 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 0 8}$ |

## 2007-08 Projected Student Enrollment Based on PDE Data

TABLE 41

|  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | K - 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6-8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 9-12 | 6-12 | K-12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003-04 | 131 | 124 | 123 | 131 | 142 | 133 | 784 | 159 | 166 | 150 | 475 | 171 | 150 | 143 | 126 | 590 | 1065 | 1849 |
| 2004-05 | 127 | 145 | 123 | 134 | 128 | 146 | 803 | 133 | 160 | 171 | 464 | 152 | 172 | 153 | 133 | 610 | 1074 | 1877 |
| 2005-06 | 122 | 132 | 146 | 126 | 140 | 143 | 809 | 154 | 147 | 166 | 467 | 171 | 152 | 156 | 150 | 629 | 1096 | 1905 |
| 2006-07 | 141 | 129 | 133 | 158 | 130 | 150 | 841 | 151 | 153 | 143 | 447 | 164 | 163 | 143 | 153 | 623 | 1070 | 1911 |
| 2007-08 | 151 | 140 | 126 | 137 | 156 | 133 | 843 | 147 | 157 | 156 | 460 | 151 | 161 | 170 | 131 | 613 | 1073 | 1916 |
| RATIOS |  | 0.95 | 1.012 | 1.019 | 1.039 | 1.044 |  | 1.047 | 1.012 | 0.971 |  | 1.027 | 0.909 | 0.969 | 0.972 |  |  |  |
| 2008-09 | 126 | 143 | 142 | 128 | 142 | 163 | 845 | 139 | 149 | 152 | 440 | 160 | 137 | 156 | 165 | 619 | 1059 | 1904 |
| 2009-10 | 110 | 120 | 145 | 144 | 133 | 149 | 801 | 171 | 141 | 144 | 456 | 157 | 146 | 133 | 152 | 587 | 1043 | 1844 |
| 2010-11 | 130 | 104 | 121 | 148 | 150 | 139 | 793 | 156 | 173 | 137 | 465 | 148 | 142 | 141 | 129 | 561 | 1026 | 1819 |
| 2011-12 | 130 | 123 | 106 | 123 | 154 | 157 | 793 | 146 | 158 | 168 | 471 | 141 | 135 | 138 | 137 | 551 | 1022 | 1814 |
| 2012-13 | 130 | 123 | 125 | 108 | 128 | 160 | 775 | 164 | 148 | 153 | 465 | 172 | 128 | 131 | 134 | 565 | 1029 | 1804 |
| 2013-14 | 130 | 123 | 125 | 127 | 112 | 134 | 751 | 168 | 166 | 143 | 477 | 157 | 157 | 124 | 127 | 565 | 1042 | 1793 |
| 2014-15 | 130 | 123 | 125 | 127 | 132 | 117 | 755 | 140 | 170 | 161 | 471 | 147 | 143 | 152 | 120 | 562 | 1033 | 1788 |
| 2015-16 | 130 | 123 | 125 | 127 | 132 | 138 | 776 | 122 | 142 | 165 | 429 | 166 | 134 | 138 | 148 | 585 | 1014 | 1790 |
| 2016-17 | 130 | 123 | 125 | 127 | 132 | 138 | 776 | 145 | 124 | 138 | 406 | 170 | 150 | 130 | 135 | 584 | 990 | 1766 |
| 2017-18 | 130 | 123 | 125 | 127 | 132 | 138 | 776 | 145 | 146 | 120 | 411 | 141 | 154 | 146 | 126 | 568 | 979 | 1755 |

METHOD I-A: PDE ratios were used in this Method to project the enrollment.

Table 41A compares the PDE and District Functional Capacity for each school on the Bedford Campus with the Method I-A, 2007-08 projected enrollment information.

| TABLE 41A <br> School | District Functional Capacity | PDE <br> Functiona Capacity | Student <br> Enrollment 2007-08 | 5 Year Growth | Projected Student Enrollment 2012-13 | 10 Year Growth | Projected Student Enrollment 2017-18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford E.S. | 834 | 975 | 843 |  |  |  |  |
| K-5 Total | 834 | 975 | 843 | -68 | 775 | -67 | 776 |
| Bedford Middle School | 525 | 590 | 460 |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8 Total | 525 | 590 | 460 | 5 | 465 | -49 | 411 |
| Bedford High School / DAO | 643 | 724 | 613 |  |  |  |  |
| 9-12 Total | 643 | 724 | 613 | -48 | 565 | -45 | 568 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8, 9-12 Total | 1,168 | 1,314 | 1,073 | -44 | 1,029 | -94 | 979 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K-12 Total | 2,002 | 2,289 | 1,916 | -111 | 1,804 | -161 | 1,755 |

TABLE 42

|  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | K - 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6-8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 9-12 | 6-12 | K - 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003-04 | 40 | 32 | 40 | 37 | 30 | 25 | 204 | 42 | 31 | 35 | 108 | 42 | 36 | 39 | 24 | 141 | 249 | 453 |
| 2004-05 | 25 | 40 | 33 | 42 | 36 | 30 | 206 | 25 | 40 | 31 | 96 | 37 | 35 | 32 | 36 | 140 | 236 | 442 |
| 2005-06 | 39 | 21 | 39 | 31 | 43 | 33 | 206 | 24 | 23 | 38 | 85 | 27 | 31 | 34 | 27 | 119 | 204 | 410 |
| 2006-07 | 30 | 42 | 26 | 41 | 32 | 46 | 217 | 34 | 27 | 23 | 84 | 39 | 25 | 30 | 32 | 126 | 210 | 427 |
| 2007-08 | 24 | 29 | 41 | 26 | 39 | 31 | 190 | 47 | 33 | 24 | 104 | 23 | 37 | 25 | 31 | 116 | 220 | 410 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RATIOS |  | 0.95 | 1.012 | 1.019 | 1.039 | 1.044 |  | 1.047 | 1.012 | 0.971 |  | 1.027 | 0.909 | 0.969 | 0.972 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008-09 | 32 | 23 | 29 | 42 | 27 | 41 | 194 | 32 | 48 | 32 | 112 | 25 | 21 | 36 | 24 | 106 | 218 | 411 |
| 2009-10 | 27 | 30 | 23 | 30 | 43 | 28 | 182 | 43 | 33 | 46 | 122 | 33 | 22 | 20 | 35 | 110 | 232 | 414 |
| 2010-11 | 32 | 26 | 31 | 23 | 31 | 45 | 188 | 30 | 43 | 32 | 105 | 47 | 30 | 22 | 20 | 119 | 223 | 412 |
| 2011-12 | 32 | 30 | 26 | 31 | 24 | 32 | 176 | 47 | 30 | 42 | 119 | 33 | 43 | 29 | 21 | 126 | 245 | 422 |
| 2012-13 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 26 | 33 | 25 | 178 | 34 | 48 | 29 | 111 | 43 | 30 | 42 | 28 | 143 | 254 | 431 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013-14 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 27 | 34 | 186 | 27 | 34 | 47 | 108 | 30 | 39 | 29 | 41 | 138 | 246 | 432 |
| 2014-15 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 33 | 29 | 186 | 36 | 27 | 33 | 96 | 48 | 27 | 38 | 28 | 141 | 237 | 423 |
| 2015-16 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 33 | 34 | 191 | 30 | 36 | 26 | 92 | 34 | 44 | 26 | 37 | 141 | 233 | 424 |
| 2016-17 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 33 | 34 | 191 | 36 | 30 | 35 | 101 | 27 | 31 | 42 | 26 | 126 | 227 | 418 |
| 2017-18 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 33 | 34 | 191 | 36 | 36 | 30 | 101 | 36 | 24 | 30 | 41 | 132 | 233 | 424 |

METHOD I-B: PDE ratios were used in this Method to project the enrollment.

Table 42A compares the PDE and District Functional Capacity for each school on the Hyndman Campus with the Method I-B, 2007-08 projected enrollment information.

| TABLE 42A <br> School | District Functional Capacity | PDE <br> Functional Capacity | Student <br> Enrollment <br> 2007-08 | 5 Year Growth | Projected Student Enrollment 2012-13 | 10 Year Growth | Projected Student Enrollment 2017-18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hyndman-Londonderry E.S. | 235 | 275 | 190 |  |  |  |  |
| K-5 Total | 235 | 275 | 190 | -12 | 178 | 1 | 191 |
| Hyndman Middle/Sr H.S. | 317 | 356 | 220 |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8, 9-12 Total | 317 | 356 | 220 | 34 | 254 | 13 | 233 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K-12 Total | 552 | 631 | 410 | 22 | 431 | 14 | 424 |

## 2007-08 Projected Student Enrollment Based on Historical Data

Bedford Schools

TABLE 43

|  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | K - 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6-8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 9-12 | 6-12 | K-12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003-04 | 131 | 124 | 123 | 131 | 142 | 133 | 784 | 159 | 166 | 150 | 475 | 171 | 150 | 143 | 126 | 590 | 1065 | 1849 |
| 2004-05 | 127 | 145 | 123 | 134 | 128 | 146 | 803 | 133 | 160 | 171 | 464 | 152 | 172 | 153 | 133 | 610 | 1074 | 1877 |
| 2005-06 | 122 | 132 | 146 | 126 | 140 | 143 | 809 | 154 | 147 | 166 | 467 | 171 | 152 | 156 | 150 | 629 | 1096 | 1905 |
| 2006-07 | 141 | 129 | 133 | 158 | 130 | 150 | 841 | 151 | 153 | 143 | 447 | 164 | 163 | 143 | 153 | 623 | 1070 | 1911 |
| 2007-08 | 151 | 140 | 126 | 137 | 156 | 133 | 843 | 147 | 157 | 156 | 460 | 151 | 161 | 170 | 131 | 613 | 1073 | 1916 |
| RATIOS |  | 1.048 | 0.996 | 1.057 | 1.009 | 1.059 |  | 1.023 | 1.034 | 1.016 |  | 1.013 | 0.985 | 0.976 | 0.953 |  |  |  |
| 2008-09 | 141 | 144 | 139 | 133 | 138 | 165 | 861 | 136 | 152 | 160 | 447 | 158 | 149 | 157 | 162 | 626 | 1073 | 1935 |
| 2009-10 | 145 | 148 | 143 | 147 | 134 | 146 | 865 | 169 | 141 | 154 | 464 | 162 | 156 | 145 | 150 | 612 | 1076 | 1941 |
| 2010-11 | 149 | 152 | 147 | 152 | 149 | 142 | 891 | 150 | 175 | 143 | 467 | 156 | 159 | 152 | 138 | 606 | 1073 | 1964 |
| 2011-12 | 153 | 156 | 151 | 156 | 153 | 158 | 927 | 146 | 155 | 177 | 478 | 145 | 154 | 155 | 145 | 599 | 1077 | 2003 |
| 2012-13 | 157 | 160 | 155 | 160 | 157 | 162 | 952 | 161 | 150 | 157 | 469 | 180 | 142 | 150 | 148 | 620 | 1089 | 2041 |
| 2013-14 | 160 | 164 | 159 | 164 | 162 | 167 | 976 | 166 | 167 | 153 | 485 | 159 | 177 | 139 | 143 | 619 | 1104 | 2080 |
| 2014-15 | 164 | 168 | 163 | 169 | 166 | 171 | 1001 | 170 | 171 | 169 | 511 | 155 | 157 | 173 | 133 | 617 | 1128 | 2129 |
| 2015-16 | 168 | 172 | 167 | 173 | 170 | 176 | 1026 | 175 | 176 | 174 | 525 | 171 | 152 | 153 | 165 | 642 | 1167 | 2193 |
| 2016-17 | 172 | 176 | 171 | 177 | 174 | 180 | 1051 | 180 | 181 | 179 | 539 | 176 | 169 | 149 | 146 | 640 | 1179 | 2230 |
| 2017-18 | 176 | 180 | 175 | 181 | 179 | 185 | 1076 | 184 | 186 | 184 | 554 | 181 | 174 | 165 | 142 | 661 | 1215 | 2291 |

METHOD II-A: First Grade enrollment increased by 4 students each year. This is based upon the average of historical increase of the past five years.

Table 43A compares the PDE and District Functional Capacity for each school on the Bedford Campus with the Method II-A, 2007-08 projected enrollment information.

| TABLE 43A <br> School | District Functional Capacity | PDE <br> Functiona Capacity | Student Enrollment 2007-08 | 5 Year Growth | Projected Student Enrollment 2012-13 | 10 Year Growth | Projected Student Enrollment 2017-18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford E.S. | 834 | 975 | 843 |  |  |  |  |
| K-5 Total | 834 | 975 | 843 | 109 | 952 | 233 | 1,076 |
| Bedford Middle School | 525 | 590 | 460 |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8 Total | 525 | 590 | 460 | 9 | 469 | 94 | 554 |
| Bedford High School / DAO | 643 | 724 | 613 |  |  |  |  |
| 9-12 Total | 643 | 724 | 613 | 7 | 620 | 48 | 661 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8, 9-12 Total | 1,168 | 1,314 | 1,073 | 16 | 1,089 | 142 | 1,215 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K-12 Total | 2,002 | 2,289 | 1,916 | 125 | 2,041 | 375 | 2,291 |

TABLE 44

|  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | K - 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6-8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 9-12 | 6-12 | K - 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003-04 | 40 | 32 | 40 | 37 | 30 | 25 | 204 | 42 | 31 | 35 | 108 | 42 | 36 | 39 | 24 | 141 | 249 | 453 |
| 2004-05 | 25 | 40 | 33 | 42 | 36 | 30 | 206 | 25 | 40 | 31 | 96 | 37 | 35 | 32 | 36 | 140 | 236 | 442 |
| 2005-06 | 39 | 21 | 39 | 31 | 43 | 33 | 206 | 24 | 23 | 38 | 85 | 27 | 31 | 34 | 27 | 119 | 204 | 410 |
| 2006-07 | 30 | 42 | 26 | 41 | 32 | 46 | 217 | 34 | 27 | 23 | 84 | 39 | 25 | 30 | 32 | 126 | 210 | 427 |
| 2007-08 | 24 | 29 | 41 | 26 | 39 | 31 | 190 | 47 | 33 | 24 | 104 | 23 | 37 | 25 | 31 | 116 | 220 | 410 |
| RATIOS |  | 0.985 | 1.03 | 1.014 | 0.993 | 0.993 |  | 0.97 | 0.984 | 0.959 |  | 0.992 | 0.883 | 0.953 | 0.933 |  |  |  |
| 2008-09 | 27 | 28 | 30 | 42 | 26 | 39 | 191 | 30 | 46 | 32 | 108 | 24 | 20 | 35 | 23 | 103 | 211 | 402 |
| 2009-10 | 26 | 27 | 29 | 30 | 41 | 26 | 179 | 38 | 30 | 44 | 111 | 31 | 21 | 19 | 33 | 105 | 216 | 395 |
| 2010-11 | 25 | 26 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 41 | 179 | 25 | 37 | 28 | 90 | 44 | 28 | 20 | 18 | 110 | 200 | 379 |
| 2011-12 | 24 | 25 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 163 | 40 | 24 | 35 | 100 | 28 | 39 | 26 | 19 | 112 | 212 | 375 |
| 2012-13 | 23 | 24 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 157 | 29 | 39 | 23 | 92 | 35 | 25 | 37 | 25 | 122 | 213 | 370 |
| 2013-14 | 22 | 23 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 151 | 28 | 29 | 38 | 94 | 23 | 31 | 24 | 35 | 113 | 207 | 357 |
| 2014-15 | 21 | 22 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 144 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 82 | 37 | 21 | 30 | 22 | 109 | 191 | 336 |
| 2015-16 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 138 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 79 | 27 | 33 | 20 | 28 | 107 | 186 | 324 |
| 2016-17 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 132 | 25 | 26 | 25 | 76 | 26 | 24 | 31 | 18 | 100 | 176 | 308 |
| 2017-18 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 126 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 73 | 25 | 23 | 23 | 29 | 100 | 174 | 300 |

METHOD II-B: First Grade enrollment decreased by 1 student each year. This is based upon the average of historical increase of the past five years.

Table 44A compares the PDE and District Functional Capacity for each school on the Hyndman Campus with the Method II-B, 2007-08 projected enrollment information.

| TABLE 44A <br> School | District Functional Capacity | PDE <br> Functional Capacity | Student <br> Enrollment <br> 2007-08 | 5 Year Growth | Projected Student Enrollment 2012-13 | 10 Year Growth | Projected Student Enrollment 2017-18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hyndman-Londonderry E.S. | 235 | 275 | 190 |  |  |  |  |
| K-5 Total | 235 | 275 | 190 | -33 | 157 | -64 | 126 |
| Hyndman Middle/Sr H.S. | 317 | 356 | 220 |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8, 9-12 Total | 317 | 356 | 220 | -7 | 213 | -46 | 174 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K-12 Total | 552 | 631 | 410 | -40 | 370 | -110 | 300 |

## 2007-08 Projected Student Enrollment Based on Housing Start Data Bedford Schools

TABLE 45

|  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | K - 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6-8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 9-12 | 6-12 | K-12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003-04 | 131 | 124 | 123 | 131 | 142 | 133 | 784 | 159 | 166 | 150 | 475 | 171 | 150 | 143 | 126 | 590 | 1065 | 1849 |
| 2004-05 | 127 | 145 | 123 | 134 | 128 | 146 | 803 | 133 | 160 | 171 | 464 | 152 | 172 | 153 | 133 | 610 | 1074 | 1877 |
| 2005-06 | 122 | 132 | 146 | 126 | 140 | 143 | 809 | 154 | 147 | 166 | 467 | 171 | 152 | 156 | 150 | 629 | 1096 | 1905 |
| 2006-07 | 141 | 129 | 133 | 158 | 130 | 150 | 841 | 151 | 153 | 143 | 447 | 164 | 163 | 143 | 153 | 623 | 1070 | 1911 |
| 2007-08 | 151 | 140 | 126 | 137 | 156 | 133 | 843 | 147 | 157 | 156 | 460 | 151 | 161 | 170 | 131 | 613 | 1073 | 1916 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RATIOS |  | 1.048 | 0.996 | 1.057 | 1.009 | 1.059 |  | 1.023 | 1.034 | 1.016 |  | 1.013 | 0.985 | 0.976 | 0.953 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008-09 | 139 | 142 | 139 | 133 | 138 | 165 | 857 | 136 | 152 | 160 | 447 | 158 | 149 | 157 | 162 | 626 | 1073 | 1931 |
| 2009-10 | 145 | 144 | 141 | 147 | 134 | 146 | 859 | 169 | 141 | 154 | 464 | 162 | 156 | 145 | 150 | 612 | 1076 | 1935 |
| 2010-11 | 141 | 146 | 143 | 150 | 149 | 142 | 871 | 150 | 175 | 143 | 467 | 156 | 159 | 152 | 138 | 606 | 1073 | 1944 |
| 2011-12 | 143 | 148 | 145 | 152 | 151 | 158 | 897 | 146 | 155 | 177 | 478 | 145 | 154 | 155 | 145 | 599 | 1077 | 1973 |
| 2012-13 | 145 | 150 | 147 | 154 | 153 | 160 | 909 | 161 | 150 | 157 | 469 | 180 | 142 | 150 | 148 | 620 | 1089 | 1999 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013-14 | 147 | 152 | 149 | 156 | 155 | 162 | 922 | 163 | 167 | 153 | 483 | 159 | 177 | 139 | 143 | 619 | 1102 | 2023 |
| 2014-15 | 149 | 154 | 151 | 158 | 157 | 164 | 934 | 166 | 169 | 169 | 504 | 155 | 157 | 173 | 133 | 617 | 1121 | 2055 |
| 2015-16 | 151 | 156 | 153 | 160 | 159 | 167 | 946 | 168 | 171 | 172 | 511 | 171 | 152 | 153 | 165 | 642 | 1153 | 2099 |
| 2016-17 | 153 | 158 | 155 | 162 | 162 | 169 | 959 | 170 | 174 | 174 | 518 | 174 | 169 | 149 | 146 | 637 | 1156 | 2114 |
| 2017-18 | 155 | 160 | 157 | 164 | 164 | 171 | 971 | 173 | 176 | 176 | 525 | 176 | 171 | 165 | 142 | 654 | 1179 | 2151 |

METHOD III-A: First Grade enrollment increased by 2 students each year. This is based upon the available housing start data.

Table 45A compares the PDE and District Functional Capacity for each school on the Bedford Campus with the Method III-A, 2007-08 projected enrollment information.

| TABLE 45A <br> School | District Functional Capacity | PDE <br> Functional Capacity | Student <br> Enrollment 2007-08 | 5 Year Growth | Projected Student Enrollment 2012-13 | 10 Year Growth | Projected Student Enrollment 2017-18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford E.S. | 834 | 975 | 843 |  |  |  |  |
| K-5 Total | 834 | 975 | 843 | 66 | 909 | 128 | 971 |
| Bedford Middle School | 525 | 590 | 460 |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8 Total | 525 | 590 | 460 | 9 | 469 | 65 | 525 |
| Bedford High School / DAO | 643 | 724 | 613 |  |  |  |  |
| 9-12 Total | 643 | 724 | 613 | 7 | 620 | 41 | 654 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8, 9-12 Total | 1,168 | 1,314 | 1,073 | 16 | 1,089 | 106 | 1,179 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K-12 Total | 2,002 | 2,289 | 1,916 | 82 | 1,999 | 234 | 2,151 |

## 2007-08 Projected Student Enrollment Based on Housing Start Data Hyndman Schools

TABLE 46

|  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | K - 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6-8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 9-12 | 6-12 | K - 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003-04 | 40 | 32 | 40 | 37 | 30 | 25 | 204 | 42 | 31 | 35 | 108 | 42 | 36 | 39 | 24 | 141 | 249 | 453 |
| 2004-05 | 25 | 40 | 33 | 42 | 36 | 30 | 206 | 25 | 40 | 31 | 96 | 37 | 35 | 32 | 36 | 140 | 236 | 442 |
| 2005-06 | 39 | 21 | 39 | 31 | 43 | 33 | 206 | 24 | 23 | 38 | 85 | 27 | 31 | 34 | 27 | 119 | 204 | 410 |
| 2006-07 | 30 | 42 | 26 | 41 | 32 | 46 | 217 | 34 | 27 | 23 | 84 | 39 | 25 | 30 | 32 | 126 | 210 | 427 |
| 2007-08 | 24 | 29 | 41 | 26 | 39 | 31 | 190 | 47 | 33 | 24 | 104 | 23 | 37 | 25 | 31 | 116 | 220 | 410 |
| RATIOS |  | 0.985 | 1.03 | 1.014 | 0.993 | 0.993 |  | 0.97 | 0.984 | 0.959 |  | 0.992 | 0.883 | 0.953 | 0.933 |  |  |  |
| 2008-09 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 42 | 26 | 39 | 194 | 30 | 46 | 32 | 108 | 24 | 20 | 35 | 23 | 103 | 211 | 405 |
| 2009-10 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 41 | 26 | 185 | 38 | 30 | 44 | 111 | 31 | 21 | 19 | 33 | 105 | 216 | 401 |
| 2010-11 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 41 | 189 | 25 | 37 | 28 | 90 | 44 | 28 | 20 | 18 | 110 | 200 | 389 |
| 2011-12 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 178 | 40 | 24 | 35 | 100 | 28 | 39 | 26 | 19 | 112 | 212 | 390 |
| 2012-13 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 178 | 29 | 39 | 23 | 92 | 35 | 25 | 37 | 25 | 122 | 213 | 391 |
| 2013-14 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 178 | 29 | 29 | 38 | 95 | 23 | 31 | 24 | 35 | 113 | 208 | 386 |
| 2014-15 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 178 | 29 | 29 | 27 | 85 | 37 | 21 | 30 | 22 | 109 | 194 | 372 |
| 2015-16 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 178 | 29 | 29 | 27 | 85 | 27 | 33 | 20 | 28 | 107 | 192 | 370 |
| 2016-17 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 178 | 29 | 29 | 27 | 85 | 27 | 24 | 31 | 18 | 101 | 186 | 364 |
| 2017-18 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 178 | 29 | 29 | 27 | 85 | 27 | 24 | 23 | 29 | 103 | 188 | 366 |

METHOD III-B: First Grade enrollment is increased by 0 students each year. This is based upon the average of historical increase of the past five years.

Table 46A compares the PDE and District Functional Capacity for each school on the Hyndman Campus with the Method III-B, 2007-08 projected enrollment information.

| TABLE 46A <br> School | District Functional Capacity | PDE <br> Functional Capacity | Student <br> Enrollment <br> 2007-08 | 5 Year Growth | Projected Student Enrollment 2012-13 | 10 Year Growth | Projected Student Enrollment 2017-18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hyndman-Londonderry E.S. | 235 | 275 | 190 |  |  |  |  |
| K-5 Total | 235 | 275 | 190 | -12 | 178 | -12 | 178 |
| Hyndman Middle/Sr H.S. | 317 | 356 | 220 |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8, 9-12 Total | 317 | 356 | 220 | -7 | 213 | -32 | 188 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K-12 Total | 552 | 631 | 410 | -19 | 391 | -44 | 366 |

## EXISTING EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM

## Existing Campus Structure



## EXISTING EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM

Existing Building Capacity for Grades K-5; 6-8, 9-12; K-12

|  | Building | Existing Grade Alignment | 2007-08 <br> Enrollment | Functional Capacity |  | Special Education Capacity |  | Highest Projected Enrollment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | DIST | PDE | DIST | PDE | Methods I,II, III | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current } \\ & +10 \% \text { * } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | BEDFORD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL | K-5 | 843 | 834 | 975 | 50 | 125 |  |  |
|  | HYNDMANLONDONDERRY ELEM. SCHOOL | K-5 | 190 | 235 | 275 | 20 | 50 |  |  |


| K-5 TOTAL | 1,033 | 1,069 | 1,250 | 1,201 <br> Method II * <br> 2006/07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| BEDFORD <br> HIGH <br> SCHOOL | $9-12$ | 613 | 643 | 724 | 40 | 100 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $6-12$ TOTAL |  | 1,293 | 1,485 | 1,670 |  | 1,376 <br> Method II * $2006 / 07$ |  |


| K-12 TOTAL | 1,713 | 2,554 | 2,920 | 2,577 <br> Method II * <br> 2006/07 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

* PDE allows 2006/07 or 2007/08 Current Enrollment $+10 \%$ to be used as Highest Projected Enrollment for Project Grades.

[^0]
## Projected Student Enrollment (K-5) vs. Current Building Capacity

TABLE 47 - District Schools (Bedford E.S. \& Hyndman-Londonderry E.S.)


2006-07 2007-08 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 2015-16 2016-17 2017-18

Projected Student Enrollment (6-8, 9-12) vs. Current Building Capacity
TABLE 48 - District Schools (Bedford M.S.; Hyndman M.S./H.S.; Bedford H.S.)


## Projected Student Enrollment (K-12) vs. Current Building Capacity

TABLE 49 - District Schools


2006-07 2007-08 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 2015-16 2016-17 2017-18

## Projected Student Enrollment (K-5) vs. Current Building Capacity

TABLE 50 - Bedford Schools (Bedford Elementary School)


## Projected Student Enrollment (6-8) vs. Current Building Capacity

TABLE 51 - Bedford Schools (Bedford Middle School)


## Projected Student Enrollment (9-12) vs. Current Building Capacity

TABLE 52 - Bedford Schools (Bedford High School)


## Projected Student Enrollment (6-8, 9-12) vs. Current Building Capacity

TABLE 53 - Bedford Schools (Bedford M.S. \& Bedford H.S.)


## Projected Student Enrollment (K-12) vs. Current Building Capacity

## TABLE 54 - Bedford Schools



## Projected Student Enrollment (K-5) vs. Current Building Capacity

TABLE 55 - Hyndman Schools (Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School)


2006-07 2007-08 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 2015-16 2016-17 2017-18

## Projected Student Enrollment (6-8, 9-12) vs. Current Building Capacity

TABLE 56 - Hyndman Schools (Hyndman Middle / Sr. High School)


## Projected Student Enrollment (K-12) vs. Current Building Capacity

TABLE 57 - Hyndman Schools


## Existing Adjusted Capacity

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BEDFORD } \\ \text { ELEMENTARY } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | HYNDMAN-LONDONDERRY ELEMENTARY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Educational Space | No. | Dist. FTE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PDE } \\ & \text { FTE } \end{aligned}$ | No. | Dist. FTE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PDE } \\ & \text { FTE } \end{aligned}$ |
| Half Day Kindergarten |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Full Day Kindergarten | 7 | 140 | 175 | 2 | 40 | 50 |
| First Grade Classroom | 7 | 140 | 175 | 2 | 40 | 50 |
| Second Grade Classroom | 7 | 140 | 175 | 2 | 40 | 50 |
| Third Grade Classroom | 6 | 138 | 150 | 1 | 23 | 25 |
| Fourth Grade Classroom | 6 | 138 | 150 | 2 | 46 | 50 |
| Fifth Grade Classroom | 6 | 138 | 150 | 2 | 46 | 50 |
| Support Classroom |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 25 |
| Reg. CIsrm. < 660 SF Pre Kindergarten |  |  |  | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 25 \end{array}$ |
| Special Education Clsrm. S.E. Seminar / S.G.I. | 5 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Media Center <br> Small Group Instruction Large Group Instruction Computer Lab | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 8 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1 1.5 |  |  |
| Art Classroom | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Art / Music Classroom | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Music Classroom | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gymnasium / Multi-Purpose Rm Locker Room | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Stage / Platform | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Student Dining Kitchen Areas | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY |  | 834 | 975 |  | 235 | 275 |
| TOTAL CAPACITY |  | 834 | 975 |  | 280 | 325 |
| SPECIAL EDUCATION CAPACITY |  | 50 | 125 |  | 20 | 50 |

P.D.E. Capacity: 25 students per classroom. District Capacity: Grades K-2 $=20$ students per classroom; Grades 3-5 = 23 students per classroom; Grades 6-12 $=25$ students per classroom; S.E. Capacity $=10$ students per classroom.
Elementary Functional Capacity includes Graded Classrooms, while the Total Capacity also includes Support Classrooms that are needed to support the educational program including Pre-Kindergarten. Special Education Capacity is not included in the Functional Capacity or Total Capacity.
The Existing building capacity may have been adjusted to represent the intended or adjusted use of space, therefore, showing respective support classrooms including Art, Music and Computer Education spaces.

## Existing Adjusted Capacity


P.D.E. Capacity $=90 \%$ Utilization Factor; District Capacity $=80 \%$ Utilization Factor. District Special Education Capacity = 10 students per classroom.
Secondary Functional Capacity includes all spaces that receive capacity except the District Administration Offices, while the Total Capacity also includes the District Administration Offices. Special Education Capacity is not included in the Functional Capacity or Total Capacity.

## BUILDING CAPACITY OVERVIEW

## Explanation of Building Capacity and Adjustments

To properly analyze the impact of students on the Bedford Area School District and its facilities, one must look at the functional capacity of the existing schools. The Pennsylvania Department of Education had established State standards and guidelines which, coupled with the District's program, can produce a rather straight forward calculation. The current use and State standards have been used to determine the building capacity. These capacities are then compared to the enrollment projections provided in this section of the Study.

The comparison between student projections and building capacities is shown in graphic illustration for the K-5; 6-8; 9-12; 6-12; and K-12 grade alignments.

## The current building capacities have been evaluated and adjusted by the following:

1. Capacity evaluation of current educational spaces against the Pennsylvania Department of Education (P.D.E.) guidelines for room size:
a. Classrooms under 660 s.f. receive no capacity.
b. Secondary spaces under 1,800 s.f. for Technology Education receive no capacity.
c. Spaces must meet respective P.D.E. minimum size requirements to receive capacity.
2. Present use of space for activities other than original intent:
a. Areas far too small to permit functional efficiency.
b. Media Centers or other core facilities much smaller than recommended by guidelines.
c. Absence of space recommended for some functions.
d. Use of certain functional areas for general storage.
e. Use storage spaces for instuctional areas.
3. Evaluation of building on Code requirements of physical facilities (i.e., toilet rooms).
4. Evaluation of specialized instruction beyond basic curriculum (i.e., music, art, learning support, speech and language, Chapter 1, gifted and talented, and ancillary facilities for staff).

Future needs must look beyond merely a comparison between population and capacity projections. There is a need to look at curriculum, special programs, classroom size for all programs, and use of space not designed for current use.

## BUILDING CAPACITY OVERVIEW

## Explanation of Building Capacity and Adjustments

## Elementary Level

The Pennsylvania Department of Education (P.D.E.) assigns 25 students per regular classroom greater than 660 s.f. for the purposes of formulating State reimbursement.

There is a phenomenon at the Elementary level within School Districts that have multiple buildings to assign students from various regions, or neighborhoods. This phenomenon also is compounded by the fact that students do not always come in even increments of 25 students per grade, per classroom; therefore, the student efficiency of classrooms is not always $100 \%$. In addition to this phenomenon, most School Districts prefer smaller classroom sizes at the Elementary level.

District capacities, therefore, also are provided for comparison with enrollment projections. In the case of the Bedford Area School District, the District guidelines are 20 students per classroom for Kindergarten through Second Grades and 23 students per classroom for Third through Fifth Grades; while Special Education Capacity is 10 students per classroom.

For the purpose of this study, Elementary Functional Capacity includes Graded Classrooms, while the Total Capacity also includes Regular Support Classrooms that are needed to support the educational program including Pre-Kindergarten Classrooms. These Regular Support Classrooms could temporarily serve as enrollment "bubble" classrooms. Elementary Schools typically do not receive capacity for other support spaces such as Art, Music and Computer Labs because when students are using these spaces their respective classrooms are unoccupied. While Special Education Capacity is listed separately and not included in the Functional Capacity or Total Capacity, it is included in reimbursement calculations.

## Secondary Grades

Students typically move between classes at the Secondary Level. Therefore, P.D.E. assigns capacity to specific instructional spaces that meet minimum size requirements. Regular classrooms greater than 660 s.f. receive a capacity of 25 while Laboratory spaces receive a capacity of 20 . Since scheduling the facility at $100 \%$ is unlikely, a capacity utilization factor is then applied to the total. P.D.E. uses a capacity utilization factor of $90 \%$, while the District uses a capacity utilization factor of $80 \%$. The Special Education Capacity is 10 students per classroom.

For the purposes of this study, Secondary Functional Capacity includes all spaces that receive capacity with the exception of the DAO offices, while the Total Capacity also includes the District Administration Offices. While Special Education Capacity is listed separately and not included in the Functional Capacity or Total Capacity, it is included in reimbursement calculations.

## EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

## Overview of Bedford Area School District Educational Program

## Organization Description

The Bedford Area School District is a rural district located in Bedford County Pennsylvania. The school community represents four Boroughs and six Townships covering 300 square miles. The district enrollment is 2,350 students, organized in two grades K-5 elementary schools, one grades 6-8 middle school, one grades 6-12 middle/senior high school and one grades $9-12$ senior high school.

## Bedford Elementary School

Bedford Elementary is the newest facility in the school district, approaching the ten-year anniversary of opening this spring. The building became a reality after five outlying elementary buildings were closed to combine into one building; Bedford Elementary.

The facility houses grades kindergarten through fifth grade with approximately 850 students. The students are exposed to a core curriculum of mathematics, reading/language arts, science and social studies. In addition students are involved in physical education, art, music, library and computer laboratory time. Bedford Elementary promotes character education through the BEST Program. Each nine weeks, students are exposed to a character trait to model. The four character traits are Respect, Responsibility, Compassion and Perseverance. Behind the elementary is the Environmental Center with approximately 24 acres of land, a cabin for students to have learning activities, trails and learning centers throughout the wilderness area. The staff of Bedford Elementary uses the motto: "Bedford Elementary...helping students be the BEST they can while building the foundation of life-long learning".

## Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School

Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School is located at 233 School Drive in the borough of Hyndman. The building will be in operation for 50 years at the beginning of the 2008-2009 school year.

Hyndman-Londonderry services 190 students grades K-12 and an additional 14 students in the Pre-K program administered by the Learning Lamp. Our students receive education in the core academic areas of reading, language arts, math, social studies, and science with additional classes in music, library, art, and gym. The students also have access to the additional services on a guidance counselor, learning support, speech, gifted education, and Title I Reading.

## Bedford Middle School

BMS is located at 440 East Watson Street in the borough of Bedford. The building is celebrating its 28th year of operation and has a student population of approximately 450 students in grades 6-8.

Students are provided an array of opportunities and experiences while focusing on a core academic curriculum. Subjects include math, reading, language arts, social studies, humanities, science, technology, music, art, family consumer science, industrial art, health and physical education. There is a team of learning support teachers as well as a guidance counselor and SAP team to address specific needs of students. There is also a wide variety of extracurricular activities and athletic programs. BMS uses a team approach utilizing various teacher teams that meet regularly to assess student learning and school quality in an effort to sustain a safe, successful, and positive learning environment.

## EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

## Overview of Bedford Area School District Educational Program

## Bedford High School

BHS is located at 330 East John Street in the borough of Bedford. The building is the centerpiece of the Bedford School District and has a student population of approximately 650 students in grades 9-12.

Students are provided with a broad range of academic and technical opportunities to prepare them for the changing global marketplace they will soon enter. Subjects include math, language, social studies, humanities, science, technology, graphics, CADD, careers, business music, art, consumer science, and a wide range of AP courses complimented by a dual-enrollment program. There is a learning support teacher to address the needs of each grade level, as well as a SAP team and guidance counselors to address specific student needs. BHS offers a wide variety of extracurricular activities and athletic programs for students to participate. BHS uses a teacher leadership model to address the changing educational needs of our students and to continue a program of excellence in meeting our district mission to SELL Success (Students Empowered for Life-Long Success).

## Hyndman Middle Senior High School

Hyndman Middle Senior High School is located at 130 School Drive in the Borough of Hyndman. The building will be in operation for 55 years at the beginning of the 2008-2009 school year.

HMSHS services 121 senior high students and 105 middle school students. Hyndman Middle Senior High School is a combination of a middle school and a high school. While both groups are in the same building there is a clear distinction between the two groups. In the middle school, our students receive education in the core areas of language arts, math, history, and science. Students also receive additional classes in physical education, music, library, technology, and technical education. Middle school students also have access to a guidance counselor, learning support, gifted education, and SAP. In addition to educational program, students have access to a wide variety of extracurricular activities and athletic programs.

In the high school, students complete 4 credits of English, 3 credits of history, 3 or 4 credits of math, 3 or 4 credits of science, 2 credits of health and personal fitness, 1 credit of fine art. Students complete a total of 24 credits. In addition to core subjects, our students have access to electives in foreign languages, physical education, music, technical education, technology, business education, art, math, history, yearbook, journalism, and science. Moreover, 11th and 12th grade students have the opportunity to take at least two college classes annually. Also, senior high school students have access to the Bedford County Technical Center. Senior school students also have access to a guidance counselor, learning support, gifted education, and SAP. In addition to educational program, students have access to a wide variety of extracurricular activities and athletic programs. Also, the building houses a multiple disability classroom administered by Appalachia Intermediate Unit 8.

## Bedford County Technical Center

The Bedford County Technical Center is a career and technical school operated by the Bedford Area School District and the Everett Area School District. The school offers a variety of secondary training programs both on site and at the home schools. Building Trades, Welding, Information Technology, Automotive, Cosmetology, Health Technologies, Culinary Arts, Production Technology, Engineering Technology, Accounting, and Childcare are offered. The mission of the Technical Center is not only to develop workplace skills for students in trade areas, but to use the technology infrastructure of the school to connect students to learning. The acquisition of many Pennsylvania State Standards is supported by planned instruction in the technological areas.

## EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

## Overview of Bedford Area School District Educational Program

## Core Purpose

## Mission

Students Empowered for Life Long Success - SELL Success

## Vision

The Bedford Area School District vision is encompassed in the following eight indicators.

1. The curriculum of the District is flexible and meets the needs of all students.
2. Assessment shows real-time performance data that is continuously used to determine the effectiveness of instruction for individual students.
3. The capital investments of the district (grounds, buildings, equipment, and vehicles) are planned for and maintained to be safe, meet the needs of the various programs, and create a positive educational atmosphere for both students and community.
4. Stakeholder groups within the community are involved in various aspects of the school program.
5. Instruction is individualized, incorporating the best-known practices of the time and possessing the flexibility to accommodate various learning styles.
6. Leaders in the District are dynamic and passionate individuals who embrace the core values, beliefs and mission of the District. Decisions are future focused, data driven and student centered.
7. Personnel are highly qualified and demonstrate integrity, compassion, trust and respect for others in the learning community. Personnel utilize technology to expand learning opportunities beyond the school walls. Data informed decisions drive instruction for individual student success.
8. Technology is an integral part of every classroom enhancing learning opportunities and linking students to worldwide educational opportunities.

## Shared Values

Members of the Bedford Area School Community share the following values:

1. Integrity demonstrated by people that understand, consider, and accept the impact and consequences of personal actions and decisions. Integrity includes within it being trustworthy to the people and principles of the school community and loyal to the people and profession with whom and within which they work.
2. Respect which includes compassion for the well being of others and their environment through acts of caring, generosity, kindness, service and fairness.
3. Responsibility embodied in a strong wok ethic and citizenship. Responsible people strive for excellence, taking pride in their work, always giving their best efforts and contributing to the well being of their communities as responsible citizens acting in positive and creative ways.
4.Perservance as shown by the desire and willingness to pursue goals and visions in spite of adversity or difficulties because the attainment of them is best for the school people and the school community.
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## Goals

## Goal: BROADEN INSTRUCTIONAL AND ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES OF TEACHERS

Description: Deepen essential content knowledge and expand instructional and assessment skills.

## Goal: COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT

Description: Increase community involvement in the schools.

## Goal: FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION RATE (for districts and schools that graduate seniors)

Description: Graduation rate will meet an $80 \%$ threshold and/or show growth.

## Goal: IMPROVING THE TEACHING AND LEARNING ENVIRONMENT

Description: To provide opportunities for all professional personnel to enhance teaching effectiveness, understand student learning, deal effectively with classroom disruptions, participate on quality councils for school improvement and on learning teams to implement change to enhance student achievement.

## Goal: MATHEMATICS

Description: At least $45 \%$ of all students will be proficient in Mathematics, as measured by the annual state-wide PSSA assessments.

## Goal: READING

Description: At least $54 \%$ of all students will be proficient in Reading, as measured by the annual statewide PSSA assessments.

## Goal: SPECIAL EDUCATION

Description: Students with disabilities will demonstrate continued educational progress in the least restrictive environment.

## Goal: STANDARDS AWARENESS, DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION

Description: To provide opportunities that focus on standards awareness, development and implementation.

## Goal: STUDENT ATTENDANCE

Description: Student attendance will meet a $90 \%$ threshold and/or show growth.

## Goal: STUDENT PARTICIPATION IN STATE ASSESSMENTS

Description: At least $95 \%$ of eligible students will participate in required state-wide assessments.

## Goal: TECHNOLOGY

Description: Technology is an integral part of every classroom enhancing learning opportunities and linking students to worldwide educational opportunities.
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## Academic Standards

The PA Academic Standards shall guide the Bedford Area School District educational curriculum in the following areas:

1. Reading, writing, speaking and listening
2. Mathematics
3. Science and technology
4. Environment and ecology
5. Social studies including History, Geography, Civics and government, and Economics
6. Arts and humanities
7. Career education and work
8. Health, safety and physical education
9. Family and consumer science
10. World languages.

The academic standards describe the knowledge and skills that students will be expected to demonstrate at the proficient level. The Bedford Area School District will provide for the attainment of the academic standards as per Chapter 4, Section 4.12.

## Graduation Requirements

Beginning with the class of 2007, in order to be eligible for graduation from the Bedford Area School District, a student shall meet the requirements of completing the required courses of planned instruction at the District defined Basic level or above, complete a culminating project, and demonstrate mastery of the PA Academic Standards by either attaining a score at the state performance level of proficient or advanced on the PSSA or other reliable and valid local assessment(s) aligned with the state standards or by completing the graduation requirements outlined in the students Individual Educational Plan. These requirements are further described below

Planned Instruction Requirements for Grades Nine through Twelve
Subject
Credits
English
4 credits
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## Graduation Requirements continued

| Mathematics | 4 credits (3 math credits with 4 science credits) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Science | 4 credits (3 science credits with 4 math credits) |
| Social Studies | 3 credits |
| Driver's Ed. (classroom) | 0.25 credit |
| Music | 0.5 credit |
| Health/Safety/PE | 2 credits |
| Library Science | 0.25 credit |
| Fine Arts | 0.25 credit |
| Family \& Con Science | 0.25 credit |
| Senior Humanities or | 1 credit |
| Senior Career Course |  |
| Technology Applications | 0.5 credit |

Beginning with the graduation class of 2010, in order to be eligible for graduation from the Bedford Area School District, a student shall have completed a course for credit taken using electronic engagement to complete the requirements.

## District Grading Structure

A 93 to 100 percent Superior
B 83 to 92 percent Advanced
C 73 to 82 percent Proficient
D 65 to 72 percent Basic
F 64 and below Below Basic
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Goals, Strategies and Activities

## Measurable Annual Improvement Targets

Early in the school year, each school in the BASD shall, through a team of teachers, administrators, and other school staff, review the student achievement data including PSSA scores, attendance, graduation rate if a high school, percentage of failure or retention and other pertinent local data to determine the overall success of the curriculum and instruction. Recommendations from the school group will be taken to the school learning team by the school administrator and suggestions made to the school and central office administration for improvement.

Within each school, each grade level team, or curriculum team in the case of a high school, will annually review the collected achievement data for the students who were in the grade level or content area the previous year and create a written report to the principal about student achievement along with any suggestions.

Each school in the District shall annually, through a structure entitled the Quality Council, evaluate through the use of attendance data, disciplinary records, student surveys and any other collected data the safeness of the school environment in terms of physical safety, emotional safety, and social safety for students and staff.

In the Bedford Area School District, all students and disaggregated subgroups of students with 40 or more members will show continuous sustained improvement in math. Evidence of improved student achievement in math for the students and subgroups will be the following percentage of students attaining a score of proficient or advanced on the PSSA in the following years: 2007-45\%, 2008-48.67\%, 2009 52.33\%, 2010-56\%, 2011-67\%, 2012-78\%

In the Bedford Area School District, all students and disaggregated subgroups of students with 40 or more members will show continuous sustained improvement in reading, speaking, and listening. Evidence of improved student achievement in reading, speaking, and listening for the students and subgroups will be the following percentage of students attaining a score of proficient or advanced on the PSSA in the following years: 2007-54\%, 2008-57\%, 2009-60\%, 2010-63\%, 2011-72\%, 2012-81\%

## Curriculum, Instruction and Instructional Materials

The curriculum of the Bedford Area School District is uniquely engaging and aligned with the state standards to meet the needs of each student. The curriculum is continually evolving to include current trends in research to empower life-long learning.

Indicators of success will be as follows:

- Students are successful meeting or exceeding state standards as evidenced on the PSSA.
- Each student exhibits growth.
- The curriculum is periodically evaluated, adapted and updated to meet current student needs.

When operating at it's ideal best, instruction in the Bedford Area School District will be individualized, incorporating the best known practices of the time and possessing the flexibility to accommodate various learning styles.
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Indicators of success will be as follows:

- Students are engaged.
- Teachers use multiple instructional strategies.
- Each student's learning style is identified and accommodated.

Curriculum, instruction and instructional materials will be selected and employed as per the following:

- will motivate students to become self-directed learners.
- are appropriate to student's developmental levels.
- are delivered based upon students' educational needs.
- correspond to the predominant learning style of each student.
- are based on best practice.
- are delivered using the most efficient and effective teaching strategies.
- align with Pennsylvania State Standards.
- use technology to enhance instruction.
- utilize community resources to connect students to learning.
- creates a psychologically safe environment for students to learn.


## Assessments and Public Reporting

Real-time performance data is continuously used to determine the effectiveness of instruction for individual students. The school district and teachers consistently plan instruction to advance student learning based upon the performance data. All stakeholders have immediate accessibility to appropriate data.

Assessment indicators of success are as follows:

- The ability to collect real-time individual student data.
- The stakeholders' ability to access and interpret data.
- That student performance will show measurable improvement.

In the Bedford Area School District, students in grades Kindergarten through 11 will be assessed to determine the degree to which students are achieving academic standards using assessment instruments that are specifically aligned to the state standards in reading and math. Through the use of formative assessments, teachers of students in grades Kdg through grade 7 will receive feedback about their students achievement three times per year. Through the use of summative state assessments or assessments that are valid and reliable at measuring state standards in reading and math, teachers of students in grades 3 through 11 will receive feedback about how the students they taught the previous year achieved on reading and math state standards.

The formative information will be used to adjust the curriculum, delivery, and learning experiences through out the year and the summative assessments will be used to adjust curriculum, delivery, and learning experiences for students coming into those grade levels.

Each year, a report will be made relative to students successes on the state PSSA and delivered to the school board at a public meeting in September or October. In addition, the annual district newsletter and individual building newsletters will carry information about how parents can access the school district's report card on the PDE website.
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## Targeted Assistance For Struggling Students

Targeted assistance for struggling students will take the form of the following:
Kindergarten - Need for remediation is identified through weekly evaluations, student progress on report cards and teacher observation. Individual teachers remediate two times per week in the areas of reading and/or math.

Grade 1 - Need for remediation is identified through authentic assessments, DIBELS, report card grades and teacher observation. Reading remediation is done through Title I, small group work and a weekly reading anchor that is completed in each classroom. Math remediation is done during the additional math periods that are scheduled three days per week.

Grade 2 - Need for remediation is identified through authentic assessments, DIBELS, report card grades and teacher observation. Reading remediation is completed in the individual classroom by the classroom teacher and in Title I. Math remediation is completed during one additional math period per week (presently focusing on math facts).

Grade 3 - Need for remediation is identified through a review of the academic standards/ eligible content pre and post tests, teacher input from report cards, observations and the 4Sight assessments. Three groups are created after each pre test and include: one group that is remediated and two that are enriched in the standard's content areas five times per week.

Grade 4 - Need for remediation is identified through the 4Sight test. Remediation takes place in math during the additional math period that is scheduled each day. Reading remediation is done in the individual classroom. The forth grade also uses SRA Reading as a reading remediation tool.

Grade 5 - Need for remediation is identified through the 4Sight assessment. Students are grouped for remediation into six groups that meet three times per week "Helping Everyone Learn Proficiently" (H.E.L.P.) to practice reading and math anchors.

Middle Level - Need for remediation will be identified through the use of 4 Sight assessment and other in class assessments of students proficiency on state standards. A during the day tutoring program in math will occur for 6th and 7th grade students. Additionally, each day there is a 35 minute intensive tutorial period in which at least two adults assisting students who are not achieving at the proficient level in the school.

High School Level - An advisory program is being implemented in the high schools as part of the 720 high schools initiative and additional learning activities will be a product of the program. Students not scoring proficient on the PSSA will receive a remediation program the following year and re-assessed on the state test or other valid and reliable tests to determine proficiency.

## Support for Struggling Schools

Students are invited to attend any school in the District where their grade configuration is taught if they are unable to reach achievement targets in the school they currently attend. Schools that experience failure to routinely meet the annual student achievement improvement targets will be required to follow the state school improvement process to determine needs and resources in order to move toward attainment of improvement targets.
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## Qualified, Effective Teachers and Capable Instructional Leaders

## Leadership

Persons holding leadership positions in the Bedford Area School District will be dynamic, passionate, and competent individuals. Their leadership embraces the core values, beliefs and mission of our district. Decisions are future focused, data driven, and student centered.

Indicators of Success:

- No interruption of services to students, staff and community during transition periods.
- Leadership assessment instrument reflects the districts leadership vision
- Data reflects a variety of individuals to assume leadership roles


## Personnel

Highly qualified personnel will demonstrate integrity, compassion, trust and respect in the learning community. Personnel will continue to utilize technology to expand learning opportunities beyond the school walls. Data informed decisions will become second nature for personnel to drive instruction for individual student success.

Indicators of Success:

- More students proficient test scores reflect the change in using data.
- Drop down screens showing discussions with other countries, engaging in virtual tours (visual)
- The learning community is actively engaged in the learning process

District's and schools within the district have the goal of meeting AYP as per the state guidelines in the curriculum areas where such is measured. In addition, the District and it's schools seek to create a learning environment where students will be able to successfully do the following:

- acquire, analyze, and organize information from a variety of sources.
- evaluate information from a variety of sources and apply it to solve problems.
- apply learned information to new situations.
- transfer new knowledge to others.
- demonstrate effective communication skills to a variety of audiences.
- create meaningful learning goals to stay marketable.
- demonstrate time management skills to deal with saturation.
- creatively apply problem solving skills.
- demonstrate an initiative to understand arts and cultures.

The Bedford Area School District deploys its most effective and highly qualified teachers to meet the learning needs of students who are below proficiency or are at risk of not graduating by hiring only highly qualified teachers and providing staff development so that all teachers are equally effective. If the District administration believes a teacher does not meet those two criteria, the teacher will receive and unsatisfactory rating until such time as they do or until such time as they no longer work in the district.
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## Parent and Community Participation

The District follows all guidelines and recommendations for the notification of parents of regular and exceptional students through information within the school, published on the District website, and published in the local newspaper. The District encourages and supports partnerships between community groups and the school system for education and community awareness related functions. The buildings of the District are extensively used by the community and through such relationships, additional partnerships have formed such as the School Community Council, a nonprofit entity that works to enhance the role of community in the schools.

The schools, particularly the elementary schools house out of district programs in after school child care, pre-kindergarten experiences, mentoring, tutoring, and other community and parent friendly programs.

The Elementary Schools have great participation by parents in family fun nights that have educational endeavors embedded in the activities at least twice a year.

The Middle School has parent friendly evenings and days where parents are invited into the schools to participate with their children in learning and fun activities.

The High School supports many parent groups who get involved as boosters, friends, committee participants and parent volunteers.

The District and community together believe that parents, businesses, and community members are empowered to be engaged in the education process, can articulate and support the mission of BASD, and play a meaningful role in education through their involvement and their expertise (job shadowing, mentoring, job fairs).

How we plan for and maintain our buildings, grounds, equipment, and vehicles.

- The school district buildings and grounds belong to the community. Stakeholders are welcomed
- Facilities are entrusted to the Stakeholders who serve as wise caretakers
- School district buildings and modes of transportation meet the physical and safety needs of students and stake holders
- Students and stake holders find a safe, healthy, and aesthetically pleasing learning environment
- Our facilities are flexible and can be changed to accommodate different types of learning
- Our capital investments are kept neat, and clean to create a positive educational atmosphere
- The school district facilities meet or exceed local, state, and federal building regulations and codes
- The school district facilities incorporate the latest technological advances

Education happens when the whole community is involved. The flow of cooperation and communication has no barriers.

Indicators of Success

- Teachers clamoring to be involved in the business community
- Business community clamoring to be involved in school life
- PTO has evolved into a stake holders organization K-12


## Pre-Kindergarten Transition

No Pre-K Offered


## FACILITIES INTRODUCTION

This section of the Feasibility Study is a review of the existing Bedford Area School District Facilities including: Bedford Elementary School, Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School, Bedford Middle School, Hyndman Middle/Senior High School and Bedford High School with District Administration Offices (DAO). All facilities include general data, plans, spatial evaluation, and a general investigation.

Following each building's floor plans, which show existing space utilization, is a general investigation identifying deficiencies, recommending solutions, and furnishing estimates of probable construction costs.

This analysis is based upon visits to the buildings and interviews with District personnel, current building codes, Department of Education standards, energy conservation measures, and the American Disability Act Accessibility Standards (ADA). The analysis is divided into seven major facility components: site, exterior, interior, heating/ventilation, plumbing, electrical, and code deficiencies per building. The Facility Evaluation Criteria is outlined on the following pages.

## Bedford Area School District Existing Facilities

The following information is included for each existing Facility: General Data, Exterior and Interior Building Photos, Aerial Site Views, Site Plan and Floor Plans, Room Schedule, Summary of Costs, and Building Improvements and Construction Costs data.

Bedford Elementary School


Built: 1996
Site size: $\quad 21$ acres
Architectural Area: 115,105 SF
PDE Total Capacity: 975

PDE Replacement Value: \$16,056,300
20\% Rule: $\quad \$ 3,211,260$

Building Improvements and Construction Costs
Total Building: $\quad \$ 1,213,630$

Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School


| Built: | 1958, additions 1989 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site size: |  |
| Architectural Area: | $32,725 \mathrm{SF}$ |
|  |  |
| PDE Total Capacity: | 325 |
| PDE Replacement Value: $\$ 5,352,100$ |  |
| 20\% Rule: |  |
| \$1,070,420 |  |
| Building Improvements and Construction Costs |  |
| Total Building: $\$ 2,500,450$ |  |

Bedford Middle School


Built:

Site size: $\quad 21.5$ acres
Architectural Area: 81,000 SF
PDE Total Capacity: 590

PDE Replacement Value: \$12,990,030
20\% Rule:
\$ 2,598,006

Building Improvements and Construction Costs Total Building: \$9,158,922

Hyndman Middle / Senior High School


Built:
Site size:
Architectural Area:
PDE Total Capacity:
356

PDE Replacement Value: \$7,838,052
20\% Rule:
\$1,567,610

Building Improvements and Construction Costs
Total Building: $\$ 2,795,925$

## Bedford High School



Built:

Site:
Architectural Area:

PDE Total Capacity: 724 (742 with DAO)
PDE Replacement Value: $\$ 16,336,614$
20\% Rule: $\quad \$ 3,267,323$

Building Improvements and Construction Costs
Total Building: $\quad \$ 1,671,700$

The evaluation of the existing facilities are based upon visits to the buildings, interviews with District personnel, and our own experience with educational projects.

The following current, applicable codes and standards are used in the evaluation of the building and its systems / components:

- 2006 International Building Code Categories
- Americans with Disability Act (ADAAG 1994)
- Municipal Zoning Ordinance
- Other Codes used in the evaluation for compliance are the National Plumbing and Electrical Codes

The evaluation criteria are based upon the following categories: Accessibility / ADA, Building codes / Safety, Aesthetics / Environment, Performance / Energy, and Program and Facility requirements.

## ACCESSIBILITY / ADA STANDARDS / COMPLIANCE

Facilities should provide access to all program areas and activities for all individuals, per the Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines, 1990 (ADA/ADAAG), as revised 1994. The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) is a civil rights act, effective 26 January 1992, enforced by the United States Justice Department and Civil Law, not a building code. It is comprised of five major sections (Titles I - V) as follows:

```
TITLE I - Equal Employment Provisions (hiring)
TITLE II - Nondiscrimination in State and Local Government Services (public buildings)
TITLE III - Nondiscrimination by Public Accommodations (privately funded facilities)
TITLE IV - Telecommunications Relay Services
TITLE V - Provisions
```

Public schools are State agencies/local governmental unit and would fall under TITLE II. A public entity must ensure that individuals with disabilities are not excluded from services, programs, and activities because existing buildings are inaccessible. Public entities do not necessarily have to make each of their existing facilities accessible. They may provide program accessibility by a number of methods including alteration of existing facilities, construction of additional facilities, relocation of a service or program to an accessible facility, or provision of services at alternate accessible sites. Structural changes needed for program accessibility must be made as expeditiously as possible, but no later than 26 January 1995. Barrier removal need to be accomplished only when it is "readily achievable" to do so and technically feasible. Readily achievable means easily accomplishable and able to be carried out without much difficulty or expense. Alternatives may be considered to overcome such barrier or non-compliance.

## - ACCESSIBILITY / ADA STANDARDS / COMPLIANCE (Con't)

Alterations when made should be done in a manner that require compliance with the standards to the maximum extent feasible. An alteration is a change, which affects, or could affect, the usability of the building or facility. It also includes "elements," such as door handles and faucet controls. If alterations are made to an area that contains a primary function, a path of travel to that area should be made accessible. The ADA addresses the issue of accessible design for large assembly areas, with the intent of integrating wheelchair seating with regular seating. That is, individuals in wheelchairs should have a line of sight compatible to the general body. Too often, wheelchair areas are confined to the back or to the front.

As part of the upgrading and alteration of District facilities, the District's requirements for ADA compliance should reflect the overall integration of people who may wish to participate in activities within these facilities, and who may be on staff serving these facilities. The District may wish to review its policy, procedure, and practice, with regard to use at these facilities. The physically challenged person should have the ability to gain entry and be routed to seating easily. The required number of seats for the disabled should be located to allow for a maximum of seating location choices. The following areas are reviewed:
(1) Provide the appropriate number of accessible parking spaces near entrance to all facilities.
(2) Provide an accessible route from parking spaces to building entrances.
(3) Provide accessible entrance at all facilities.
(4) Provide proper signage both on the exterior, as well as on the interior, designed to guide, direct, and inform individuals with disabilities.
(5) Provide accessible interior route to all primary activities and program areas.
(6) Provide building elements (i.e. railings, doors, hardware, restrooms, drinking fountains, elevators, public telephone, seating, work stations, etc.) to allow same opportunities for individuals with disabilities.
(7) Provide alternate solutions to move activities and program areas to accessible areas.

## BUILDING CODES / SAFETY

Buildings must meet the codes that are applicable at the time of construction. Existing buildings may not meet the requirements of the most recent adopted codes, but are in compliance with the codes that were in effect at the time of construction or renovation.

Existing buildings as they stand are not required to meet current code simply due to the adoption of newer codes. Any new construction or renovations would be required to comply with the current applicable code.

> The type, limit of area of work, and nature of work will be the determining factor as to the required level of compliance with the most recent adopted codes and be categorized under the following levels.

## IEBC-SECTION 302 REPAIRS

302.1 Scope. Repairs, as defined in Chapter 2, include the patching or restoration of materials, elements, equipment, or fixtures for the purpose of maintaining such materials, elements, equipment, or fixtures in good or sound condition.
302.2 Application. Repairs shall comply with the provisions of Chapter 4 (not make building less conforming than before repair was undertaken).

## IEBC-SECTION 303 ALTERATION-LEVEL 1

303.1 Scope. Level 1 alterations include the removal and replacement, or the covering, of existing materials, elements, equipment, or fixtures using new materials, elements, equipment, or fixtures that serve the same purpose.
303.2 Application. Level 1 alterations shall comply with the provisions of Chapter 5.

## IEBC-SECTION 304 ALTERATION-LEVEL 2

3043.1 Scope. Level 2 alterations include the reconfiguration of space, the addition or elimination of any door or window, the reconfiguration or extension of any system, or the installation of any additional equipment.
304.2 Application. Level 2 alterations shall comply with the provisions of Chapter 5 for Level 1 alterations, as well as the provisions of Chapter 6.

## IEBC-SECTION 305 ALTERATION-LEVEL 3

305.1 Scope. Level 3 alterations apply where the work area exceeds 50 percent of the aggregate area of the building.
305.2 Application. Level 3 alterations shall comply with the provisions of Chapters 5 and 6 for Level 1 and 2 alterations, respectively, as well as the provisions of Chapter 7.

Facilities should meet the following health and safety issues:
(1) Pedestrian and vehicular circulation paths should be well lighted and provide clear site lines and field of views.
(2) Safe drop-off and pick-up areas should be provided with good separation from other functions.
(3) Fences should be located at appropriate points to separate pedestrian activities from hazardous elements, and to protect individuals or property from attack.
(4) Design of site elements should provide good drainage to prevent ponding or icy conditions.
(5) Entrances and exterior doors should meet appropriate level of security to control unwanted visitors, and reduce risk of threats (key consideration where children are located.)
(6) Correct any issues driven by user welfare or recognized health hazards.

## - AESTHETIC / ENVIRONMENT UPGRADES

All facilities require on-going maintenance attention at the current level or better. Preventative maintenance and repair will have a major effect on the appearance, while protecting the physical soundness of the facilities.

The facility should be enhanced by finishes and designs that exemplify the "state-of-the-art" in public accommodations. Finishes of walls should reduce reverberation and echo in event areas, and should add to the focal points. Carpet should support comfortable mobility, without creating resistance to equipment supports (i.e., crutches, canes, wheelchairs, moving AV equipment). Hard floor surfaces should be slip-resistant ( 0.6 coefficient wet/dry). Ceilings should maximize reflectance. Color contrasts between different surfaces should be distinct between floors, walls, and ceilings. Color should guide the eye from dark to light, to the focal points of events. The lightest areas in the lecture hall should be where speakers, presentations, projected images, and events are positioned. Material selection should also consider durability and maintenance.

The facilities should present an environment that is clean, pleasant, and enhances the activities within the space. Facilities should consider the following conditions:
(1) Well balanced and flexible lighting.
(2) Appropriate color selection and finish materials.
(3) Interior finishes and products adequately installed and maintained. Replace worn, torn, or broken products.

## - PERFORMANCE / ENERGY UPGRADES

Beyond Code compliance, aesthetic quality, and nature of the environment, is the performance of the facilities and building systems. Since the installation of many of the building component systems, there have been significant advancements in technology. The design requirements for facilities are at a different standard today, and there is a need to improve the efficiency, where possible, and correct any outdated and obsolete items.

The facilities should operate at an energy efficient level and provide comfortable environment for all users.

An increase in the performance characteristics of several of the buildings' component systems, due to age and condition of existing system or a need to improve efficiency, causes the following upgrades:
(1) Correct deficiencies with regard to extending the life of building systems and components.
(2) Building envelope, lighting, mechanical, and other issues, related to energy conservation, should meet current standards and future concerns.

## - PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS AND UPGRADES

As the School District's student population changes and while facilities become older, the adequacy of building organization and spaces become more critical to meeting the current educational program.

The intent of the educational review is to help support the role of the District in determining the scope of any potential changes, improvements, or enhancements to meet both current standards as well as future visions. The following issues are reviewed that will be supportive of the District's Educational Program for the next 20 years:

- Classrooms that meet State standards for size and functions (provide instructional space that allows several types of teaching and learning activities.
- Current instructional practices require greater hands-on and group activities integrated with technology requiring greater space per school.
- A growing special educational population, coupled with the need for inclusion, requires more space for instruction and support positions.
- The number of meeting spaces for a range of size for conferences, teacher-parent, staff, and other interactions, which are properly located and have privacy.
- Use of technology and presentation space for staff and students (wireless laptops, projection systems, etc.)
- Are there current programs or activities that are located in appropriate rooms or areas due to size, location, or environment?
- Are required features of the learning environment missing, outdated, or not operational?
- Are community needs addressed?
- Review emerging educational offerings and trends.
- Review specialized facilities for Athletics, Performing Arts, or Fine Arts.
- Cafeteria and Food Service functions that meet current standards or desired accommodations.
- Administration and office areas that are adequate for modern educational facilities and provide supportive environment critical for today's population and needs.
- Address student needs that provide opportunities to perform and achieve adequate progress in learning and social development.
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## GENERAL DATA

## Bedford Elementary School

| Built: | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Site: | 21 acres, located in a residential area with paved drives and parking, and play area. |
| Structure: | The School is a partial two-story structure with steel frame, masonry walls, concrete floors, and flat rubber roof. Non-combustible construction in accordance with the International Building Code. |
| Heating System: | 2 natural gas, hot water boilers with a chiller for cooling (roof location). |
| Mechanical System: | Municipal water and sanitary systems |
| Electrical Service: | 480/277 volt; 3-phase; 4-wire; 2000 amp |
| Systems: | Fire Alarm <br> Emergency Lighting <br> Data, CATB, Intercom Networks <br> Telephone (wired through High School) <br> Security / Card Reader |
| Architectural Area: | 115,105 SF |
| PDE Replacement Value: | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 16,056,300(975 \text { FTE } \times 92 \mathrm{sf}=89,700 \times \$ 179 / \mathrm{sf}=\text { replacement cost }) \\ & \$ 3,211,260(20 \% \text { Rule }) \end{aligned}$ |
| PDE Total Capacity: | 975 |
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## EXISTING GROUND FLOOR PLAN
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## Bedford Elementary School



## EXISTING K-5 ROOM SCHEDULE

## Bedford Elementary School

| Educational Space | No. | Area | Total | Dist. <br> FTE | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PDE } \\ & \text { FTE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Half Day Kindergarten |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Full Day Kindergarten | 7 | 925 | 6,475 | 140 | 175 |
| First Grade Classroom | 7 | 845 | 5,915 | 140 | 175 |
| Second Grade Classroom | 7 | 845 | 5,915 | 140 | 175 |
| Third Grade Classroom | 6 | 845 | 5,070 | 138 | 150 |
| Fourth Grade Classroom | 6 | 845 | 5,070 | 138 | 150 |
| Fifth Grade Classroom | 6 | 845 | 5,070 | 138 | 150 |
| Support Classroom |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reg. CIsrm. < 660 SF Pre Kindergarten |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Special Education CIsrm. S.E. Seminar / S.G.I. | 5 | 860 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,300 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Media Center | 1 | 4,010 | 4,010 |  |  |
| Small Group Instruction | 4 | 150 | 600 |  |  |
| Small Group Instruction | 4 | 550 | 2,200 |  |  |
| Large Group Instruction | 4 | 1,945 | 7,780 |  |  |
| Large Group Instruction | 1 | 2,070 | 2,070 |  |  |
| Computer Lab | 1 | 890 | 890 |  |  |
| Computer Lab | 1.0 | 885 | 885 |  |  |
| Art / Music Classroom | 1.0 | 890 | 890 |  |  |
| Music Classroom | 1 | 890 | 890 |  |  |
| Gymnasium / Multi-Purpose Rm | 1 | 6,045 | 6,045 |  |  |
| Locker Room |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Stage / Platform | 1 | 1,670 | 1,670 |  |  |
| Student Dining |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Kitchen Areas | 1 | 3,310 | 3,310 |  |  |
| Administration / Guidance | 1 | 4,115 | 4,115 |  |  |
| Health Suite | 1 | 1,170 | 1,170 |  |  |
| Faculty Dining / Workroom | 1 | 355 | 355 |  |  |
| Faculty / I.P.C. | 8 | 130 | 1,040 |  |  |
| FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY |  |  |  | 834 | 975 |
| TOTAL CAPACITY |  |  |  | 834 | 975 |
| SPECIAL EDUCATION CAPACITY |  |  |  | 50 | 125 |
| SCHEDULED AREA |  |  | 75,735 |  |  |
| ARCHITECTURAL AREA |  |  | 115,105 |  |  |

P.D.E. Capacity: 25 students per classroom. District Capacity: Grades K-2 $=20$ students per classroom; Grades 3-5 = 23 students per classroom; Grades 6-12 = 25 students per classroom; S.E. Capacity $=10$ students per classroom.
Elementary Functional Capacity includes Graded Classrooms, while the Total Capacity also includes Support Classrooms that are needed to support the educational program including Pre-Kindergarten. Special Education Capacity is not included in the Functional Capacity or Total Capacity.

The Existing building capacity may have been adjusted to represent the intended or adjusted use of space, therefore, showing respective support classrooms including Art, Music and Computer Education spaces. The area of existing spaces may be an average of the respective spaces.

Bedford Elementary School

|  |  | Cost per SF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SITE EVALUATION | \$70,300.00 | \$0.61 SF |
| EXTERIOR EVALUATION | \$0.00 | \$0.00 SF |
| INTERIOR EVALUATION | \$1,580.00 | \$0.01 SF |
| HEATING / VENTILATION EVALUATION | \$0.00 | \$0.00 SF |
| PLUMBING EVALUATION | \$0.00 | \$0.00 SF |
| ELECTRICAL EVALUATION | \$413,000.00 | \$3.59 SF |
| CODE EVALUATION | \$728,750.00 | \$6.33 SF |
| TOTAL BUILDING | \$1,213,630.00 | \$10.54 SF |
| RANK 1 TOTAL COST - REQUIRED | \$0.00 | \$0.00 SF |
| RANK 2 TOTAL COST - RECOMMENDED | \$479,300.00 | \$4.16 SF |
| RANK 3 TOTAL COST - OPTIONAL | \$734,330.00 | \$6.38 SF |

## Bedford Elementary School

Rank
Cost

1. Site Evaluation:
a. Repair cracking at the bituminous paving, seal, and repaint lines.
b. Replace the section of settled concrete sidewalk at the north

2
$\$ 500.00$ Gymnasium exit door.

Total Site Evaluation Cost
\$70,300.00
2. Exterior of Building Evaluation:

There are no apparent deficiencies.
N/A
$\$ 0.00$

Total Exterior of Building Evaluation Cost
$\$ 0.00$
3. Interior of Building Evaluation:
a. Extend the fuel oil pipe vent that terminates in attic space to the
2
$\$ 500.00$ exterior.
b. Replace the worn green carpet in the corridor, outside Room 138.
3
\$1,080.00
Total Interior Evaluation Cost
\$1,580.00
4. Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning Evaluation:

There are no apparent deficiencies.
N/A
$\$ 0.00$

Total HVAC Evaluation Cost
$\$ 0.00$
5. Plumbing Evaluation:
$\begin{array}{lrl}\text { a. } \begin{array}{l}\text { There are no apparent deficiencies. }\end{array} & \$ 0.00 \\ \text { Total Plumbing Evaluation Cost } & \text { N/A } & \mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 0}\end{array}$

## Bedford Elementary School

Rank
6. Electrical Evaluation:
a. Install motion detectors for energy savings and daylighting.
b. Upgrade security and controlled access for surveillance and alarms.
c. Upgrade the existing wave reader 16 camera DVD system with the addition of 1 camera. Replace the key scan swipe card system at 5 doors with a proximity reader card system.

Total Electrical Evaluation Cost
7. Code Evaluation:

The following items may be required depending on the level of work completed.
a. Renovate the Kitchen counter / sink and toilet room at the Environmental Center to meet accessibility code.
b. The ramp access to the stage is not within the room and therefore, does not meet current code requirements.
c. Install a curb ramp at the teachers' entrance to meet accessibility code.
d. Lower the fire extinguisher cabinets to meet accessibility code.
e. Provide workstations and counters for handicapped in the Nurse's Suite, Administration Office, and Classroom A110.
f. Upgrade 1 boys' and 1 girls' gang toilet room, per floor, to comply with ADA requirements.
g. Upgrade 5 individual use toilet rooms to comply with ADA 3 requirements.
h. Upgrade the men's and women's gang toilets, per floor, to meet 3

Cost
$\$ 400,000.00$
\$7,500.00
$\$ 44,000.00$

## Bedford Elementary School

RankCosti. Install beveled stair nosing risers at all stair risers.j. Add piping insulation packages to exposed piping below lavatories.$3 \$ 1,500.00$
k. Install 5 accessible on-site parking spaces with signage.$3 \$ 1,500.00$
I. Install a fire suppression system. ..... 3 ..... \$379,850.00Add a fire pump, piping, and controls, if insulation pressure isavailable.Add a 20,000 gallon underground storage tank if required.
Total Code Evaluation Cost ..... \$728,750.00
Total Building Cost ..... \$1,213,630.00

## GENERAL DATA

## Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School

| Built: | 1958, with additions in 1989. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Site: | 11.8 acres which are shared with the Middle / High School. The School is located in a residential area with paved drives and parking, and play area. |
| Structure: | The building is a one-story structure; wood and steel frame; masonry walls; concrete floors; and a flat rubber roof. Non-combustible construction in accordance with the International Building Code. |
| Heating System: | Rebuilt two hot water boilers, operation No. 2 fuel oil (new tank in 2003) |
| Mechanical System: | Domestic water heater. (operation No. 2 fuel oil (new in 2006) |
| Electrical Service: | 208/120 volt; 3-phase; 4-wire; 600 amp |
| Systems: | Fire Alarm |
|  | Emergency Lighting |
|  | Data, CATV, Intercom Networks |
|  | Telephone - wired through Middle / High School |
| Architectural Area: | 32,725 SF |
| PDE Replacement Value: | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,352,100(325 \text { FTE } \times 92 \mathrm{sf}=29,900 \times \$ 179 / \mathrm{sf}=\text { replacement cost }) \\ & \$ 1,070,420(20 \% \text { Rule }) \end{aligned}$ |
| PDE Total Capacity: | 325 |

## Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School



## Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School



## Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School



## EXISTING FLOOR PLAN

## Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School



## EXISTING K-5 ROOM SCHEDULE

## Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School


P.D.E. Capacity: 25 students per classroom. District Capacity: Grades K-2 $=20$ students per classroom; Grades 3-5 = 23 students per classroom; Grades 6-12 $=25$ students per classroom; S.E. Capacity $=10$ students per classroom.
Elementary Functional Capacity includes Graded Classrooms, while the Total Capacity also includes Support Classrooms that are needed to support the educational program including Pre-Kindergarten. Special Education Capacity is not included in the Functional Capacity or Total Capacity.

The Existing building capacity may have been adjusted to represent the intended or adjusted use of space, therefore, showing respective support classrooms including Art, Music and Computer Education spaces. The area of existing spaces may be an average.

Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School

|  |  | Cost per SF |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| SITE EVALUATION | $\$ 83,200.00$ | $\$ 2.54 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| EXTERIOR EVALUATION | $\$ 551,600.00$ | $\$ 16.86 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| INTERIOR EVALUATION | $\$ 234,950.00$ | $\$ 7.18 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| HEATING / VENTILATION EVALUATION | $\$ 514,000.00$ | $\$ 15.71 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| PLUMBING EVALUATION | $\$ 80,000.00$ | $\$ 2.44 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| ELECTRICAL EVALUATION | $\$ 630,000.00$ | $\$ 19.25 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| CODE EVALUATION | $\$ 406,700.00$ | $\$ 12.43 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| TOTAL BUILDING |  | $\$ 76.41 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| RANK 1 TOTAL COST - REQUIRED | $\$ 27,300.00$ | $\$ 0.83 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| RANK 2 TOTAL COST - RECOMMENDED | $\$ 602,700.00$ | $\$ 18.42 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| RANK 3 TOTAL COST - OPTIONAL | $\$ 1,870,450.00$ | $\$ 57.16 \mathrm{SF}$ |

## Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School

Rank

1. Site Evaluation:
a. Repair ground area and seed at damaged section, adjacent to main drive.
b. Replace cracked and spalled concrete sidewalk at several 2 locations.
c. Overlay paved bituminous parking lot and repaint parking lines.
d. Overlay paved bituminous walkway to the High School.

Total Site Evaluation Cost
2

2
$\$ 4,000.00$
\$83,200.00
2. Exterior of Building Evaluation:

| a. Replace the exterior entry doors and frames of the 1958 building | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 25,300.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| and the exterior cafeteria door. |  |  |
| b. Repair cracking at the brick face. | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 4,000.00$ |
| c. Scrape peeling paint, repaint canopy, and support posts at the main |  |  |
| entry. | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 1,000.00$ |
| d. Install new energy efficient windows in the 1958 building. | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 291,400.00$ |
| e. Paint the handrail / guardrail to the boiler room. | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 400.00$ |
| f. Replace the rubber roof at the 1958 building with new EPDM. | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 216,000.00$ |
| g. Clean brick on the 1958 building. | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 13,500.00$ |

## BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS AND CONSTRUCTION COSTS

## Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School

Rank
3. Interior of Building Evaluation:
a. Install new vinyl tile and rubber base in the 1958 building.
b. Replace damaged wall wainscot in the Multipurpose Room.
c. Replace gang toilet partitions in the 1958 building.
d. Paint rooms and corridors of the 1958 building.
e. Refinish the platform steps and floor.
f. Replace curtains and platform rigging.
g. In the 1958 building, replace the base cabinets that have sinks with new units.
h. Repair the cracked concrete masonry unit walls.
i. Install new carpet at the Faculty / Conference and Library Room in the 1989 building.
j. Install new acoustical tile ceilings in the Multipurpose Room.
k. Asbestos abatement ( $13,200 \mathrm{sf}$ of vinyl asbestos tile)
I. Replace classroom chalkboards in the 1958 building with marker boards.

Total Interior Evaluation Cost
4. Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning Evaluation:
a. Upgrade the heating, ventilating, and cooling equipment that has
exceeded its service life in the 1958 building.
b. Upgrade the ventilation system in the electrical / mechanical room.
3504,000.00
Total HVAC Evaluation Cost

## Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School

Rank
5. Plumbing Evaluation:
a. Replace faucets and flush valves with energy efficient / conservation trim units.

Total Plumbing Evaluation Cost
$\$ 80,000.00$
6. Electrical Evaluation:
a. Replace the emergency generator.
b. Upgrade lighting and controls with motion detectors for energy savings and daylighting.
c. Upgrade the emergency lighting system throughout the building for energy savings.
d. Upgrade the platform lighting system.
e. Upgrade the power distribution system throughout the building.
f. Provide new technology wire management systems throughout the building.
g. Rework security vestibules and controlled access for surveillance and alarms.
h. Upgrade the closed circuit, remote access monitor with a 6 camera system. Extend coverage of the existing burglar system to cover the entire building. Install a proximity reader card system at 3 doors.

Total Electrical Evaluation Cost
\$630,000.00

## BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS AND CONSTRUCTION COSTS

## Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School

Rank
Cost

## 7. Code Evaluation:

The following items may be required depending on the level of work completed.
a. Install two curb ramps for accessibility. 2
b. Install exterior and interior accessibility signage.

3
c. Install a ramp to grade at the Kindergarten fenced play area for accessibility.
d. Replace four sets of double doors at the Multipurpose Room to meet accessibility code.
e. Install a lift to the platform.

3
3
\$5,000.00
f. Replace glass in the classroom doors, corridor doors, transoms

3
\$7,000.00 and display cases.
g. Renovate toilet at the Nurse's Office to meet accessibility.

3
h. Install lever hardware at doors.
i. Provide workstations and counters for handicapped in the General Office and Nurse's Office to meet accessibility code.
j. Upgrade the boys' and girls' gang toilets in the 1958 building to comply with ADA requirements.
k. Upgrade Faculty toilet rooms to comply with ADA requirements. 3
I. Replace / upgrade the fire alarm system. 3
\$65,000.00
m. Remount light switches to ADA heights.

3
n. Add piping insulation packages to exposed piping below lavatories.

3
\$25,000.00
$\$ 500.00$
o. Install a backflow preventer on the incoming domestic water line. E 3 \$5,000.00
p. Add fuel source shutdown switches to central boiler room.

3
\$2,500.00

## BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS AND CONSTRUCTION COSTS

## Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School

$\frac{\text { Rank }}{3} \frac{\text { Cost }}{\$ 1,000.00}$
q, Install 2 accessible on-site parking spaces with signage.
r. Install a fire suppression system.
$3 \$ 108,000.00$
Add a fire pump, piping, and controls, if insulation pressure is available.
Add a 20,000 gallon underground storage tank if required.

Total Code Evaluation Cost
\$406,700.00

Total Building $\$ 2,500,450.00$

## GENERAL DATA

## Bedford Middle School

Built:

Site:

Structure:

Heating System: Two original, natural gas, hot-water boilers; back-up oil, with chiller

Mechanical System: Municipal water and sanitary systems
Electrical Service:

Systems:

Architectural Area: 81,000 SF

PDE Replacement Value: $\quad \$ 12,990,030$ (590 FTE x 123sf $=72,570 \times \$ 179 / \mathrm{sf}=$ replacement cost) \$ 2,598,006 (20\% Rule)

PDE Total Capacity: 590

Bedford Middle School


## Bedford Middle School



## Bedford Middle School






## EXISTING FIRST FLOOR PLAN

Bedford Middle School




## EXISTING 6-8 ROOM SCHEDULE

## Bedford Middle School


P.D.E. Capacity $=90 \%$ Utilization Factor; District Capacity $=80 \%$ Utilization Factor. District S.E. Capacity $=10$ students per classroom.
Secondary Functional Capacity includes all spaces that receive capacity except the District Administration Offices, while the Total Capacity also includes the District Administration Offices. Special Education Capacity is not included in the Functional Capacity or Total Capacity. The area of existing spaces may be an average of the respective spaces.

Bedford Middle School

|  |  | Cost per SF |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| SITE EVALUATION | $\$ 597,100.00$ | $\$ 7.37$ SF |
| EXTERIOR EVALUATION | $\$ 862,197.00$ | $\$ 10.64 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| INTERIOR EVALUATION | $\$ 1,705,525.00$ | $\$ 21.06$ SF |
| HEATING / VENTILATION EVALUATION | $\$ 2,911,000.00$ | $\$ 35.94 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| PLUMBING EVALUATION | $\$ 258,000.00$ | $\$ 3.19 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| ELECTRICAL EVALUATION | $\$ 1,845,750.00$ | $\$ 22.79 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| CODE EVALUATION | $\$ 9,158,922.00$ | $\$ 12.09 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| TOTAL BUILDING |  | $\$ 113.07 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| RANK 1 TOTAL COST - REQUIRED | $\$ 5,178,885.00$ | $\$ 63.94 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| RANK 2 TOTAL COST - RECOMMENDED | $\$ 2,377,687.00$ | $\$ 29.35 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| RANK 3 TOTAL COST - OPTIONAL | $\$ 1,602,350.00$ | $\$ 19.78 \mathrm{SF}$ |

## Bedford Middle School

Rank $\qquad$

1. Site Evaluation:
a. Remove the existing track and install a new surface.
$2 \$ 350,000.00$
b. Install a vinyl covering on the wood surfaces of two baseball dugouts.
c. Replace area of the sunken sidewalk at curb.
d. Replace cracked and spalled concrete sidewalk at several locations.
e. Repair cracking and bituminous paving and overlay, and paint new lines.
f. Install new concrete wheel stops at west parking lot.
g. Demolish 3 tennis courts and construct new courts with a drainage system.

## Total Site Evaluation Cost

\$597,100.00
2. Exterior of Building Evaluation:
a. Scrape paint and repaint lintels
b. Repoint the deteriorated precast concrete panels.

2
c. Replace building windows.

1 L/D
d. Replace roof with new EPDM.

3 D
e. Clean brick and precast panels.

2 D
f. Sawcut joint at the 3 exterior doors and install expansion joint 2 material and caulk.
\$5,229.00
\$233,040.00
$\$ 486,000.00$
\$55,968.00
$\$ 4,160.00$
\$1,800.00

## Bedford Middle School

|  | $\frac{\text { Rank }}{}$ | Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| g. | Replace exterior doors and frame, and include a proximity reader <br> access system. | $\$ 76,000.00$ |
| Total Exterior of Building Evaluation Cost |  | $\$ 862,197.00$ |

## 3. Interior of Building Evaluation:

a. Paint the rooms and corridor walls in the entire building.

1 D $\$ 125,550.00$
b. Install new acoustical tile ceilings.

1 D $\$ 230,850.00$
c. Repair settlement at slab at Music Room, south wall, by jack 2 leveling.
d. Replace Classroom chalkboards with markerboards.

3
e. Repair settlement slab at Mechanical Room. 2 \$175,000.00
f. Repair settlement crack at first floor of stair \#3 and install new vinyl

2 $\$ 4,000.00$ tile.
g. Caulk horizontal wall crack at Gymnasium walls.

2
\$1,500.00
h. Replace cabinetry at Arts \& Crafts.

2 D
$\$ 45,000.00$
i. Replace Library cabinetry, shelves, and circulation desk.

2 D $\$ 125,000.00$
j. Replace cabinetry at Administration and Nurse's Office. 2 D
\$32,000.00
k. Replace cabinetry in Science Room and Science Project Rooms.
I. Caulk horizontal wall crack above windows, adjacent to stair \#1.
m. Replace cabinetry in Art Room, Family and Consumer Science, 2 D $\$ 170,000.00$
Music, Band, and Graphics.
n. Install new corridor student lockers.

1 D
\$112,000.00
o. Clean brick.

2 D
$\$ 8,000.00$

## Bedford Middle School

|  |  | Rank |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Replace carpet at Classrooms and corridors. | 1 | D | \$204,525.00 |
| q. | Replace interior hallway wood doors with new. | 1 | D | \$55,200.00 |
| r. | Replace toilet partitions with graffiti-resistant partitions. | 1 | D | \$33,000.00 |
| s. | Replace some of the kitchen equipment. | 2 |  | \$50,000.00 |
| t. | Replace or refinish lockers at boys', girls', and team Locker Rooms. | 2 | D | \$90,400.00 |
|  | Total Interior Evaluation Cost |  |  | \$1,705,525.00 |

4. Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning Evaluation:
a. Install a geo-thermal system with ATC to heat and cool the entire 1 L/D $\$ 2,835,000.00$
building.
b. Replace aged dust collector with new unit. 3
c. Repair Greenhouse controls.
$3 \quad \$ 75,000.00$

Total HVAC Evaluation Cost
2 D
$\$ 1,000.00$
\$2,911,000.00
5. Plumbing Evaluation:
$\begin{array}{llrrr}\text { a. Replace the fixtures with new energy efficient fixtures. } & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{L} & \$ 235,000.00 \\ \text { b. Replace shower temperature mixing valves. } & \mathbf{2} & \$ 8,000.00 \\ \text { c. For emergency purposes, add safety shutdown devices in the } & \mathbf{2} & \$ 6,000.00 \\ \begin{array}{llll}\text { Science Room for gas. } & & \mathbf{2} & \$ 9,000.00 \\ \text { d. Add an exterior acid neutralization tank for science classrooms. } & & \mathbf{\$ 2 5 8 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}\end{array}\end{array}$

## Bedford Middle School

Rank
6. Electrical Evaluation:
a. Replace emergency propane generator tank.
b. Install new lighting and controls with motion detectors for energy savings and daylighting.
c. Install a new emergency lighting system throughout the building for energy savings.
d. Upgrade the power distribution system throughout the building with 2 \$670,720.00 new service and more circuits.
e. Provide new technology wire management systems throughout the building.
f. Install a security warning system in all occupied rooms and
$\$ 85,860.00$ enhance controlled access for surveillance and alarms (add 16 cameras).
g. Upgrade the existing wave reader 16 camera SVR system with the addition of 3 cameras. Replace the key scan numeric touch pad access system at 2 doors with a proximity reader card system. Install a security warning system in all occupied rooms.

Total Electrical Evaluation Cost
\$1,845,750.00

## 7. Code Evaluation:

The following items may be required depending on the level of work completed.
a. Replace guardrails and handrails at all stairs, and add center rail to 3 comply with code.
b. Install the exterior and interior ADA signage.
c. Provide areas of rescue assistance as well as two-way 3

## Bedford Middle School

|  |  | Rank | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Replace electric water coolers to comply with ADA requirements. | 3 | \$21,000.00 |
|  | Install ADA compliant student lockers (Cost listed under "Interior of Building", Section 3, Item N.). | 1 D | \$0.00 |
| f. | Replace glass in Classroom doors, corridor doors, transoms, and display cases with safety glass (Cost of Classroom doors under "Interior of Building", Section 3, Item Q.). | 3 | \$8,000.00 |
| g. | Install lever hardware at doors (Cost of Classroom doors under "Interior of Building", Section 3, Item Q.). | 3 | \$10,000.00 |
|  | Provide workstations and counters for handicapped in the General Office, Library, Art, Science, Homemaking, Computer Rooms, Industrial Arts, etc. to meet code (Costs listed under "Interior of Building", Section 3, Items H, I, J, K, and M.). | 1 D | \$0.00 |
| i. | Upgrade 1 boys' and 1 girls' toilet room, per floor, to comply with ADA requirements. | 3 | \$128,000.00 |
| j. | Upgrade all individual use toilet rooms to comply with ADA requirements. | 3 | \$77,000.00 |
| k. | Provide access to the lower level of the LGI to meet code. | 3 | \$62,000.00 |
| 1. | Update the elevator to meet ADA code. | 1 D | \$10,000.00 |
| m. | Install a hand lav in the Dishwashing Room to meet code. | 3 | \$3,000.00 |
| n . | Upgrade girls' and boys' locker rooms to meet ADA requirements. | 1 D | \$48,000.00 |
| 0. | Replace the fire alarm system. | 1 D | \$60,750.00 |
|  | Remount the light switches to ADA heights (Cost listed under "Electrical", Section 6, Item b.). | 3 | \$0.00 |
|  | Add power / fuel cutoff switches to Technology and Science Classrooms. | 3 | \$18,000.00 |
|  | Add piping insulation packages to exposed piping below lavatories. | 3 | \$1,200.00 |

## Bedford Middle School

|  |  | Rank | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s. | Install a backflow preventer on the incoming domestic water line. | 3 | \$5,000.00 |
| t. | Replace the existing bleachers with new bleachers to meet codes. | 3 | \$200,000.00 |
| u. | Add fuel source shutdown switches to central boiler room. | 3 | \$3,000.00 |
| v. | Install 2 accessible on-site parking spaces with signage. | 1 D | \$600.00 |
|  | Install a fire suppression system. <br> Add a fire pump, piping, and controls, if insulation pressure is available. <br> Add a 20,000 gallon underground storage tank if required. | 3 | \$267,300.00 |
|  | Total Code Evaluation Cost |  | \$979,350.00 |
|  | Total Building Cost |  | \$9,158,922.00 |

Total Building Cost $\$ 9,158,922.00$

## MIDDLE/SENIOR HS

## GENERAL DATA

## Hyndman Middle / Senior High School

| Built: | 1951, with additions in 1976, 2002, and 2006. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Site: | 32.4 acres, adjacent to the Hyndman/Londonderry Elementary School. The School is located in a residential area with paved drives and parking, and play area. |
| Structure: | The building is a one-story structure, steel frame, masonry walls, concrete floors, and sloping, metal roof. Non-combustible construction in accordance with the International Building Code. |
| Heating System: | Two oil-fired, hot water boilers. Cooling is limited to Administrative Offices, Cafeteria, Music Room, Library, and some Classrooms. |
| Mechanical System: | Municipal water and sanitary systems |
| Electrical Service: | 208/120 volt; 3-phase; 4-wire; 1,200 amp |
| Systems: | Fire Alarm <br> Emergency Lighting Data <br> CATV, Intercom Networks <br> Telephone (wired through the High School) |
| Architectural Area: | 50,205 SF |
| PDE Replacement Value: | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 7,838,052(356 \text { FTE } \times 123 \mathrm{sf}=43,788 \times \$ 179 / \text { sf }=\text { replacement cost }) \\ & \$ 1,567,610(20 \% \text { Rule }) \end{aligned}$ |
| PDE Total Capacity: | 356 |

Hyndman Middle / Senior High School


## Hyndman Middle / Senior High School



## Hyndman Middle / Senior High School



## Hyndman Middle / Senior High School



## EXISTING 6-12 ROOM SCHEDULE

Hyndman Middle / Senior High School

P.D.E. Capacity $=90 \%$ Utilization Factor; District Capacity $=80 \%$ Utilization Factor. District S.E. Capacity $=10$ students per classroom.
Secondary Functional Capacity includes all spaces that receive capacity except the District Administration Offices, while the Total Capacity also includes the District Administration Offices. Special Education Capacity is not included in the Functional Capacity or Total Capacity. The area of existing spaces may be an average of the respective spaces.

Hyndman Middle / Senior High School

|  |  | Cost per SF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SITE EVALUATION | \$27,125.00 | \$0.54 SF |
| EXTERIOR EVALUATION | \$2,000.00 | \$0.04 SF |
| INTERIOR EVALUATION | \$617,700.00 | \$12.30 SF |
| HEATING / VENTILATION EVALUATION | \$447,000.00 | \$8.90 SF |
| PLUMBING EVALUATION | \$172,500.00 | \$3.44 SF |
| ELECTRICAL EVALUATION | \$714,000.00 | \$14.22 SF |
| CODE EVALUATION | \$815,600.00 | \$16.25 SF |
| TOTAL BUILDING | \$2,795,925.00 | \$55.69 SF |
| RANK 1 TOTAL COST - REQUIRED | \$0.00 | \$0.00 SF |
| RANK 2 TOTAL COST - RECOMMENDED | \$438,875.00 | \$8.74 SF |
| RANK 3 TOTAL COST - OPTIONAL | \$2,357,050.00 | \$46.95 SF |

## BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS AND CONSTRUCTION COSTS

## Hyndman Middle / Senior High School

Rank
Cost

1. Site Evaluation:
a. Replace the spalled concrete curb at the south side of building.
b. Replace the broken concrete splash block at the downspout of the 1976 addition.
c. Repair ground area and seed at damaged areas around drives and parking lot.
d. Resurface tennis courts, repaint lines, and repair minor cracks.

3
f. Straighten the light standard at drive, adjacent to the tennis court.
g. Replace broken lens at light standard (opposite loading dock).

3
\$1,500.00

Total Site Evaluation Cost
\$27,125.00
2. Exterior of Building Evaluation:

| a. Scrape paint and repaint lintels. | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 1,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. Scrape paint and repaint exterior handrails. | 3 | $\$ 1,000.00$ |
| Total Exterior of Building Evaluation Cost |  | $\$ 2,000.00$ |

3. Interior of Building Evaluation:
a. Remove and replace 300 corridor lockers. 3
b. Replace carpet at Faculty Room and Principal's Office.
c. Replace Classroom chalkboards that are original to the building.
d. Paint room and corridor walls.

3
\$78,000.00

## BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS AND CONSTRUCTION COSTS

## Hyndman Middle / Senior High School

|  |  | Rank | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Install new vinyl tile at the abatement areas. | 3 | \$53,500.00 |
|  | Replace cabinetry in the Family and Consumer Science Classroom. | 3 | \$35,000.00 |
|  | Replace cabinetry in the two Science Rooms. | 3 | \$125,000.00 |
|  | Refinish the platform floor. | 2 | \$9,000.00 |
|  | Replace platform curtains and rigging. | 3 | \$70,000.00 |
|  | Replace Art Room cabinetry. | 3 | \$35,000.00 |
|  | Install a new acoustical tile ceiling at the Industrial Arts Shop. | 3 | \$8,400.00 |
| 1. | Remove and install new vinyl tile at the Cafeteria. | 2 | \$8,500.00 |
| m. | Replace doors leading into the Gymnasium and boys' Locker Room from the main corridor. | 3 | \$3,200.00 |
|  | Removal of floor tile; Science Room countertops; asbestos at kiln, fume, \& hood; and 5 man doors. | 3 | \$85,000.00 |
| o. | Replace 5 doors removed under asbestos abatement. | 3 | \$4,000.00 |
|  | Total Interior Evaluation Cost |  | \$617,700.00 |
| Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning Evaluation: |  |  |  |
| a. | Replace aged dust collector with right size unit. | 2 | \$75,000.00 |
|  | Upgrade the laboratory table exhaust system in the Science Classrooms. | 2 | \$50,000.00 |
| d. | Upgrade the automatic temperature control system. | 3 | \$140,000.00 |
| e. | Upgrade the ventilation system in the electrical / mechanical room. | 3 | \$10,000.00 |

## 4. Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning Evaluation:

## BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS AND CONSTRUCTION COSTS

## Hyndman Middle / Senior High School

|  | Rank | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| f. Add heating, ventilation, and mechanical cooling to 7 classrooms at the north facing classrooms. | 2 | \$172,000.00 |
| Total HVAC Evaluation Cost |  | \$447,000.00 |

5. Plumbing Evaluation:
a. Replace fixtures with low flow efficient fixtures.

3

2
$\$ 5,000.00$ Science Rooms for gas.
c. Add an exterior acid neutralization tank for Science Classrooms.

2
\$25,000.00
\$172,500.00
6. Electrical Evaluation:
a. Replace the emergency generator.
b. Upgrade the lighting and controls with motion detectors for energy savings and daylighting.
c. Upgrade the emergency lighting system throughout the building for energy savings.
d. Upgrade the platform lighting system.
e Upgrade the power distribution system throughout the building.
f. Provide new technology wire management systems throughout the building.
g. Rework security vestibules and controlled access for surveillance 3 3 \$225,000.00 and alarms.

## BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS AND CONSTRUCTION COSTS

## Hyndman Middle / Senior High School


#### Abstract

h. Upgrade the existing remote eyes, 8 camera security system with 4 additional cameras. Extend coverage of the existing burglar system to cover the entire building.


Total Electrical Evaluation Cost
$\frac{\text { Rank }}{3} \frac{\text { Cost }}{\$ 1,500.00}$

## 7. Code Evaluation:

The following items may be required depending on the level of work completed.
a. Install interior and exterior ADA signage.
b. Replace sets of $5^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ wide double doors with a $3^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ and $2^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ door leaf.
c. Replace the fire alarm system.
d. Replace the electric water coolers (1 location) to comply with ADA requirements.
e. Install ADA compliant student lockers (Listed under locker 3 replacement.).
f. Replace glass in classroom doors, corridor doors, transoms, and display cases with safety glass.
g. Install lever hardware at doors.
h. Provide workstations and counters for handicapped in the General Office, Library, Art, Science, Homemaking, Computer Room, Industrial Arts, etc. to meet code (Cost for Homemaking, Art, and Science are listed under the "Interior of Building" section.).
i. Provide an ADA compliant eyewash and shower in the Science Classroom (Listed under Science Rooms at "Interior of Building" section.).

## Hyndman Middle / Senior High School

|  | Rank | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| j. Upgrade 1 boys' and 1 girls' gang toilet room to comply with ADA requirements. | 3 | \$75,000.00 |
| k. Upgrade all individual use toilet rooms to comply with ADA requirements. | 3 | \$33,000.00 |
| I. Upgrade the Cafeteria / Platform sound system for remote headsets to comply with ADA requirements. | 3 | \$1,500.00 |
| m. Provide a chair lift at the Platform to meet code. | 3 | \$45,000.00 |
| n. Install a pair of $3^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ wide doors at the Library to meet code. | 3 | \$3,000.00 |
| o. Install a hand lav in the Kitchen to meet code. | 3 | \$1,500.00 |
| p. Upgrade girls', boys', and the team Locker Rooms to meet ADA requirement for plumbing fixtures, partitions, mirrors, lockers, and benches. | 3 | \$75,000.00 |
| q. Install exterior and interior signage to comply with ADA requirements. | 3 | \$10,000.00 |
| r. Add power / fuel cutoff switches to Technology and Science Classrooms. | 3 | \$1,500.00 |
| s. Add piping insulation packages to exposed piping below lavatories. | 3 | \$3,500.00 |
| t. Install a backflow preventer on the incoming domestic water line. | 3 | \$5,000.00 |
| u. Add fuel source shutdown switches to the central boiler room. | 3 | \$3,000.00 |
| v. Remount light switches to accessibility height. | 3 | \$25,000.00 |
| w. Upgrade the men and women faculty gang toilet to meet accessibility code. | 3 | \$50,000.00 |
| x. Install new bleachers to meet accessibility code. | 3 | \$140,000.00 |
| y. Install 3 accessible on-site parking spaces with signage. | 3 | \$900.00 |

## Hyndman Middle / Senior High School

Rank
Cost
3
\$165,700.00
z. Install a fire suppression system.

Add a fire pump, piping, and controls, if insulation pressure is available.
Add a 20,000 gallon underground storage tank if required.
Total Code Evaluation Cost
\$815,600.00

Total Building Cost $\$ 2,795,925.00$

## GENERAL DATA

## Bedford High School

| Built: | 1888, with additions in 1927, 1934, 1946, 1954, and 1996. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Site: | 3.5 acres, located in a residential area with paved drives and parking, and football field with bleachers, concession stand, and press box. |
| Structure: | The building is a three-story structure with partial basement; wood and steel frame; masonry walls; concrete floors; and a flat rubber roof with a partial imitation slate gabled roof. |
| Heating System: | 2 natural gas, hot water boilers with \#2 fuel oil backup, and chiller for cooling. |
| Mechanical System: | Municipal water and sanitary systems |
| Electrical Service: | 480/277 volt; 3-phase; 4-wire; 2,500 amp |
| Systems: | Fire Alarm Emergency Lighting Data, CATV, Intercom Networks Telephone |
| Architectural Area: | 177,390 SF |
| PDE Replacement Value: | $\$ 16,336,614$ ( 742 FTE $\times 123 \mathrm{sf}=91,266 \times \$ 179 / \mathrm{sf}=$ replacement cost) <br> \$ 3,267,323 (20\% Rule) |
| PDE Total Capacity: | 724 (742 with DAO) |

## Bedford High School



## Bedford High School



## Bedford High School



## EXISTING GROUND FLOOR PLAN

## Bedford High School



## Bedford High School



## Bedford High School





## EXISTING THIRD FLOOR PLAN

## Bedford High School




## EXISTING 9-12 ROOM SCHEDULE

## Bedford High School

| Educational Space | No. | Area | Total | Dist. <br> FTE | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PDE } \\ & \text { FTE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reg Clsrm 660+ SF | 16 | 755 | 12,080 | 400 | 400 |
| Reg Clsrm < 660 SF | 4 | 630 | 2,520 |  |  |
| Special Education Clsrm. | 3 | 785 | 2,355 |  |  |
| Spec. Educ. Seminar / S.G.I. | 3 | 460 | 1,380 |  |  |
| Media Center | 1 | 4,120 | 4,120 |  |  |
| T.V. Studio | 1 | 600 | 600 |  |  |
| S.G.I. / Student Activity | 1 | 560 | 560 |  |  |
| Large Group Instruction | 1 | 1,140 | 1,140 |  |  |
| Science Classroom | 3 | 980 | 2,940 | 75 | 75 |
| Science < 660 SF | 1 | 640 | 640 |  |  |
| Science Proj Rm / Greenhouse | 1 | 380 | 380 |  |  |
| Science Lab | 3 | 1,485 | 4,455 | 60 | 60 |
| Business Clsrm | 1 | 660 | 660 | 25 | 25 |
| Computer Lab | 4 | 885 | 3,540 | 80 | 80 |
| Art Classroom | 1 | 1,645 | 1,645 | 20 | 20 |
| Music Classroom | 1 | 1,745 | 1,745 | 25 | 25 |
| Band / Orchestra / Choral |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family \& Consumer Science | 1 | 2,180 | 2,180 | 20 | 20 |
| TE Shop |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TE Shop <1800 sf | 1 | 1,135 | 1,135 |  |  |
| Gymnasium | 1 | 8,700 | 8,700 | 66 | 66 |
| Auxiliary Gymnasium | 1 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 33 | 33 |
| Adaptive Gymnasium | 1 | 2,700 | 2,700 |  |  |
| Locker Room / Team Room | 3 | 2,040 | 6,120 |  |  |
| Weight / Wrestling / Cardio Rm | 2 | 965 | 1,930 |  |  |
| Training Room | 1 | 505 | 505 |  |  |
| Stage / Platform | 1 | 1,780 | 1,780 |  |  |
| Auditorium | 1 | 6,000 | 6,000 |  |  |
| Student Dining | 1 | 5,830 | 5,830 |  |  |
| Kitchen Areas | 1 | 3,600 | 3,600 |  |  |
| Administration / Guidance | 1 | 3,250 | 3,250 |  |  |
| Health Suite | 1 | 1,180 | 1,180 |  |  |
| Technology Suite | 1 | 675 | 675 |  |  |
| Faculty Dining / Workroom | 3 | 585 | 1,755 |  |  |
| Faculty / I.P.C. / Office / P.E. Office | 12 | 165 | 1,980 |  |  |
| District Administration Offices | 1 | 3,775 | 3,775 | 18 | 18 |
| FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY |  |  |  | 643 | 724 |
| TOTAL CAPACITY |  |  |  | 661 | 742 |
| SPECIAL EDUCATION CAPACITY |  |  |  | 40 | 100 |
| SCHEDULED AREA |  |  | 96,555 |  |  |
| ARCHITECTURAL AREA |  |  | 177,390 |  |  |

P.D.E. Capacity $=90 \%$ Utilization Factor; District Capacity $=80 \%$ Utilization Factor. District S.E. Capacity $=10$ students per classroom.
Secondary Functional Capacity includes all spaces that receive capacity except the District Administration Offices, while the Total Capacity also includes the District Administration Offices. Special Education Capacity is not included in the Functional Capacity or Total Capacity. The area of existing spaces may be an average of the respective spaces.

Bedford High School

|  |  | Cost per SF |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| SITE EVALUATION | $\$ 352,450.00$ | $\$ 1.99 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| EXTERIOR EVALUATION | $\$ 6,300.00$ | $\$ 0.04 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| INTERIOR EVALUATION | $\$ 93,700.00$ | $\$ 0.53 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| HEATING / VENTILATION EVALUATION | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| PLUMBING EVALUATION | $\$ 10,000.00$ | $\$ 0.06 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| ELECTRICAL EVALUATION | $\$ 13,000.00$ | $\$ 0.07$ SF |
| CODE EVALUATION | $\$ 1,671,700.00$ | $\$ 6.74 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| TOTAL BUILDING |  | $\$ 9.42 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| RANK 1 TOTAL COST - REQUIRED | $\$ 411,050.00$ | $\$ 0.00 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| RANK 2 TOTAL COST - RECOMMENDED | $\$ 2.32 \mathrm{SF}$ |  |
| RANK 3 TOTAL COST - OPTIONAL | $\$ 1,260,650.00$ | $\$ 7.11 \mathrm{SF}$ |

## Bedford High School

Rank

1. Site Evaluation:
a. Seed lawn area in the Cafeteria Courtyard.
b. Replace cracked and spalled concrete curb at the student parking lot.
c. Sand rusted areas of the child care fence and paint.
d Repair cracked bituminous paving, overlay existing paving, and paint lines.

Total Site Evaluation Cost
2. Exterior of Building Evaluation:
a. Paint soffits over the east Library and dock doors.
b. Caulk cracked brick above ticket booth roof, south Gymnasium wall.
c. Apply a sealer on the imitation slate shingles.

Total Exterior Evaluation Cost
3
3

3
\$5,500.00
\$6,300.00
3. Interior of Building Evaluation:
a. Remove the industrial dust collector at the overflow Art Room and repair and paint wall to match the existing adjacent surfaces.
b. Remove and replace the acoustical tile ceiling in the overflow Art Room.
c. Install an acoustical tile ceiling at the Athletic Department's storage room.
d. Add a chair lift to the Fitness Room.
$\$ 3,300.00$
\$3,000.00
\$2,700.00
\$35,000.00

## Bedford High School

e. Repair the damaged wainscot wall panels of the north wall in the Auxiliary Gymnasium.
f. At the boys' locker room, replace the missing, glazed block at the doorway of the gang shower.
g. Paint the north wall of the Cafeteria.
h. Replace the carpet and acoustical tile ceiling, and repair plaster walls and paint in the Dressing Room.
i. Paint the vestibule, adjacent to the Dressing Room.
j. Repair the cracked terrazzo floor at the Gymnasium Lobby.
k. Repair the plaster at the exterior of the third floor Classroom and paint to match existing surfaces.
I. Re-key the entire building for security.
Total Interior Evaluation Cost
4. Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning Evaluation:
a. There are no apparent deficiencies.
Total HVAC Evaluation Cost

Rank
Cost

3
\$3,000.00

3
\$1,500.00
3
$\$ 250.00$
\$6,000.00
$\$ 350.00$
\$2,000.00
$\$ 350.00$
\$36,250.00
\$93,700.00
$\$ 0.00$
$\$ 0.00$
a. The sewer line clogs and backs-up into the Physics Room at the basement floor. Determine the problem and repair.

## 5. Plumbing Evaluation:

## Bedford High School

Rank $\qquad$
6. Electrical Evaluation:
a. Upgrade security and controlled access for surveillance and alarms.
b. Upgrade the existing wave reader with two 16 camera DVR systems by the addition of 5 cameras. Replace the key scan numeric touch pad access system at 4 doors with a proximity reader card system.

Total Electrical Evaluation Cost
2
\$7,500.00

2
\$5,500.00
\$13,000.00

## 7. Code Evaluation Cost;

The following items may be required depending on the level of work completed.
a. Renovate the boys' and girls' gang toilets at the basement floor to meet code.
\$72,000.00
3
$\$ 500.00$
c. Renovate one Concession Stand counter to meet code.
d. Install beveled stair nosing at all risers.
e. Install ADA compliant student lockers.
f. Replace glass in Classroom doors, corridor doors, transoms, and display cases with safety glass.
g. Provide workstations and counters with sink for handicapped in the General Office, Library, Art, Science, Family and Consumer Science, Drafting, CAD Lab, Technology, Physics, Industrial Arts, Health Suite, Faculty Room, Training, etc. to meet code.
h. Upgrade one sink in rooms with cabinet sink(s) to ADA standards.
i. Provide an ADA compliant eyewash and shower at the Chemistry Classroom.
$\$ 750.00$

## Bedford High School

Add a fire pump, piping, and controls, if insulation pressure is available.
Add a 20,000 gallon underground storage tank if required.

Total Code Evaluation Cost

Total Building Cost

Rank

3

3
3 \$92,000.00
I. Add handrails at stair to meet $5^{\prime}-0$ " O.C. code requirements.
m . Renovate the outside gang toilets at the football field to meet accessibility standards.
n. Add piping insulation packages to exposed piping below lavatories.
o. Install a backflow preventer on the incoming domestic water line.
p. Install new Gymnasium bleachers to meet accessibility code.
q. Install 5 accessible on-site parking spaces with signage.
r. Install a fire suppression system.

Cost
j. Upgrade all individual use toilet rooms to comply with ADA requirements.
k. Upgrade the girls', boys', and team Locker Rooms to meet accessibility code requirements for benches.

3
$3 \$ 140,000.00$
3
$3 \$ 585,400.00$

## $\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 \\ \cdots \\ 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1\end{array}$

## INTRODUCTION TO OPTION EXPLORATION

This section of the Feasibility Study is an overview of the Proposed Options. Each Option includes the following information: Option Summary; Option Cost Summary; Student Enrollment / Capacity Evaluation; Proposed Educational Program; Proposed Elementary and Secondary Room Schedules; and Projected Reimbursement.

The following Options were developed during meetings with the Bedford Area School District's District Administration and El Associates. These Options are provided for the Board of Education to evaluate the needs of the District's facilities. The Options are evaluated using the same information, programming, and facility needs for each Option, in order to compare the cost of each Option on an equal basis.

While the information provided for each facility is for the purpose of the Board of Education to review and evaluate the necessary repair to each building, for the purpose of Option comparison, the entire cost of each facility's improvements has been included as renovation costs. This cost can be refined in meetings held at a later time with the District, when reviewing the actual materials that would be utilized in the construction project.

School districts should understand that the Pennsylvania Department of Education will provide an additional $10 \%$ reimbursement for renovating existing buildings; also an additional 10\% reimbursement for obtaining a minimum of Silver Certification from the U.S. Green Building Council's Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design Green Building Rating System (LEEDNC ) for high performance and sustainable design standards.

These Options should be evaluated by the Board of Education by a process of elimination, narrowing down to a particular facility Option that best meets the program and budgetary concerns of the Bedford Area School District.

## Summary of Options

## Option 1 -- "Status Quo"

Option 1 (K-5, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12)

- Maintain separate Campus sites for all grade groupings.


## Option 2 -- "Change Attendance Areas"

Adjust attendance areas for the Hyndman Campus to include Manns Choice Borough and Harrison Township as well as Hyndman Borough and Londonderry Township.

## Option 2-A (K-5, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12)

- Maintain separate Campus sites for all grade groupings.

Option 2-B (K-5, 6-8, 9-12)

- Maintain separate Campus sites for Elementary grade groupings.
- Combine Campus sites for grades 6-8 and 9-12 at Bedford Campus.


## Option 3 -- "Change Grade Alignment"

Bedford Elementary grade level change to K-4; Relocate grade 5 to Bedford Middle School.
Option 3-A (K-4, 5-8, 9-12; K-5, 6-12)

- Maintain separate Campus sites for all grade groupings.

Option 3-B (K-4, 5-8, 9-12)

- Maintain separate Campus sites for Elementary grade groupings.
- Combine Campus sites for grades 5-8 and 9-12 at Bedford Campus.


## OPTION EXPLORATION SUMMARY

## Options Information

Each Option includes the following information: Option Summary; Option Cost Summary; Student Enrollment / Capacity Evaluation; Proposed Educational Program; Proposed Elementary and Secondary Room Schedules; and Projected Reimbursement.

Option Summary: A summary of the respective option graphically illustrating the proposed Campus Structure for the Bedford Schools and Hyndman Schools.

Option Costs: A Cost Summary of the respective option including Maximum Eligible Reimbursement, Cost for Additions, Renovation Study Cost, Additional Educational Upgrades Cost, Total Project Cost, Annual Total Share, Annual State Share (State Reimbursement), and Annual Local Share.

Student Enrollment / Capacity Evaluation: The Tables graphically illustrate the Projected Student Enrollment for each of the proposed grade groupings vs. the proposed building capacity of the respective grade grouping.

Proposed Educational Program: A summary of the respective option Proposed Educational Program data. The information includes: Proposed Grade Alignment; Potential Work; District and PDE Functional Capacity; District and PDE Special Education Capacity; and the Highest Projected Enrollment for each grade grouping.

Proposed Room Schedules: Room schedules for the Elementary and Secondary Schools provide data for the Proposed Building Capacity. Spaces that receive capacity are shown as well as each Building's Functional Capacity, Total Capacity, and Special Educational Capacity.

Projected Reimbursement Detailed Cost Data for the respective option including projected state reimbursement.

## Option Cost Summary

A Cost Summary of all options including Maximum Eligible Reimbursement, Cost for Additions, Renovation Study Cost, Additional Educational Upgrades Cost, Total Project Cost, Annual Total Share, Annual State Share (State Reimbursement), Annual Local Share.

## EXISTING EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM

## Existing Campus Structure



## Options Campus Structure



## OPTION 1 -- Status Quo

K-5 Maintain separate Elementary School campus sites.

- Maintain Bedford Elementary School with upgrades as required.
- Maintain Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School with upgrades as required.

6-8 Maintain separate Secondary School campus sites.

- Maintain Bedford Middle School with upgrades as required.

6-12 Maintain separate Secondary School campus sites.

- Maintain Hyndman Middle/Senior High School with upgrades as required.

9-12 Maintain separate Secondary School campus sites.

- Maintain Bedford High School with upgrades as required.

DAO District Administration Offices (DAO) to remain at Bedford High School

Pros - Maintain Status Quo.

- Maintain current K-5 Elementary and 6-8, 9-12 Secondary grade structure.
- Less cost than other options.

Cons - Geographical separation of Secondary Educational program.

- Non-homogeneous facility grade structure for 6-8, 9-12 and 6-12 grades.
- 6-8 Middle School age students and 9-12 High School age students share facility.
- Potential additional yearly expense, transportation and maintenance for three geographically separate Secondary Schools and two Elementary Schools.


## Proposed Campus Structure -- Status Quo

|  | BEDFORD |  | HYNDMAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K-5 |  |  |  |
|  |  | K-5 | ¢ |
|  | Bedford E.S. Maintain w/ Upgrades 834 District Capacity |  | Hyndman-Londonderry E.S. Maintain w/ Upgrades 235 District Capacity |
| 6-8 |  |  |  |
|  | Bedford M.S. Maintain w/ Upgrades 525 District Capacity | $6-12$ |  |
| 9-12 |  |  | Hyndman M.S. / H.S. Maintain w/ Upgrades 317 District Capacity |
|  | Bedford H.S. Maintain w/ Upgrades 643 District Capacity |  |  |
| DAO |  |  |  |
| District Administration Offices (DAO) Remain at Bedford High School 19 District Capacity |  |  |  |

## OPTION COSTS

## OPTION 1 -- Status Quo

## Proposed Mimimum Option Costs for Reimbursement

|  | Max. <br> Eligible <br> Reimb. | Constr. <br> Cost for <br> Additions | Renov. <br> Cost | Additional <br> Educ. <br> Upgrades | Total <br> Constr. <br> Cost | Total <br> Project <br> Cost | + Annual <br> State <br> Share | + Annual <br> Local <br> Share | Millage <br> Equiv. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Bedford ES <br> Hyndman ES | $\$ 7,551,960$ | $\$ 2,825,640$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 0.00 |
| K-5 Total | $\$ 10,377,600$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,070,420$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,070,420$ | $\$ 1,338,025$ | $\$ 54,987$ | $\$ 44,573$ | 0.27 |  |
| Bedford MS <br> 6-8 Total | $\$ 4,699,680$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 5,178,885$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 5,178,885$ | $\$ 6,473,606$ | $\$ 193,122$ | $\$ 288,531$ | 1.73 |  |
| Hyndman MS/HS <br> 6-12 Total | $\$ 2,773,560$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,567,610$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,567,610$ | $\$ 1,959,513$ | $\$ 80,463$ | $\$ 65,225$ | 0.39 |  |
| Bedford HS <br> 9-12/DAO Total | $\$ 5,810,640$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 0.00 |  |

## Proposed Option Costs

|  |  | Constr. Cost for Additions | Renov. Cost | Additional Educ. Upgrades | Total Constr. Cost | Total Project Cost | + Annual State Share | + Annual Local Share | Millage Equiv. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford ES | \$7,551,960 | \$0 | \$1,213,630 | - \$0 | \$1,213,630 | \$1,517,038 | \$0 | \$113,014 | 0.68 |
| Hyndman ES | \$2,825,640 | \$0 | \$2,500,450 | \$0 | \$2,500,450 | \$3,125,563 | \$116,119 | \$116,443 | 0.70 |
| K-5 Total | \$10,377,600 | \$0 | \$3,714,080 | - \$0 | \$3,714,080 | \$4,642,601 | \$116,119 | \$229,457 | 1.38 |
| Bedford MS 6-8 Total | \$4,699,680 | \$0 | \$9,158,922 | 2 \$0 | \$9,158,922 | \$11,448,653 | \$193,126 | \$658,704 | 3.95 |
| Hyndman MS/HS 6-12 Total | \$2,773,560 | \$0 | \$2,795,925 | 5 \$0 | \$2,795,925 | \$3,494,906 | \$114,064 | \$146,175 | 0.88 |
| Bedford HS <br> 9-12/DAO Total | \$5,810,640 | \$0 | \$1,671,700 | - \$0 | \$1,671,700 | \$2,089,625 | \$0 | \$155,682 | 0.93 |
| K-12/DAO Total | \$23,661,480 | \$0 | \$17,340,627 | 7 \$0 | \$17,340,627 | \$21,675,785 | \$423,309 | \$1,190,018 | 7.13 |

TABLE 58 - Projected Student Enrollment (K-5) vs. Proposed Building Capacity


TABLE 59 - Projected Student Enrollment (6-12) vs. Proposed Building Capacity


TABLE 60 - Projected Student Enrollment (K-12) vs. Proposed Building Capacity


Proposed Building Capacity for Grades K-5; 6-8; 9-12; 6-12; K-12

|  | Building | Proposed Grade Alignment | Potential Work | Functional Capacity |  | Special Education Capacity |  | Highest Projected Enrollment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | DIST | PDE | DIST | PDE | Methods I,II, III | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current } \\ & +10 \% \text { * } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | BEDFORD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL | K-5 | Maintain w/ Upgrades | 834 | 975 | 50 | 125 |  |  |
|  | HYNDMANLONDONDERRY ELEM. SCHOOL | K-5 | Maintain w/ Upgrades | 235 | 275 | 20 | 50 |  |  |
|  | K-5 TOTAL |  |  | 1,069 | 1,250 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,201 \\ \text { Method II } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,143 \\ * 2006 / 07 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | BEDFORD <br> MIDDLE <br> SCHOOL | 6-8 | Maintain w/ Upgrades | 525 | 590 | 30 | 75 |  |  |
|  | HYNDMAN MIDDLE / SR. HIGH SCHOOL | 6-12 | Maintain w/ Upgrades | 317 | 356 | 20 | 50 |  |  |
|  | BEDFORD <br> HIGH <br> SCHOOL | 9-12 | Maintain w/ Upgrades | 643 | 724 | 40 | 100 |  |  |
|  | 6-12 TOTAL |  |  | 1,485 | 1,670 |  |  | $1,376$ <br> Method II | $\begin{gathered} 1,423 \\ * 2006 / 07 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | K-12 TOTAL |  |  | 2,554 | 2,920 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2,577 \\ \text { Method II } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 2,566 \\ \text { * } 2006 / 07 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION OFFICES |  | Remain at Bedford High School | 19 | 19 |  |  |  |  |

* PDE allows 2006/07 or 2007/08 Current Enrollment $+10 \%$ to be used as Highest Projected Enrollment for Project Grades.
** Elementary Fuctional Capacity are Graded Classrooms K-5; Special Education Capacity is not included in the Functional Capacity or Total Capacity.


| Educational Space | BEDFORD MIDDLE SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HYNDMAN MIDDLE / SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | BEDFORD HIGH SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing 6-8 |  |  |  |  | Proposed 6-8 Option 1 |  |  |  |  | Existing 6-12 |  |  |  |  | Proposed 6-12 Option 1 |  |  |  |  | Existing 9-12 |  |  |  |  | Proposed 9-12 Option 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. | Area | Total | Dist. FTE | PDE FTE | No. | Area | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dist. } \\ & \text { FTE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | PDE FTE | No. | Area | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dist. } \\ & \text { FTE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PDE } \\ & \text { FTE } \end{aligned}$ | No. | Area | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dist. } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PDE } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | No. | Area | Total | Dist. FTE | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PDE } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | No. | Area | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dist. } \\ & \text { FTE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PDE } \\ & \text { FTE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Reg Clsrm 660+SF Reg Clsrm < 660 SF | 14 | 840 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 11,760 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 350 | 350 | 14 | 840 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 11,760 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 350 | 350 | 8 | 750 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,000 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 200 | 200 | 8 | 750 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,000 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 200 | 200 | 16 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 755 \\ & 630 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,080 \\ 2,520 \end{array}$ | 400 | 400 | 16 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 755 \\ & 630 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,080 \\ 2,520 \end{array}$ | 400 | 400 |
| Special Education CIsrm. Spec. Educ. Seminar / S.G.I. | 2 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 810 \\ & 435 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,620 \\ & 1,740 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 810 \\ & 435 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,620 \\ & 1,740 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 660 \\ & 440 \end{aligned}$ | $660$ |  |  | 1 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 660 \\ & 440 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 660 \\ & 880 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 3 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 785 \\ & 460 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,355 \\ & 1,380 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 3 <br> 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 785 \\ & 460 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,355 \\ & 1,380 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Media Center T.V. Studio | 1 | 2,500 | 2,500 |  |  | 1 | 2,500 | 2,500 |  |  | 1 | 1,565 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,565 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  |  | 1 | 1,565 | 1,565 0 |  |  | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,120 \\ 600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,120 \\ 600 \end{array}$ |  |  | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,120 \\ 600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,120 \\ 600 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| S.G.I. / Student Activity |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 460 | 460 |  |  | 1 | 460 | 460 |  |  | 1 | 560 | 560 |  |  | 1 | 560 | 560 |  |  |
| Large Group Instruction | 1 | 1,550 | 1,550 |  |  | 1 | 1,550 | 1,550 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1,140 | 1,140 |  |  | 1 | 1,140 | 1,140 |  |  |
| Science Classroom | 2 | 825 | 1,650 | 50 | 50 | 2 | 825 | 1,650 | 50 | 50 | 1 | 660 | 660 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 660 | 660 | 25 | 25 | 3 | 980 | 2,940 | 75 | 75 | 3 | 980 | 2,940 | 75 | 75 |
| Science < 660 SF |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 640 | 640 |  |  | 1 | 640 | 640 |  |  |
| Science Proj Rm / Greenhouse | 2 | 250 | 500 |  |  | 2 | 250 | 500 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 380 | 380 |  |  | 1 | 380 | 380 |  |  |
| Science Lab | 1 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 995 | 995 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 995 | 995 | 20 | 20 | 3 | 1,485 | 4,455 | 60 | 60 | 3 | 1,485 | 4,455 | 60 | 60 |
| Business Clsrm |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 660 | 650 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 660 | 650 | 25 | 25 |
| Computer Lab | 2 | 855 | 1,710 | 40 | 40 | 2 | 855 | 1,710 | 40 | 40 | 1 | 825 | 825 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 825 | 825 | 20 | 20 | 4 | 885 | 3,540 | 80 | 80 | 4 | 885 | 3,540 | 80 | 80 |
| Art Classroom | 1 | 950 | 950 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 950 | 950 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 775 | 775 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 775 | 775 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 1,645 | 1,645 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 1,645 | 1,645 | 20 | 20 |
| Music Classroom | 1 | 1,030 | 1,030 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1,030 | 1,030 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1,205 | 1,205 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1,205 | 1,205 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1,745 | 1,745 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1,745 | 1,745 | 25 | 25 |
| Band / Orchestra / Choral | 1 | 1,825 | 1,825 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1,825 | 1,825 | 25 | 25 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family \& Consumer Science | 1 | 2,035 | 2,035 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 2,035 | 2,035 | 20 | 20 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2,180 | 2,180 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 2,180 | 2,180 | 20 | 20 |
| TE Shop | 2 | 2,150 | 4,300 | 40 | 40 | 2 | 2,150 | 4,300 | 40 | 40 | 1 | 1,800 | 1,800 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 1,800 | 1,800 | 20 | 20 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | - | 0 |
| TE Shop <1800 sf |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1,135 | 1,135 |  |  | 1 | 1,135 | 1,135 |  |  |
| Vo Ag Shop w/ Clsrm |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gymnasium | 1 | 6,650 | 6,650 | 66 | 66 | 1 | 6,650 | 6,650 | 66 | 66 | 1 | 6,500 | 6,500 | 66 | 66 | 1 | 6,500 | 6,500 | 66 | 66 | 1 | 8,700 | 8,700 | 66 | 66 | 1 | 8,700 | 8,700 | 66 | 66 |
| Auxiliary Gymnasium |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | - | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 33 | 33 | 1 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 33 | 33 |
| Adaptive Gymnasium |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 2,700 | 2,700 |  |  | 1 | 2,700 | 2,700 |  |  |
| Locker Room / Team Room | 2 | 1,570 | 3,140 |  |  | 2 | 1,570 | 3,140 |  |  | 2 | 1,340 | 2,680 |  |  | 2 | 1,340 | 2,680 |  |  | 3 | 2,040 | 6,120 |  |  | 3 | 2,040 | 6,120 |  |  |
| Weight / Wrestling / Cardio Rm |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 2 | 845 | 1,690 |  |  | 2 | 845 | 1,690 |  |  | 2 | 965 | 1,930 |  |  | 2 | 965 | 1,930 |  |  |
| Training Room |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | 1 | 505 | 505 |  |  | 1 | 505 | 505 |  |  |
| Stage / Platform |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 875 | 875 |  |  | 1 | 875 | 875 |  |  | 1 | 1,780 | 1,780 |  |  | 1 | 1,780 | 1,780 |  |  |
| Auditorium |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 6,000 | 6,000 |  |  | 1 | 6,000 | 6,000 |  |  |
| Student Dining | 1 | 3,500 | 3,500 |  |  | 1 | 3,500 | 3,500 |  |  | 1 | 2,040 | 2,040 |  |  | 1 | 2,040 | 2,040 |  |  | 1 | 5,830 | 5,830 |  |  | 1 | 5,830 | 5,830 |  |  |
| Kitchen Areas | 1 | 775 | 775 |  |  | 1 | 775 | 775 |  |  | 1 | 700 | 700 |  |  | 1 | 700 | 700 |  |  | 1 | 3,600 | 3,600 |  |  | 1 | 3,600 | 3,600 |  |  |
| Administration / Guidance | 1 | 1,410 | 1,410 |  |  | 1 | 1,410 | 1,410 |  |  | 1 | 1,015 | 1,015 |  |  | 1 | 1,015 | 1,015 |  |  | 1 | 3,250 | 3,250 |  |  | 1 | 3,250 | 3,250 |  |  |
| Health Suite | 1 | 875 | 875 |  |  | 1 | 875 | 875 |  |  | 1 | 690 | 690 |  |  | 1 | 690 | 690 |  |  | 1 | 1,180 | 1,180 |  |  | 1 | 1,180 | 1,180 |  |  |
| Technology Suite |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 675 | 675 |  |  | 1 | 675 | 675 |  |  |
| Faculty Dining / Workroom | 2 | 405 | 810 |  |  | 2 | 405 | 810 |  |  | 2 | 305 | 610 |  |  | 2 | 305 | 610 |  |  | 3 | 585 | 1,755 |  |  | 3 | 585 | 1,755 |  |  |
| Faculty / I.P.C. / Office / P.E. Office | 3 | 190 | 570 |  |  | 3 | 190 | 570 |  |  |  | 110 | 440 |  |  | 4 | 110 | 440 |  |  | 12 | 165 | 1,980 |  |  | 12 | 165 | 1,980 |  |  |
| District Administration Offices |  |  | , |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 3,775 | 3,775 | 18 | 18 | 1 | 3,775 | 3,775 | 19 | 19 |
| FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY |  |  |  | 525 | 590 |  |  |  | 525 | 590 |  |  |  | 317 | 356 |  |  |  | 317 | 356 |  |  |  | 643 | 724 |  |  |  | 643 | 724 |
| TOTAL CAPACITY |  |  |  | 525 | 590 |  |  |  | 525 | 590 |  |  |  | 317 | 356 |  |  |  | 317 | 356 |  |  |  | 661 | 742 |  |  |  | 662 | 743 |
| SPECIAL EDUCATION CAPACITY |  |  |  | 30 | 75 |  |  |  | 30 | 75 |  |  |  | 20 | 50 |  |  |  | 20 | 50 |  |  |  | 40 | 100 |  |  |  | 40 | 100 |
| SCHEDULED AREA |  |  | 51,900 | SF |  |  |  | 51,900 | SF |  |  |  | 33,065 | SF |  |  |  | 33,065 | SF |  |  |  | 96,555 | SF |  |  |  | 96,555 | SF |  |
| ARCHITECTURAL AREA |  |  | 81,000 | SF |  |  |  | 81,000 | SF |  |  |  | 50,205 | SF |  |  |  | 50,205 | SF |  |  |  | 177,390 | SF |  |  |  | 177,390 | SF |  |
| NEW ARCHITECTURAL AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | SF |  |
| CLSRM COUNT | 14 |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. COUNT | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 16 |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |  |  |  |
| ADDITIONAL CLASSROOMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.A. / ARCH. AREA FACTOR |  |  | 1.561 |  |  |  |  | 1.561 |  |  |  |  | 1.518 |  |  |  |  | 1.518 |  |  |  |  | 1.837 |  |  |  |  | 1.837 |  |  |
| SF / FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY SF / NO. OF STUDENTS |  |  |  | $154$ | $\begin{aligned} & 137 \\ & 176 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 154 \\ 146 \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 137 \\ & 146 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 158 \\ 228 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141 \\ & 228 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $158$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141 \\ & 198 \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 276 \\ & 289 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 245 \\ 289 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $276$ | $\begin{aligned} & 245 \\ & 268 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |




[^1]

| Bedford | 525 | 193 | 270 | 4,700 | 1,269,000 | 0 | 0 | 81,000 | 9,158,922 | 0 | 9,158,922 | 11,448,653 | 0.4105 | 0.5523 | 22.67\% | 77.33\% | 851,830 | 193,126 | 658,704 | 3.95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle School |  | *Existing | 270 | 470 | 126,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *LEED | 270 | 470 | 126,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 385 | 427 | 6,200 | 2,647,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *Existing | 427 | 620 | 264,740 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *LEED | 427 | 620 | 264,740 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8 Total | 525 | 578 | 697 |  | \$4,699,680 | 0 | \$0 | 81,000 | \$9,158,922 | \$0 | \$9,158,922 | \$11,448,653 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$851,830 | \$193,126 | \$658,704 | 3.95 |
| Hyndman | 317 | 48 | 67 | 4,700 | 314,900 | 0 | 0 | 50,205 | 2,795,925 | 0 | 2,795,925 | 3,494,906 | 0.7936 | 0.5523 | 43.83\% | 56.17\% | 260,239 | 114,064 | 146,175 | 0.88 |
| Middle / Sr. High School |  | *Existing | 67 | 470 | 31,490 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *LEED | 67 | 470 | 31,490 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 290 | 322 | 6,200 | 1,996,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *Existing | 322 | 620 | 199,640 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *LEED | 322 | 620 | 199,640 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-12 Total | 317 | 338 | 389 |  | \$2,773,560 | 0 | \$0 | 50,205 | \$2,795,925 | \$0 | \$2,795,925 | \$3,494,906 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$260,239 | \$114,064 | \$146,175 | 0.88 |
| Bedford | 643 | 685 | 760 | 6,200 | 4,712,000 | 0 | 0 | 177,390 | 1,671,700 | 0 | 1,671,700 | 2,089,625 | 0.0000 | 0.5523 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 155,682 | 0 | 155,682 | 0.93 |
| High School |  | *Existing | 760 | 620 | 471,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | *20\% Rule |  |  |  |  | **20\% Rule |  |  |
|  |  | *LEED | 760 | 620 | 471,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | for Existing |  |  |  |  | for Existing |  |  |
| District Administration Offices (DAO) | 19 | 19 | 21 | 6,200 | 130,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *Existing | 21 | 620 | 13,020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *LEED | 21 | 620 | 13,020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9-12 / DAO Total | 643 | 704 | 781 | ** | \$5,810,640 | 0 | \$0 | 177,390 | \$1,671,700 | \$0 | \$1,671,700 | \$2,089,625 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$155,682 | \$0 | \$155,682 | 0.93 |
| K-12 / DAO Total | 2,554 | 3,021 |  |  | \$23,661,480 | 0 | \$0 | 456,425 | \$17,340,627 | \$0 | \$17,340,627 | \$21,675,785 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$1,613,327 | \$423,309 | \$1,190,018 | 7.13 |

[^2]
## OPTION 2-A -- Change Attendance Area

Adjust attendance areas for the Hyndman Campus to include Manns Choice Borough and Harrison Township as well as Hyndman Borough and Londonderry Township. Refer to Maps 5 and 6 for a geographic illustration of the School District existing and proposed attendance areas.

K-5 Maintain separate Elementary School campus sites.

- Maintain Bedford Elementary School with upgrades as required.
- Maintain Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School with upgrades as required.

6-8 Maintain separate Secondary School campus sites.

- Alterations \& Additions to Bedford Middle School with upgrades as required.

6-12 Maintain separate Secondary School campus sites.

- Maintain Hyndman Middle/Senior High School with upgrades as required.

9-12 Maintain separate Secondary School campus sites.

- Maintain Bedford High School with upgrades as required.

DAO District Administration Offices (DAO) to remain at Bedford High School

Pros - Maintain Status Quo.

- Maintain current K-5 Elementary and 6-8, 9-12 Secondary grade structure.

Cons - Geographical separation of Secondary Educational program.

- Non-homogeneous facility grade structure for 6-8, 9-12 and 6-12 grades.
- 6-8 Middle School age students and 9-12 High School age students share facility.
- Potential additional yearly expense, transportation and maintenance for three geographically separate Secondary Schools and two Elementary Schools.


## CURRENT ATTENDANCE AREA

## Bedford Area School District - District Map

Map 5 illustrates the Bedford Area School District current attendance area. Map source is the Bedford County Comprehensive Plan.

## Bedford Campus

A Bedford Elementary School

B Bedford Middle School

C Bedford High School with District Administration Offices

## Hyndman Campus

D HyndmanLondonderry Elementary School

E Hyndman Middle/Senior High School

$\square$ BEDFORD CAMPUS ATTENDANCE AREA
$\square$ HYNDMAN CAMPUS ATTENDANCE AREA

## Bedford Area School District - District Map

Map 6 illustrates the Bedford Area School District proposed attendance area. Map source is the Bedford County Comprehensive Plan.

## Bedford Campus

A Bedford Elementary School

B Bedford Middle School

C Bedford High School with District Administration Offices

## Hyndman Campus_

D HyndmanLondonderry Elementary School

E Hyndman Middle/Senior High School

$\square$
$\square$

## Proposed Campus Structure -- Change Attendance Area



## OPTION COSTS

## OPTION 2-A -- Change Attendance Area

## Proposed Mimimum Option Costs for Reimbursement

|  | Max. <br> Eligible <br> Reimb. | Constr. <br> Cost for <br> Additions | Renov. <br> Cost | Additional <br> Educ. <br> Upgrades | Total <br> Constr. <br> Cost | Total <br> Project <br> Cost | + Annual <br> State <br> Share | + Annual <br> Local <br> Share | Millage <br> Equiv. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bedford ES <br> Hyndman ES | $\$ 7,551,960$ | $\$ 2,825,640$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| $\$ 1,070,420$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,070,420$ | $\$ 1,338,025$ | $\$ 54,987$ | $\$ 44,573$ | 0.27 |  |  |  |  |
| K-5 Total | $\$ 10,377,600$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,070,420$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,070,420$ | $\$ 1,338,025$ | $\$ 54,987$ | $\$ 44,573$ | 0.27 |  |
| Bedford MS <br> 6-8 Total | $\$ 5,293,200$ | $\$ 1,507,500$ | $\$ 5,178,885$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 6,686,385$ | $\$ 8,357,981$ | $\$ 217,547$ | $\$ 404,412$ | 2.42 |  |
| Hyndman MS/HS <br> 6-12 Total | $\$ 2,773,560$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,567,610$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,567,610$ | $\$ 1,959,513$ | $\$ 80,463$ | $\$ 65,225$ | 0.39 |  |
| Bedford HS <br> 9-12/DAO Total | $\$ 5,810,640$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 0.00 |  |

## Proposed Option Costs

|  | Max. <br> Eligible <br> Reimb. | Constr. <br> Cost for <br> Additions | Renov. <br> Cost | Additional <br> Educ. <br> Upgrades | Total <br> Constr. <br> Cost | Total <br> Project <br> Cost | + Annual <br> State <br> Share | Annual <br> Local <br> Share | Millage <br> Equiv. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bedford ES <br> Hyndman ES | $\$ 7,551,960$ | $\$ 2,825,640$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,213,630$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,213,630$ | $\$ 1,517,038$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 113,014$ | 0.68 |
| K-5 Total | $\$ 10,377,600$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,500,450$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,500,450$ | $\$ 3,125,563$ | $\$ 116,119$ | $\$ 116,443$ | 0.70 |  |
| Bedford MS <br> 6-8 Total | $\$ 5,293,200$ | $\$ 1,507,500$ | $\$ 9,158,922$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 10,666,422$ | $\$ 13,333,028$ | $\$ 217,538$ | $\$ 774,598$ | 4.64 |  |
| Hyndman MS/HS <br> 6-12 Total | $\$ 2,773,560$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,795,925$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,795,925$ | $\$ 3,494,906$ | $\$ 114,064$ | $\$ 146,175$ | 0.88 |  |
| Bedford HS <br> 9-12/DAO Total | $\$ 5,810,640$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,671,700$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,671,700$ | $\$ 2,089,625$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 155,682$ | 0.93 |  |

TABLE 61 - Projected Student Enrollment (K-5) vs. Proposed Building Capacity


TABLE 62 - Projected Student Enrollment (6-12) vs. Proposed Building Capacity


TABLE 63 - Projected Student Enrollment (K-12) vs. Proposed Building Capacity


Proposed Building Capacity for Grades K-5; 6-8; 9-12; 6-12; K-12

|  | Building | Proposed Grade Alignment | Potential Work | Functional Capacity |  | Special Education Capacity |  | Highest Projected Enrollment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | DIST | PDE | DIST | PDE | Methods I,II, III | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current } \\ & +10 \% \text { * } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | BEDFORD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL | K-5 | Maintain w/ Upgrades | 834 | 975 | 50 | 125 |  |  |
|  | HYNDMANLONDONDERRY <br> ELEM. SCHOOL | K-5 | Maintain w/ Upgrades | 235 | 275 | 20 | 50 |  |  |
|  | K-5 TOTAL |  |  | 1,069 | 1,250 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,201 \\ \text { Method II } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,143 \\ * 2006 / 07 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | BEDFORD <br> midDLE <br> SCHOOL | 6-8 | Alts/Adds | 605 | 680 | 40 | 100 |  |  |
|  | HYNDMAN MIDDLE / SR. HIGH SCHOOL | 6-12 | Maintain w/ Upgrades | 317 | 356 | 20 | 50 |  |  |
|  | BEDFORD <br> HIGH <br> SCHOOL | 9-12 | Maintain w/ Upgrades | 643 | 724 | 40 | 100 |  |  |
|  | 6-12 TOTAL |  |  | 1,565 | 1,760 |  |  | $1,376$ <br> Method II | $\begin{gathered} 1,423 \\ * 2006 / 07 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | K-12 TOTAL |  |  | 2,634 | 3,010 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2,577 \\ \text { Method II } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 2,566 \\ \text { * } 2006 / 07 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION OFFICES |  | Remain at Bedford High School | 19 | 19 |  |  |  |  |

* PDE allows 2006/07 or 2007/08 Current Enrollment $+10 \%$ to be used as Highest Projected Enrollment for Project Grades.
** Elementary Fuctional Capacity are Graded Classrooms K-5; Special Education Capacity is not included in the Functional Capacity or Total Capacity.


| Educational Space | BEDFORD MIDDLE SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HYNDMAN MIDDLE / SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | BEDFORD HIGH SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing 6-8 |  |  |  |  | Proposed 6-8 Option 2-A |  |  |  |  | Existing 6-12 |  |  |  |  | Proposed 6-12 Option 2-A |  |  |  |  | Existing 9-12 |  |  |  |  | Proposed 9-12 Option 2-A |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. | Area | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dist. } \\ & \text { FTE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PDE } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | No. | Area | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dist. } \\ & \text { FTE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | PDE FTE | No. | Area | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dist. } \\ & \text { FTE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PDE } \\ & \text { FTE } \end{aligned}$ | No. | Area | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dist. } \\ & \text { FTE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PDE } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | No. | Area | Total | Dist. FTE | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PDE } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | No. | Area | Total | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Dist. } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PDE } \\ & \text { FTE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Reg Clsrm 660+SF Reg Clsrm < 660 SF | 14 | 840 | $\begin{array}{r} 11,760 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 350 | 350 | 18 | 840 | $\begin{array}{r} 15,120 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 450 | 450 | 8 | 750 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,000 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 200 | 200 | 8 | 750 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,000 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 200 | 200 | 16 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 755 \\ & 630 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,080 \\ 2,520 \end{array}$ | 400 | 400 | 16 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 755 \\ & 630 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,080 \\ 2,520 \end{array}$ | 400 | 400 |
| Special Education CIsrm. Spec. Educ. Seminar / S.G.I. | 2 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 810 \\ & 435 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,620 \\ & 1,740 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 810 \\ & 435 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,430 \\ & 1,740 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 660 \\ & 440 \end{aligned}$ | $660$ |  |  | 1 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 660 \\ & 440 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 660 \\ & 880 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 3 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 785 \\ & 460 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,355 \\ & 1,380 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 3 <br> 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 785 \\ & 460 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,355 \\ & 1,380 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Media Center T.V. Studio | 1 | 2,500 | 2,500 |  |  | 1 | 2,500 | 2,500 |  |  | 1 | 1,565 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,565 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  |  | 1 | 1,565 | 1,565 0 |  |  | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,120 \\ 600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,120 \\ 600 \end{array}$ |  |  | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,120 \\ 600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,120 \\ 600 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| S.G.I. / Student Activity |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 460 | 460 |  |  | 1 | 460 | 460 |  |  | 1 | 560 | 560 |  |  | 1 | 560 | 560 |  |  |
| Large Group Instruction | 1 | 1,550 | 1,550 |  |  | 1 | 1,550 | 1,550 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1,140 | 1,140 |  |  | 1 | 1,140 | 1,140 |  |  |
| Science Classroom | 2 | 825 | 1,650 | 50 | 50 | 2 | 825 | 1,650 | 50 | 50 | 1 | 660 | 660 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 660 | 660 | 25 | 25 | 3 | 980 | 2,940 | 75 | 75 | 3 | 980 | 2,940 | 75 | 75 |
| Science < 660 SF |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 640 | 640 |  |  | 1 | 640 | 640 |  |  |
| Science Proj Rm / Greenhouse | 2 | 250 | 500 |  |  | 2 | 250 | 500 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 380 | 380 |  |  | 1 | 380 | 380 |  |  |
| Science Lab | 1 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 20 | 20 |  | 1,000 | 1,000 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 995 | 995 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 995 | 995 | 20 | 20 | 3 | 1,485 | 4,455 | 60 | 60 | 3 | 1,485 | 4,455 | 60 | 60 |
| Business Clsrm |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 17 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 660 | 650 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 660 | 650 | 25 | 25 |
| Computer Lab | 2 | 855 | 1,710 | 40 | 40 | 2 | 855 | 1,710 | 40 | 40 | 1 | 825 | 825 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 825 | 825 | 20 | 20 | 4 | 885 | 3,540 | 80 | 80 | 4 | 885 | 3,540 | 80 | 80 |
| Art Classroom | 1 | 950 | 950 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 950 | 950 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 775 | 775 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 775 | 775 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 1,645 | 1,645 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 1,645 | 1,645 | 20 | 20 |
| Music Classroom | 1 | 1,030 | 1,030 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1,030 | 1,030 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1,205 | 1,205 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1,205 | 1,205 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1,745 | 1,745 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1,745 | 1,745 | 25 | 25 |
| Band / Orchestra / Choral | 1 | 1,825 | 1,825 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1,825 | 1,825 | 25 | 25 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family \& Consumer Science | 1 | 2,035 | 2,035 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 2,035 | 2,035 | 20 | 20 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2,180 | 2,180 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 2,180 | 2,180 | 20 | 20 |
| TE Shop | 2 | 2,150 | 4,300 | 40 | 40 | 2 | 2,150 | 4,300 | 40 | 40 | 1 | 1,800 | 1,800 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 1,800 | 1,800 | 20 | 20 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | - | 0 |
| TE Shop <1800 sf |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1,135 | 1,135 |  |  | 1 | 1,135 | 1,135 |  |  |
| Vo Ag Shop w/ Clsrm |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gymnasium | 1 | 6,650 | 6,650 | 66 | 66 | 1 | 6,650 | 6,650 | 66 | 66 | 1 | 6,500 | 6,500 | 66 | 66 | 1 | 6,500 | 6,500 | 66 | 66 | 1 | 8,700 | 8,700 | 66 | 66 | 1 | 8,700 | 8,700 | 66 | 66 |
| Auxiliary Gymnasium |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 33 | 33 | 1 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 33 | 33 |
| Adaptive Gymnasium |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 2,700 | 2,700 |  |  | 1 | 2,700 | 2,700 |  |  |
| Locker Room / Team Room | 2 | 1,570 | 3,140 |  |  | 2 | 1,570 | 3,140 |  |  | 2 | 1,340 | 2,680 |  |  | 2 | 1,340 | 2,680 |  |  | 3 | 2,040 | 6,120 |  |  | 3 | 2,040 | 6,120 |  |  |
| Weight / Wrestling / Cardio Rm |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 2 | 845 | 1,690 |  |  | 2 | 845 | 1,690 |  |  | 2 | 965 | 1,930 |  |  | 2 | 965 | 1,930 |  |  |
| Training Room |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | 1 | 505 | 505 |  |  | 1 | 505 | 505 |  |  |
| Stage / Platform |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 875 | 875 |  |  | 1 | 875 | 875 |  |  | 1 | 1,780 | 1,780 |  |  | 1 | 1,780 | 1,780 |  |  |
| Auditorium |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 6,000 | 6,000 |  |  | 1 | 6,000 | 6,000 |  |  |
| Student Dining | 1 | 3,500 | 3,500 |  |  | 1 | 3,500 | 3,500 |  |  | 1 | 2,040 | 2,040 |  |  | 1 | 2,040 | 2,040 |  |  | 1 | 5,830 | 5,830 |  |  | 1 | 5,830 | 5,830 |  |  |
| Kitchen Areas | 1 | 775 | 775 |  |  | 1 | 775 | 775 |  |  | 1 | 700 | 700 |  |  | 1 | 700 | 700 |  |  | 1 | 3,600 | 3,600 |  |  | 1 | 3,600 | 3,600 |  |  |
| Administration / Guidance | 1 | 1,410 | 1,410 |  |  | 1 | 1,410 | 1,410 |  |  | 1 | 1,015 | 1,015 |  |  | 1 | 1,015 | 1,015 |  |  | 1 | 3,250 | 3,250 |  |  | 1 | 3,250 | 3,250 |  |  |
| Health Suite | 1 | 875 | 875 |  |  | 1 | 875 | 875 |  |  | 1 | 690 | 690 |  |  | 1 | 690 | 690 |  |  | 1 | 1,180 | 1,180 |  |  | 1 | 1,180 | 1,180 |  |  |
| Technology Suite |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 675 | 675 |  |  | 1 | 675 | 675 |  |  |
| Faculty Dining / Workroom | 2 | 405 | 810 |  |  | 2 | 405 | 810 |  |  | 2 | 305 | 610 |  |  | 2 | 305 | 610 |  |  | 3 | 585 | 1,755 |  |  | 3 | 585 | 1,755 |  |  |
| Faculty / I.P.C. / Office / P.E. Office | 3 | 190 | 570 |  |  | 3 | 190 | 570 |  |  | 4 | 110 | 440 |  |  | 4 | 110 | 440 |  |  | 12 | 165 | 1,980 |  |  | 12 | 165 | 1,980 |  |  |
| District Administration Offices |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 3,775 | 3,775 | 18 | 18 | 1 | 3,775 | 3,775 | 19 | 19 |
| FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY |  |  |  | 525 | 590 |  |  |  | 605 | 680 |  |  |  | 317 | 356 |  |  |  | 317 | 356 |  |  |  | 643 | 724 |  |  |  | 643 | 724 |
| TOTAL CAPACITY |  |  |  | 525 | 590 |  |  |  | 605 | 680 |  |  |  | 317 | 356 |  |  |  | 317 | 356 |  |  |  | 661 | 742 |  |  |  | 662 | 743 |
| SPECIAL EDUCATION CAPACITY |  |  |  | 30 | 75 |  |  |  | 40 | 100 |  |  |  | 20 | 50 |  |  |  | 20 | 50 |  |  |  | 40 | 100 |  |  |  | 40 | 100 |
| SCHEDULED AREA |  |  | 51,900 | SF |  |  |  | 56,070 | SF |  |  |  | 33,065 | SF |  |  |  | 33,065 | SF |  |  |  | 96,555 | SF |  |  |  | 96,555 | SF |  |
| ARCHITECTURAL AREA |  |  | 81,000 | SF |  |  |  | 87,700 | SF |  |  |  | 50,205 | SF |  |  |  | 50,205 | SF |  |  |  | 177,390 | SF |  |  |  | 177,390 | SF |  |
| NEW ARCHITECTURAL AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,700 | SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | SF |  |
| CLSRM COUNT | 14 |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. COUNT | 2 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 16 |  |  |  |  | 21 |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |  |  |  |
| ADDITIONAL CLASSROOMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.A. / ARCH. AREA FACTOR |  |  | 1.561 |  |  |  |  | 1.564 |  |  |  |  | 1.518 |  |  |  |  | 1.518 |  |  |  |  | 1.837 |  |  |  |  | 1.837 |  |  |
| SF / FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY SF / NO. OF STUDENTS |  |  |  | $154$ | $\begin{aligned} & 137 \\ & 176 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $145$ | $\begin{array}{r} 129 \\ 158 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 158 \\ 228 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141 \\ & 228 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $158$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141 \\ & 198 \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 276 \\ & 289 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 245 \\ 289 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $276$ | $\begin{aligned} & 245 \\ & 268 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |




[^3]

| Bedford | 605 | 217 | 304 | 4,700 | 1,428,800 | 6,700 | 1,507,500 | 81,000 | 9,158,922 | 0 | 10,666,422 | 13,333,028 | 0.3970 | 0.5523 | 21.93\% | 78.07\% | 992,136 | 217,538 | 774,598 | 4.64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle School |  | *Existing | 304 | 470 | 142,880 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *LEED | 304 | 470 | 142,880 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 433 | 481 | 6,200 | 2,982,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *Existing | 481 | 620 | 298,220 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *LEED | 481 | 620 | 298,220 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8 Total | 605 | 650 | 785 |  | \$5,293,200 | 6,700 | \$1,507,500 | 81,000 | \$9,158,922 | \$0 | \$10,666,422 | \$13,333,028 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$992,136 | \$217,538 | \$774,598 | 4.64 |
| Hyndman <br> Middle / Sr. High School | 317 | 48 | 67 | 4,700 | 314,900 | 0 | 0 | 50,205 | 2,795,925 | 0 | 2,795,925 | 3,494,906 | 0.7936 | 0.5523 | 43.83\% | 56.17\% | 260,239 | 114,064 | 146,175 | 0.88 |
|  |  | *Existing | 67 | 470 | 31,490 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *LEED | 67 | 470 | 31,490 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 290 | 322 | 6,200 | 1,996,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *Existing | 322 | 620 | 199,640 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *LEED | 322 | 620 | 199,640 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-12 Total | 317 | 338 | 389 |  | \$2,773,560 | 0 | \$0 | 50,205 | \$2,795,925 | \$0 | \$2,795,925 | \$3,494,906 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$260,239 | \$114,064 | \$146,175 | 0.88 |
| Bedford | 643 | 685 | 760 | 6,200 | 4,712,000 | 0 | 0 | 177,390 | 1,671,700 | 0 | 1,671,700 | 2,089,625 | 0.0000 | 0.5523 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 155,682 | 0 | 155,682 | 0.93 |
| High School |  | *Existing | 760 | 620 | 471,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | *20\% Rule |  |  |  |  | **20\% Rule |  |  |
|  |  | *LEED | 760 | 620 | 471,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | for Existing |  |  |  |  | for Existing |  |  |
| District Administration Offices (DAO) | 19 | 19 | 21 | 6,200 | 130,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *Existing | 21 | 620 | 13,020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *LEED | 21 | 620 | 13,020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9-12 / DAO Total | 643 | 704 | 781 | ** | \$5,810,640 | 0 | \$0 | 177,390 | \$1,671,700 | \$0 | \$1,671,700 | \$2,089,625 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$155,682 | \$0 | \$155,682 | 0.93 |
| K-12 / DAO Total | 2,634 | 3,093 |  |  | \$24,255,000 | 6,700 | \$1,507,500 | 456,425 | \$17,340,627 | \$0 | \$18,848,127 | \$23,560,160 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$1,753,633 | \$447,721 | \$1,305,912 | 7.83 |

[^4]
## OPTION 2-B -- Change Attendance Area

Adjust attendance areas for the Hyndman Campus to include Manns Choice Borough and Harrison Township as well as Hyndman Borough and Londonderry Township. Refer to Maps 5 and 6 for a geographic illustration of the School District existing and proposed attendance areas.

K-5 Maintain separate Elementary School campus sites.

- Maintain Bedford Elementary School with upgrades as required.
- Maintain Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School with upgrades as required.


## 6-8 Combine 6-8 Secondary School campus sites at Bedford Middle School site.

- Relocate Hyndman Middle/Senior High School grades 6-8 to Bedford Middle School; Alterations and additions with upgrades as required.

6-12 Combine 6-12 Secondary School campus sites at Bedford Schools site.

- Close existing Hyndman Middle/Senior High School.

9-12 Combine 9-12 Secondary School campus sites at Bedford High School site.

- Relocate Hyndman Middle/Senior High School grades 9-12 to Bedford High School; Alterations to convert existing District Administration Offices (DAO) to classrooms also upgrades as required.

DAO Construct New Building / Addition for the District Administration Offices (DAO).

Pros - Maintain current K-5 Elementary and 6-8, 9-12 Secondary grade structure

- Maintain current geographic neighborhood for Elementary Schools
- Adjusted Elementary School attendance area would potentially relieve capacity for Bedford Elementary School and the need for future additions to the existing facility.
- Homogeneous facility grade structure and educational programs for 6-8 and 9-12
- Separation of 6-8 Middle School age students and 9-12 High School age students
- Potential savings of yearly expense, transportation and maintenance for fewer School facilities

Cons - Geographical change of Secondary Schools Status Quo

- Potential additional yearly expense, transportation, and maintenance for two geographically separate Elementary Schools


## Proposed Campus Structure -- Change Attendance Area



## OPTION COSTS

## OPTION 2-B -- Change Attendance Area

## Proposed Mimimum Option Costs for Reimbursement

|  | Max. Eligible Reimb. | Constr. <br> Cost for Additions | Renov. Cost | Additional Educ. Upgrades | Total Constr. Cost | Total Project Cost | + Annual State Share | + Annual Local Share | Millage Equiv. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford ES | \$7,551,960 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00 |
| Hyndman ES | \$2,825,640 | \$0 | \$1,070,420 | 0 \$0 | \$1,070,420 | \$1,338,025 | \$54,987 | \$44,573 | 0.27 |
| K-5 Total | \$10,377,600 | \$0 | \$1,070,420 | O \$0 | \$1,070,420 | \$1,338,025 | \$54,987 | \$44,573 | 0.27 |
| Bedford MS 6-8 Total | \$5,860,560 | \$5,287,500 | \$5,178,885 | 5 \$0 | \$10,466,385 | \$13,082,981 | \$240,894 | \$732,791 | 4.39 |
| Hyndman MS/HS 6-12 Total | CLOSE | \$0 | \$0 | O \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00 |
| Bedford HS 9-12 Total | \$7,730,160 | \$0 | \$0 | O \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00 |
| DAO Total | \$156,240 | \$1,125,000 | \$0 | 0 \$0 | \$1,125,000 | \$1,406,250 | \$6,416 | \$98,141 | 0.59 |
| K-12/DAO Total | \$24,124,560 | \$6,412,500 | \$6,249,305 | 5 \$0 | \$12,661,805 | \$15,827,256 | \$302,297 | \$875,505 | 5.25 |

## Proposed Option Costs

|  | Max. <br> Eligible <br> Reimb. | Constr. Cost for Additions | Renov. Cost | Additional Educ. Upgrades | Total Constr. Cost | Total Project Cost | + Annual State Share | + Annual <br> Local <br> Share | Millage Equiv. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford ES | \$7,551,960 | \$0 | \$1,213,630 | \$0 | \$1,213,630 | \$1,517,038 | \$0 | \$113,014 | 0.68 |
| Hyndman ES | \$2,825,640 | \$0 | \$2,500,450 | \$0 | \$2,500,450 | \$3,125,563 | \$116,119 | \$116,443 | 0.70 |
| K-5 Total | \$10,377,600 | \$0 | \$3,714,080 | \$0 | \$3,714,080 | \$4,642,601 | \$116,119 | \$229,457 | 1.38 |
| Bedford MS 6-8 Total | \$5,860,560 | \$5,287,500 | \$9,158,922 | \$0 | \$14,446,422 | \$18,058,028 | \$240,879 | \$1,102,983 | 6.61 |
| Hyndman MS/HS 6-12 Total | CLOSE | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00 |
| Bedford HS 9-12 Total | \$7,730,160 | \$0 | \$1,671,700 | \$500,000 | \$2,171,700 | \$2,714,625 | \$0 | \$202,194 | 1.21 |
| DAO Total | \$156,240 | \$1,125,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,125,000 | \$1,406,250 | \$6,416 | \$98,141 | 0.59 |
| K-12/DAO Total | \$24,124,560 | \$6,412,500 | \$14,544,702 | \$500,000 | \$21,457,202 | \$26,821,504 | \$363,414 | \$1,632,775 | 9.79 |

TABLE 64 - Projected Student Enrollment (K-5) vs. Proposed Building Capacity


TABLE 65 - Projected Student Enrollment (6-8) vs. Proposed Building Capacity


TABLE 66 - Projected Student Enrollment (9-12) vs. Proposed Building Capacity


TABLE 67 - Projected Student Enrollment (K-12) vs. Proposed Building Capacity


Proposed Building Capacity for Grades K-5; 6-8; 9-12; K-12

|  | Building | Proposed Grade Alignment | Potential Work | Functional Capacity |  | Special Education Capacity |  | Highest Projected Enrollment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | DIST | PDE | DIST | PDE | Methods I, II, III | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current } \\ & +10 \% \text { * } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | BEDFORD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL | K-5 | Maintain w/ Upgrades | 834 | 975 |  | 125 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \widehat{60} \\ \square \square \square \\ \hline \end{array}$ | HYNDMANLONDONDERRY ELEM. SCHOOL | K-5 | Maintain w/ Upgrades | 235 | 275 | 20 | 50 |  |  |


| K-5 TOTAL | $1,0691,250$ | 1,201 <br> Method II | $\mathbf{1 , 1 4 3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |



BEDFORD

| MIDDLE | $6-8$ | Alts/Adds | 727 | 818 | 40 | 100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

SCHOOL
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 6-8 } & \text { Alts/Adds } & 727 & 818 & 40 & 100\end{array}$

| 6-8 TOTAL | 727818 | 619 <br> Method II * $2007 / 08$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



HYNDMAN
MIDDLE / SR.
HIGH SCHOOL
Close \& Relocate
6-12 $\quad 6-8$ to Bedford MS
9-12 to Bedford HS

| BEDFORD | 9-12 | Renovations <br> Convert DAO <br> to Classrooms | 743 | 836 | 40 | 100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HIGH <br> SCHOOL | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |


| 9-12 TOTAL | 743836 | 756 <br> Method II * 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| K-12 TOTAL | 2,539 | 2,904 | $\mathbf{2 , 5 7 7}$ <br> Method II |

DISTRICT
ADMINISTRATION
OFFICES
New
Building /
$19 \quad 19$
Addition

* PDE allows 2006/07 or 2007/08 Current Enrollment $+10 \%$ to be used as Highest Projected Enrollment for Project Grades.
** Elementary Fuctional Capacity are Graded Classrooms K-5; Special Education Capacity is not included in the Functional Capacity or Total Capacity.



|  | Dist Bldg. FTE | PDE Adj. New FTE | RPC | Reimb. Factor | Max Elig. Reimb. | Constr. New S.F. | Constr. Cost for Additions | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Renov. } \\ \text { Exist. } \\ \text { S.F. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Renov. Study Cost | Additional Educ. Upgrades | Total Constr. Cost | Total Project Cost | \% M.E.R. to T.P.C. | Aid <br> Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Share } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Local } \\ \text { Share } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { + Annual } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { Share } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | + Annual State Share | + Annual Local Share | Millage Equiv. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford Elementary | 834 | 1,043 | 1,339 | 4,700 | 6,293,300 | 0 | 0 | 115,105 | Rank 1 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \quad 0.0000 \\ & * * 20 \% \text { Rule } \\ & \text { for Existing } \end{aligned}$ |  | 0.5523 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  |  | *Existing *LEED | $\begin{aligned} & 1,339 \\ & 1,339 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 470 \\ 470 \\ * * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 629,330 \\ 629,330 \\ \mathbf{7 , 5 5 1 , 9 6 0} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **20\% Rule for Existing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hyndman-Londonderry | 235 | 358 | 501 | 4,700 | 2,354,700 | 0 | 0 | 32,725 | $1,070,420$ <br> $20 \%$ rule min. | 0 | 1,070,420 | 1,338,025 | 1.0000 |  | 0.5523 | 55.23\% | 44.77\% | 99,560 | 54,987 | 44,573 | 0.27 |
| Elementary |  | *Existing | 501 | 470 | 235,470 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *LEED | 501 | 470 | 235,470 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2,825,640 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K-5 Total | 1,069 | 1,401 | 1,840 |  | \$10,377,600 | 0 | \$0 | 147,830 | \$1,070,420 | \$0 | \$1,070,420 | \$1,338,025 |  | 0.5523 |  | \$99,560 |  | \$54,987 | \$44,573 | 0.27 |  |
| Bedford <br> Middle School | 727 | 240 | 336 | 4,700 | 1,579,200 | 23,500 | 5,287,500 | 81,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 5,178,885 \\ \text { Rank } \end{array}$ | 0 | 10,466,385 | 13,082,981 | 10.4480 | 0.5523 | 24.74\% | 75.26\% | 973,685 | 240,894 | 732,791 | 4.39 |  |
|  |  | *Existing | 336 | 470 | 157,920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *LEED | 336 | 470 | 157,920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 480 | 533 | 6,200 | 3,304,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *Existing | 533 | 620 | 330,460 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *LEED | 533 | 620 | 330,460 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8 Total | 727 | 720 | 869 |  | \$5,860,560 | 23,500 | \$5,287,500 | 81,000 | \$5,178,885 | \$0 | \$10,466,385 | \$13,082,981 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$973,685 | \$240,894 | \$732,791 | 4.39 |  |


| Hyndman Middle / Sr. H.S. <br> Relocate to Bedford M.S. \& H.S. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | CLOSE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.5523 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| K-12 Total | 2,539 | 3,057 |  |  | \$23,968,320 | 23,500 | \$5,287,500 | 406,220 | \$6,249,305 | \$0 | \$11,536,805 | \$14,421,006 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$1,073,245 | \$295,881 | \$777,364 | 4.66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Administration | 19 | 19 | 21 | 6,200 | 130,200 | 5,000 | 1,125,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,125,000 | 1,406,250 | 0.1111 | 0.5523 | 6.14\% | 93.86\% | 104,557 | 6,416 | 98,141 | 0.59 |
| Offices |  | *Existing *LEED | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 620 \\ & 620 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,020 \\ & 13,020 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DAO Total | 19 | 19 | 21 |  | \$156,240 | 5,000 | \$1,125,000 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,125,000 | \$1,406,250 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$104,557 | \$6,416 | \$98,141 | 0.59 |


| K-12 / DAO Total | 2,558 | 3,076 | \$24,124,560 | 28,500 | \$6,412,500 | 406,220 | \$6,249,305 | \$0 | \$12,661,805 | \$15,827,256 | 0.5523 | \$1,177,802 | \$302,297 | \$875,505 | 5.25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

 ** Existing Renovation Costs must exceed the " $20 \%$ Rule" to qualify for Reimbursement of the existing portion of the facility,



| Hyndman Middle / Sr. H.S. <br> Relocate to Bedford M.S. \& H.S. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | CLOSE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.5523 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Bedford High School | 743 | 936 | 1,039 | 6,200 | 6,441,800 | 0 | 0 | 177,390 | 1,671,700 | 500,000 | 2,171,700 | 2,714,625 | 0.0000 | 0.5523 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 202,194 | 0 | 202,194 | 1.21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | *Existing | 1,039 | 620 | 644,180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **20\% Rul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *LEED | 1,039 | 620 | 644,180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | for Existing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9-12 Total | 743 | 936 | 1,039 |  | \$7,730,160 | 0 | \$0 | 177,390 | \$1,671,700 | \$500,000 | \$2,171,700 | \$2,714,625 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$202,194 | \$0 | \$202,194 | 1.21 |


| K-12 Total | 2,539 | 3,057 |  | \$23,968,320 |  | 23,500 | \$5,287,500 | 406,220 | \$14,544,702 | \$500,000 | \$20,332,202 | \$25,415,254 | 0.5523 |  | \$1,891,632 |  |  | \$356,998 | \$1,534,634 | 9.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Administration | 19 | 19 | 21 | 6,200 | 130,200 | 5,000 | 1,125,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,125,000 | 1,406,250 | 0.1111 | 0.5523 | 6.14\% | 93.86\% | 104,557 | 6,416 | 98,141 | 0.59 |
| Offices |  | *Existing *LEED | 21 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 620 \\ & 620 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,020 \\ & 13,020 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DAO Total | 19 | 19 | 21 |  | \$156,240 | 5,000 | \$1,125,000 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,125,000 | \$1,406,250 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$104,557 | \$6,416 | \$98,141 | 0.59 |


| K-12 / DAO Total | 2,558 | 3,076 | \$24,124,560 | 28,500 | \$6,412,500 | 406,220 | \$14,544,702 | \$500,000 | \$21,457,202 | \$26,821,504 | 0.5523 | \$1,996,189 | \$363,414 | \$1,632,775 | 9.79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

 ** Existing Renovation Costs must exceed the " $20 \%$ Rule" to qualify for Reimbursement of the existing portion of the facility,


## OPTION 3-A -- Change Grade Alignment

| K-4 |  | Maintain separate Elementary School campus sites. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | K-4 | - Alterations and additions to Bedford Elementary School as required. |
|  | K-5 | - Maintain Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School with upgrades as required. |

5-8 Maintain separate Secondary School campus sites.

- Relocate Bedford Elementary School grade 5 to Bedford Middle School; Alterations and additions with upgrades as required.

6-12 Maintain separate Secondary School campus sites.

- Maintain Hyndman Middle/Senior High School with upgrades as required.

9-12 Maintain separate Secondary School campus sites.

- Maintain Bedford High School with upgrades as required.

DAO District Administration Offices (DAO) to remain at Bedford High School

Pros - Maintain Status Quo of Facility locations

- K-4 Grade structure change would provide more capacity for the Bedford Elementary School, thus potentially reducing the need for future additions to the existing Elementary School.

Cons - Geographical separation of Secondary Educational program

- Non-homogeneous facility grade structure for K-4, K-5, 5-8, 6-8, 9-12 and 6-12 grades
- 6-8 Middle School age students and 9-12 High School age students share facility
- Potential additional yearly expense, transportation and maintenance for three geographically separate Secondary Schools and two Elementary Schools


## Proposed Campus Structure -- Change Grade Alignment



## OPTION COSTS

## OPTION 3-A -- Change Grade Alignment

## Proposed Mimimum Option Costs for Reimbursement

|  | Max. <br> Eligible <br> Reimb. | Constr. <br> Cost for <br> Additions | Renov. <br> Cost | Additional <br> Educ. <br> Upgrades | Total <br> Constr. <br> Cost | Total <br> Project <br> Cost | + Annual <br> State <br> Share | + Annual <br> Local <br> Share | Millage <br> Equiv. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| K-4 Bedford ES <br> K-5 Hyndman ES | $\$ 6,705,960$ | $\$ 2,481,600$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 0.00 |
| K-4 / K-5 Total | $\$ 9,187,560$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,070,420$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,070,420$ | $\$ 1,338,025$ | $\$ 54,987$ | $\$ 44,573$ | 0.27 |  |
| Bedford MS <br> 5-8 Total | $\$ 6,820,800$ | $\$ 6,750,000$ | $\$ 5,178,885$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 11,928,885$ | $\$ 14,911,106$ | $\$ 280,369$ | $\$ 829,394$ | 4.97 |  |
| Hyndman MS/HS <br> 6-12 Total | $\$ 2,799,720$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,567,610$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,567,610$ | $\$ 1,959,513$ | $\$ 80,463$ | $\$ 65,225$ | 0.39 |  |
| Bedford HS <br> 9-12/DAO Total | $\$ 5,870,160$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 0.00 |  |

## Proposed Option Costs

|  | Max. Eligible Reimb. | Constr. <br> Cost for Additions | Renov. Cost | Additional Educ. Upgrades | Total Constr. Cost | Total Project Cost | + Annual State Share | + Annual <br> Local <br> Share | Millage Equiv. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K-4 Bedford ES | \$6,705,960 | \$0 | \$1,213,630 | \$0 | \$1,213,630 | \$1,517,038 | \$0 | \$113,014 | 0.68 |
| K-5 Hyndman ES | \$2,481,600 | \$0 | \$2,500,450 | \$0 | \$2,500,450 | \$3,125,563 | \$101,981 | \$130,581 | 0.78 |
| K-4 / K-5 Total | \$9,187,560 | \$0 | \$3,714,080 | \$0 | \$3,714,080 | \$4,642,601 | \$101,981 | \$243,595 | 1.46 |
| Bedford MS 5-8 Total | \$6,820,800 | \$6,750,000 | \$9,158,922 | 2 \$0 | \$15,908,922 | \$19,886,153 | \$280,352 | \$1,199,588 | 7.19 |
| Hyndman MS/HS 6-12 Total | \$2,799,720 | \$0 | \$2,795,925 | 5 \$0 | \$2,795,925 | \$3,494,906 | \$115,140 | \$145,099 | 0.87 |
| Bedford HS 9-12/DAO Total | \$5,870,160 | \$0 | \$1,671,700 | \$0 | \$1,671,700 | \$2,089,625 | \$0 | \$155,682 | 0.93 |
| K-12/DAO Total | \$24,678,240 | \$6,750,000 | \$17,340,627 | 7 \$0 | \$24,090,627 | \$30,113,285 | \$497,473 | \$1,743,964 | 10.45 |

TABLE 68 - Projected Student Enrollment (K-4) vs. Proposed Building Capacity


TABLE 69 - Projected Student Enrollment (5-12) vs. Proposed Building Capacity


TABLE 70 - Projected Student Enrollment (K-12) vs. Proposed Building Capacity


Proposed Building Capacity for Grades K-4; 5-8; 9-12; 6-12; K-12

|  | Building | Proposed Grade Alignment | Potential Work | Functional Capacity |  | Special Education Capacity |  | Highest Projected Enrollment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | DIST | PDE | DIST | PDE | Methods I,II, III | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current } \\ & +10 \% \text { * } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | BEDFORD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL | K-4 | Maintain w/ Upgrades | 802 | 950 | 50 | 125 |  |  |
|  | HYNDMANLONDONDERRY ELEM. SCHOOL | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K}-4 \\ & \mathrm{~K}-5 \end{aligned}$ | Maintain w/ Upgrades | 235 | 275 | 20 | 50 |  |  |


| K-4/5 TOTAL | $1,0371,225$ | K-4 | 994 <br> Method II * | 956 <br> $2007 / 08$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



BEDFORD

| MIDDLE | $5-8$ | Alts/Adds | 783 | 881 | 50 | 125 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

SCHOOL
$\begin{array}{llllll}5-8 & \text { Alts/Adds } & 783 & 881 & 50 & 125\end{array}$

| HYNDMAN MIDDLE / SR. HIGH SCHOOL | 6-12 | Maintain w/ Upgrades | 317 | 356 | 20 | 50 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BEDFORD <br> HIGH <br> SCHOOL | 9-12 | Maintain w/ Upgrades | 643 | 724 | 40 | 100 |  |
| 5-12 TOTAL |  |  | 1,743 | 1,961 |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 1,582 & 1,603 \\ \text { Method II } & \text { 2007/08 } \end{array}$ |
| K-12 TOTAL |  |  | 2,780 | 3,186 |  |  | 2,577 2,566 <br> Method II $*$  <br> 2006/07  |


DISTRICT
ADMINISTRATION
OFFICES

Remain at
Bedford
$19 \quad 19$
High School

* PDE allows 2006/07 or 2007/08 Current Enrollment + 10\% to be used as Highest Projected Enrollment for Project Grades.
** Elementary Fuctional Capacity are Graded Classrooms K-5; Special Education Capacity is not included in the Functional Capacity or Total Capacity.

| Educational Space | BEDFORD ELEMENTARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HYNDMAN-LONDONDERRY ELEMENTARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing K-5 |  |  |  |  | Proposed K-4 Option 3-A |  |  |  |  | Existing K-5 |  |  |  |  | Proposed K-5 Option 3-A |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. | Area | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dist. } \\ & \text { FTE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PDE } \\ & \text { FTE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No. | Area | Total | Dist. FTE | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PDE } \\ & \text { FTE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No. | Area | Total | Dist. FTE | FTE | No. | Area | Total | Dist. FTE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PDE } \\ & \text { FTE } \end{aligned}$ |
| Half Day Kindergarten |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Full Day Kindergarten | 7 | 925 | 6,475 | 140 | 175 | 8 | 925 | 7,400 | 160 | 200 | 2 | 815 | 1,630 | 40 | 50 | 2 | 815 | 1,630 | 40 | 50 |
| First Grade Classroom | 7 | 840 | 5,880 | 140 | 175 | 8 | 840 | 6,720 | 160 | 200 | 2 | 850 | 1,700 | 40 | 50 | 2 | 850 | 1,700 | 40 | 50 |
| Second Grade Classroom | 7 | 840 | 5,880 | 140 | 175 | 8 | 840 | 6,720 | 160 | 200 | 2 | 850 | 1,700 | 40 | 50 | 2 | 850 | 1,700 | 40 | 50 |
| Third Grade Classroom | 6 | 850 | 5,100 | 138 | 150 | 7 | 845 | 5,915 | 161 | 175 | 1 | 800 | 800 | 23 | 25 | 2 | 800 | 1,600 | 46 | 50 |
| Fourth Grade Classroom | 6 | 850 | 5,100 | 138 | 150 | 7 | 845 | 5,915 | 161 | 175 | 2 | 800 | 1,600 | 46 | 50 | 2 | 800 | 1,600 | 46 | 50 |
| Fifth Grade Classroom | 6 | 850 | 5,100 | 138 | 150 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 800 | 1,600 | 46 | 50 | 1 | 800 | 800 | 23 | 25 |
| Support Classroom |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 800 | 800 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 800 | 800 | 25 | 25 |
| Reg. Clsrm. < 660 SF |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Pre Kindergarten |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 865 | 865 | 20 | 25 | 1 | 815 | 815 | 20 | 25 | 1 | 815 | 815 | 20 | 25 |
| Special Education Clsrm. <br> S.E. Seminar / S.G.I. | 5 | 850 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,250 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  |  | 5 | 850 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,250 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  |  | 2 | 800 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,600 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  |  | 2 | 800 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,600 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Media Center | 1 | 3,945 | 3,945 |  |  | 1 | 3,945 | 3,945 |  |  | 1 | 560 | 560 |  |  | 1 | 560 | 560 |  |  |
| Small Group Instruction | 4 | 515 | 2,060 |  |  | 4 | 515 | 2,060 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Small Group Instruction | 4 | 150 | 600 |  |  | 4 | 150 | 600 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Large Group Instruction | 1 | 1,680 | 1,680 |  |  | 1 | 1,680 | 1,680 |  |  | 1 | 990 | 990 |  |  | 1 | 990 | 990 |  |  |
| Computer Lab | 1 | 815 | 815 |  |  | 1 | 815 | 815 |  |  | 1 | 425 | 425 |  |  | 1 | 425 | 425 |  |  |
| Art Classroom | 1 | 735 | 735 |  |  |  | 735 | 735 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Art / Music Classroom | 1 | 750 | 750 |  |  | 1 | 750 | 750 |  |  | 1 | 985 | 985 |  |  | 1 | 985 | 985 |  |  |
| Music Classroom | 1 | 715 | 715 |  |  | 1 | 715 | 715 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Gymnasium / Multi-Purpose Rm | 1 | 6,035 | 6,035 |  |  | 1 | 6,035 | 6,035 |  |  | 1 | 3,570 | 3,570 |  |  | 1 | 3,570 | 3,570 |  |  |
| Locker Room |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Stage / Platform | 1 | 1,670 | 1,670 |  |  | 1 | 1,670 | 1,670 |  |  | 1 | 560 | 560 |  |  | 1 | 560 | 560 |  |  |
| Student Dining |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Kitchen Areas | 1 | 3,320 | 3,320 |  |  | 1 | 3,320 | 3,320 |  |  | 1 | 1,460 | 1,460 |  |  | 1 | 1,460 | 1,460 |  |  |
| Administration / Guidance | 1 | 3,300 | 3,300 |  |  | 1 | 3,300 | 3,300 |  |  | 1 | 740 | 740 |  |  | 1 | 740 | 740 |  |  |
| Health Suite | 1 | 1,170 | 1,170 |  |  | 1 | 1,170 | 1,170 |  |  | 1 | 295 | 295 |  |  | 1 | 295 | 295 |  |  |
| Faculty Dining / Workroom | 1 | 355 | 355 |  |  | 1 | 355 | 355 |  |  | 1 | 320 | 320 |  |  | 1 | 320 | 320 |  |  |
| Faculty / I.P.C. | 9 | 175 | 1,575 |  |  | 9 | 175 | 1,575 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY |  |  |  | 834 | 975 |  |  |  | 802 | 950 |  |  |  | 235 | 275 |  |  |  | 235 | 275 |
| TOTAL CAPACITY |  |  |  | 834 | 975 |  |  |  | 822 | 975 |  |  |  | 280 | 325 |  |  |  | 280 | 325 |
| SPECIAL EDUCATION CAPACITY |  |  |  | 50 | 125 |  |  |  | 50 | 125 |  |  |  | 20 | 50 |  |  |  | 20 | 50 |
| SCHEDULED AREA |  |  | 66,510 | SF |  |  |  | 66,510 | SF |  |  |  | 22,150 | SF |  |  |  | 22,150 | SF |  |
| ARCHITECTURAL AREA |  |  | 115,105 | SF |  |  |  | 115,105 | SF |  |  |  | 32,725 | SF |  |  |  | 32,725 | SF |  |
| NEW ARCHITECTURAL AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | SF |  |
| CLSRM COUNT | 39 |  |  |  |  | 39 |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. COUNT | 5 |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| total | 44 |  |  |  |  | 44 |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| ADDITIONAL CLASSROOMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.A. / ARCH. AREA FACTOR |  |  | 1.731 |  |  |  |  | 1.731 |  |  |  |  | 1.477 |  |  |  |  | 1.477 |  |  |
| SF/FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY |  |  |  | 138 | 118 |  |  |  | 144 | 121 |  |  |  | 139 | 119 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| SF / NO. OF STUDENTS |  |  |  | 137 | 137 |  |  |  | 129 | 129 |  |  |  | 172 | 172 |  |  |  | 172 | 172 |


| Educational Space | BEDFORD MIDDLE SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HYNDMAN MIDDLE / SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | BEDFORD HIGH SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing 6-8 |  |  |  |  | Proposed 5-8 Option 3-A |  |  |  |  | Existing 6-12 |  |  |  |  | Proposed 6-12 Option 3-A |  |  |  |  | Existing 9-12 |  |  |  |  | Proposed 9-12 Option 3-A |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. | Area | Total | Dist. FTE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PDE } \\ & \text { FTE } \end{aligned}$ | No. | Area | Total | Dist. FTE | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PDE } \\ & \text { FTE } \end{aligned}$ | No. | Area |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Dist. } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array} \\ \hline 200 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PDE } \\ & \text { FTE } \\ & \hline 200 \end{aligned}$ | No. | Area | Total | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \text { Dist. } & \text { PDE } \\ \text { FTE } & \text { FTE } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | No. | Area |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dist. } \\ & \text { FTE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PDE } \\ & \text { FTE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |    Dist. PDE <br> No. Area Total FTE FTE |  |  |  |  |
| Reg Clsrm 660+SF Reg Clsrm < 660 SF | 14 | 840 | $\begin{array}{r} 11,760 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 350 | 350 | 24 | 840 | $\begin{array}{r} 20,160 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  | 600 | 8 | 750 | $\begin{gathered} 6,000 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 8 | 750 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,000 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 200 | 200 | 16 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 755 \\ & 630 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Total }}{12,080}$ | $\frac{\text { FTE }}{400}$ | 400 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 755 \\ & 630 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,080 \\ 2,520 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Special Education CIsrm. Spec. Educ. Seminar / S.G.I. | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 810 \\ & 435 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,620 \\ & 1,740 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 810 \\ & 435 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,240 \\ & 1,740 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 660 \\ & 440 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 660 \\ & 88 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 660 \\ & 440 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 660 \\ & 880 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 3 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 785 \\ & 460 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,355 \\ & 1,380 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 3 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 785 \\ 460 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,355 \\ & 1,380 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Media Center | 1 | 2,500 | 2,500 |  |  | 1 | 2,500 | 2,500 |  |  | 1 | 1,565 | 1,565 |  |  | 1 | 1,565 | 1,565 |  |  | 1 | 4,120 | 4,120 |  |  | 1 | 4,120 | 4,120 |  |  |
| T.V. Studio / Media Classroom |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 600 | 600 |  |  | 1 | 600 | 600 |  |  |
| S.G.I. / Student Activity |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 460 | 460 |  |  | 1 | 460 | 460 |  |  | 1 | 560 | 560 |  |  | 1 | 560 | 560 |  |  |
| Large Group Instruction | 1 | 1,550 | 1,550 |  |  | 1 | 1,550 | 1,550 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1,140 | 1,140 |  |  | 1 | 1,140 | 1,140 |  |  |
| Science Classroom | 2 | 825 | 1,650 | 50 | 50 | 2 | 825 | 1,650 | 50 | 50 | 1 | 660 | 660 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 660 | 660 | 25 | 25 | 3 | 980 | 2,940 | 75 | 75 | 3 | 980 | 2,940 | 75 | 75 |
| Science < 660 SF |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 640 | 640 |  |  | 1 | 640 | 640 |  |  |
| Science Proj Rm / Greenhouse | 2 | 250 | 500 |  |  | 2 | 250 | 500 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 380 | 380 |  |  | 1 | 380 | 380 |  |  |
| Science Lab | 1 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 20 | 20 | 2 | 1,000 | 2,000 | 40 | 40 | 1 | 995 | 995 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 995 | 995 | 20 | 20 | 3 | 1,485 | 4,455 | 60 | 60 | 3 | 1,485 | 4,455 | 60 | 60 |
| Business Clsrm |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 660 | 660 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 660 | 660 | 25 | 25 |
| Computer Lab | 2 | 855 | 1,710 | 40 | 40 | 2 | 855 | 1,710 | 40 | 40 | 1 | 825 | 825 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 825 | 825 | 20 | 20 | 4 | 885 | 3,540 | 80 | 80 | 4 | 885 | 3,540 | 80 | 80 |
| Art Classroom | 1 | 950 | 950 | 20 | 20 | 2 | 1,000 | 2,000 | 40 | 40 | 1 | 775 | 775 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 775 | 775 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 1,645 | 1,645 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 1,645 | 1,645 | 20 | 20 |
| Music Classroom | 1 | 1,030 | 1,030 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1,030 | 1,030 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1,205 | 1,205 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1,205 | 1,205 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1,745 | 1,745 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1,745 | 1,745 | 25 | 25 |
| Band / Orchestra / Choral | 1 | 1,825 | 1,825 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1,825 | 1,825 | 25 | 25 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Family \& Consumer Science | 1 | 2,035 | 2,035 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 2,035 | 2,035 | 20 | 20 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2,180 | 2,180 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 2,180 | 2,180 | 20 | 20 |
| TE Shop | 2 | 2,150 | 4,300 | 40 | 40 | 2 | 2,150 | 4,300 | 40 | 40 | 1 | 1,800 | 1,800 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 1,800 | 1,800 | 20 | 20 |  |  | 0 | 0 | - |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TE Shop <1800 sf |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1,135 | 1,135 |  |  | 1 | 1,135 | 1,135 |  |  |
| Gymnasium | 1 | 6,650 | 6,650 | 66 | 66 | 1 | 6,650 | 6,650 | 66 | 66 | 1 | 6,500 | 6,500 | 66 | 66 | 1 | 6,500 | 6,500 | 66 | 66 | 1 | 8,700 | 8,700 | 66 | 66 | 1 | 8,700 | 8,700 | 66 | 66 |
| Auxiliary Gymnasium |  |  | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 33 | 33 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 33 | 33 | 1 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 33 | 33 |
| Adaptive Gymnasium |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 2,700 | 2,700 |  |  | 1 | 2,700 | 2,700 |  |  |
| Locker Room / Team Room | 2 | 1,570 | 3,140 |  |  | 2 | 1,570 | 3,140 |  |  | 2 | 1,340 | 2,680 |  |  | 2 | 1,340 | 2,680 |  |  | 3 | 2,040 | 6,120 |  |  | 3 | 2,040 | 6,120 |  |  |
| Weight / Wrestling / Cardio Rm |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 2 | 845 | 1,690 |  |  | 2 | 845 | 1,690 |  |  | 2 | 965 | 1,930 |  |  | 2 | 965 | 1,930 |  |  |
| Training Room |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 505 | 505 |  |  | 1 | 505 | 505 |  |  |
| Stage / Platform |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1,000 | 1,000 |  |  | 1 | 875 | 875 |  |  | 1 | 875 | 875 |  |  | 1 | 1,780 | 1,780 |  |  | 1 | 1,780 | 1,780 |  |  |
| Auditorium |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 6,000 | 6,000 |  |  | 1 | 6,000 | 6,000 |  |  |
| Student Dining | 1 | 3,500 | 3,500 |  |  | 1 | 4,000 | 4,000 |  |  | 1 | 2,040 | 2,040 |  |  | 1 | 2,040 | 2,040 |  |  | 1 | 5,830 | 5,830 |  |  | 1 | 5,830 | 5,830 |  |  |
| Kitchen Areas | 1 | 775 | 775 |  |  | 1 | 1,200 | 1,200 |  |  | 1 | 700 | 700 |  |  | 1 | 700 | 700 |  |  | 1 | 3,600 | 3,600 |  |  | 1 | 3,600 | 3,600 |  |  |
| Administration / Guidance | 1 | 1,410 | 1,410 |  |  | 1 | 1,600 | 1,600 |  |  | 1 | 1,015 | 1,015 |  |  | 1 | 1,015 | 1,015 |  |  | 1 | 3,250 | 3,250 |  |  | 1 | 3,250 | 3,250 |  |  |
| Health Suite | 1 | 875 | 875 |  |  | 1 | 875 | 875 |  |  | 1 | 690 | 690 |  |  | 1 | 690 | 690 |  |  |  | 1,180 | 1,180 |  |  | 1 | 1,180 | 1,180 |  |  |
| Technology Suite |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 675 | 675 |  |  | 1 | 675 | 675 |  |  |
| Faculty Dining / Workroom | 2 | 405 | 810 |  |  | 4 | 405 | 1,620 |  |  | 2 | 305 | 610 |  |  | 2 | 305 | 610 |  |  | 3 | 585 | 1,755 |  |  | 3 | 585 | 1,755 |  |  |
| Faculty / I.P.C. / Office / P.E. Office | 3 | 190 | 570 |  |  | 3 | 190 | 570 |  |  | 4 | 110 | 440 |  |  | 4 | 110 | 440 |  |  | 12 | 165 | 1,980 |  |  | 12 | 165 | 1,980 |  |  |
| District Administration Offices |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 3,775 | 3,775 | 18 | 18 | 1 | 3,775 | 3,775 | 19 | 19 |
| FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY |  |  |  | 525 | 590 |  |  |  | 783 | 881 |  |  |  | 317 | 356 |  |  |  | 317 | 356 |  |  |  | 643 | 724 |  |  |  | 643 | 724 |
| TOTAL CAPACITY |  |  |  | 525 | 590 |  |  |  | 783 | 881 |  |  |  | 317 | 356 |  |  |  | 317 | 356 |  |  |  | 661 | 742 |  |  |  | 662 | 743 |
| SPECIAL EDUCATION CAPACITY |  |  |  | 30 | 75 |  |  |  | 50 | 125 |  |  |  | 20 | 50 |  |  |  | 20 | 50 |  |  |  | 40 | 100 |  |  |  | 40 | 100 |
| SCHEDULED AREA |  |  | 51,900 | SF |  |  |  | 69,395 | SF |  |  |  | 33,065 | SF |  |  |  | 33,065 | SF |  |  |  | 96,555 | SF |  |  |  | 96,555 | SF |  |
| ARCHITECTURAL AREA |  |  | 81,000 | SF |  |  |  | 111,000 | SF |  |  |  | 50,205 | SF |  |  |  | 50,205 | SF |  |  |  | 177,390 | SF |  |  |  | 177,390 | SF |  |
| NEW ARCHITECTURAL AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30,000 | SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | SF |  |
| CLSRM COUNT | 14 |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. COUNT | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 16 |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |  |  |  |
| ADDITIONAL CLASSROOMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.A. / ARCH. AREA FACTOR |  |  | 1.561 |  |  |  |  | 1.600 |  |  |  |  | 1.518 |  |  |  |  | 1.518 |  |  |  |  | 1.837 |  |  |  |  | 1.837 |  |  |
| SF / FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY SF / NO. OF STUDENTS |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 154 \\ 176 \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 137 \\ & 176 \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 142 \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 126 \\ & 150 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 158 \\ 228 \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141 \\ & 228 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 158 \\ & 198 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141 \\ & 198 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 276 \\ 289 \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 245 \\ 289 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 276 \\ & 268 \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 245 \\ 268 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |


|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Dist } \\ \text { BIdg. } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | PDE Adj. New FTE | RPC | Reimb. Factor | Max Elig. Reimb | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Constr. } \\ \text { New } \\ \text { S.F. } \end{gathered}$ | Constr. Cost for Additions | Renov. Exist. S.F. | Renov. Study Cost | Additional Educ. Upgrades | Total Constr. Cost | Total Project Cost | \% M.E.R. to T.P.C. | Aid <br> Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Share } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \% \\ \text { Local } \\ \text { Share } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { + Annual } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { Share } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { + Annual } \\ & \text { State } \\ & \text { Share } \end{aligned}$ | + Annual Local Share | Millage Equiv. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford Elementary | 802 | *Existing *LEED | $\begin{aligned} & 1,189 \\ & 1,189 \\ & 1,189 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,700 \\ 470 \\ 470 \end{array}$ | 5,588,300 558,830 558,830 | 0 | 0 | 115,105 | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ \text { Rank } 1 \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \quad 0.0000 \\ & \begin{array}{c} * * 20 \% \text { Rule } \\ \text { for Existing } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 0.5523 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| K-4 Total | 802 | 905 | 1,189 | ** | \$6,705,960 | 0 | \$0 | 115,105 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0.5523 |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00 |
| Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary | 235 | *Existing *LEED | $\begin{aligned} & 440 \\ & 440 \\ & 440 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,700 \\ 470 \\ 470 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,068,000 \\ 206,800 \\ 206,800 \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | $32,725$ | $1,070,420$ $20 \% \text { rule min. }$ | 0 | 1,070,420 | 1,338,025 | 51.0000 | 0.5523 | 55.23\% | 44.77\% | 99,560 | 54,987 | 44,573 | 0.27 |
| K-5 Total | 235 | 314 | 440 |  | \$2,481,600 | 0 | \$0 | 32,725 | \$1,070,420 | \$0 | \$1,070,420 | \$1,338,025 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$99,560 | \$54,987 | \$44,573 | 0.27 |
| Bedford <br> Middle School | 783 | *Existing <br> *LEED <br> 422 <br> *Existing <br> *LEED | $\begin{aligned} & 592 \\ & 592 \\ & 592 \\ & 468 \\ & 468 \\ & 468 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,700 \\ 470 \\ 470 \\ 6,200 \\ 620 \\ 620 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,782,400 \\ 278,240 \\ 278,240 \\ 2,901,600 \\ 290,160 \\ 290,160 \end{array}$ | 30,000 | 6,750,000 | 81,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 5,178,885 \\ \text { Rank } 1 \end{array}$ | 0 | 11,928,885 | 14,911,106 | 60.4574 | 0.5523 | 25.26\% | 74.74\% | 1,109,763 | 280,369 | 829,394 | 4.97 |
| 5-8 Total | 783 | 845 | 1,060 |  | \$6,820,800 | 30,000 | \$6,750,000 | 81,000 | \$5,178,885 | \$0 | \$11,928,885 | \$14,911,106 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$1,109,763 | \$280,369 | \$829,394 | 4.97 |
| Hyndman <br> Middle / Sr. High School | 317 | *Existing *LEED 292 *Existing *LEED | $\begin{array}{r} 69 \\ 69 \\ 69 \\ 694 \\ 324 \\ 324 \\ 324 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,700 \\ 470 \\ 470 \\ 6,200 \\ 620 \\ 620 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 324,300 \\ 32,430 \\ 32,430 \\ 2,008,800 \\ 200,880 \\ 200,880 \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | 50,205 | $1,567,610$ <br> 20\% rule min. | 0 | 1,567,610 | 1,959,513 | 31.0000 | 0.5523 | 55.23\% | 44.77\% | 145,688 | 80,463 | 65,225 | 0.39 |
| 6-12 Total | 317 | 341 | 393 |  | \$2,799,720 | 0 | \$0 | 50,205 | \$1,567,610 | \$0 | \$1,567,610 | \$1,959,513 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$145,688 | \$80,463 | \$65,225 | 0.39 |
| Bedford High School | 643 | *Existing *LEED | $\begin{aligned} & 768 \\ & 768 \\ & 768 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,200 \\ 620 \\ 620 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,761,600 \\ 476,160 \\ 476,160 \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | 177,390 | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ \text { Rank } \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \quad 0.0000 \\ & \begin{array}{c} * * 20 \% \text { Rule } \\ \text { for Existing } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 0.5523 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| District Administration Offices (DAO) | 19 | *Existing *LEED | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 21 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,200 \\ 620 \\ 620 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 130,200 \\ 13,020 \\ 13,020 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9-12 / DAO Total | 643 | 711 | 768 | ** | \$5,870,160 | 0 | \$0 | 177,390 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0.5523 |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00 |



** Existing Renovation Costs must exceed the " $20 \%$ Rule" to qualify for Reimbursement of the existing portion of the facility.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Dist } \\ \text { BIdg. } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | PDE Adj. New FTE | RPC | Reimb. Factor | Max Elig. Reimb. | Constr. New S.F. | Constr. Cost for Additions | Renov. Exist. S.F. | Renov. Study Cost | Additional Educ. Upgrades | Total Constr. Cost | Total Project Cost | \% M.E.R. to T.P.C. | Aid <br> Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \% \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Share } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Local } \\ \text { Share } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { + Annual } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { Share } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { + Annual } \\ & \text { State } \\ & \text { Share } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | + Annual Local Share | Millage Equiv. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford Elementary | 802 | *Existing *LEED | $\begin{aligned} & 1,189 \\ & 1,189 \\ & 1,189 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,700 \\ 470 \\ 470 \end{array}$ | $5,588,300$ 558,830 558,830 | 0 | 0 | 115,105 | 1,213,630 | 0 | 1,213,630 | 1,517,038 | 0.0000 $* * 20 \%$ Rule for Existing | 0.5523 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 113,014 | 0 | 113,014 | 0.68 |
| K-4 Total | 802 | 905 | 1,189 | ** | \$6,705,960 | 0 | \$0 | 115,105 | \$1,213,630 | \$0 | \$1,213,630 | \$1,517,038 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$113,014 | \$0 | \$113,014 | 0.68 |
| Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary | 235 | *Existing *LEED | $\begin{aligned} & 440 \\ & 440 \\ & 440 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,700 \\ 470 \\ 470 \end{array}$ | 2,068,000 206,800 206,800 | 0 | 0 | 32,725 | 2,500,450 | 0 | 2,500,450 | 3,125,563 | 0.7940 | 0.5523 | 43.85\% | 56.15\% | 232,562 | 101,981 | 130,581 | 0.78 |
| K-5 Total | 235 | 314 | 440 |  | \$2,481,600 | 0 | \$0 | 32,725 | \$2,500,450 | \$0 | \$2,500,450 | \$3,125,563 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$232,562 | \$101,981 | \$130,581 | 0.78 |
| Bedford <br> Middle School | 783 | *Existing <br> *LEED <br> 422 <br> *Existing <br> *LEED | $\begin{aligned} & 592 \\ & 592 \\ & 592 \\ & 468 \\ & 468 \\ & 468 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,700 \\ 470 \\ 470 \\ 6,200 \\ 620 \\ 620 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,782,400 \\ 278,240 \\ 278,240 \\ 2,901,600 \\ 290,160 \\ 290,160 \end{array}$ | 30,000 | 6,750,000 | 81,000 | 9,158,922 | 0 | 15,908,922 | 19,886,153 | 0.3430 | 0.5523 | 18.94\% | 81.06\% | 1,479,940 | 280,352 | 1,199,588 | 7.19 |
| 5-8 Total | 783 | 845 | 1,060 |  | \$6,820,800 | 30,000 | \$6,750,000 | 81,000 | \$9,158,922 | \$0 | \$15,908,922 | \$19,886,153 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$1,479,940 | \$280,352 | \$1,199,588 | 7.19 |
| Hyndman Middle / Sr. High School | 317 | $\begin{array}{r} 49 \\ \text { *Existing } \\ \text { *LEED } \\ 292 \\ \text { *Existing } \\ \text { *LEED } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69 \\ 69 \\ 69 \\ 324 \\ 324 \\ 324 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,700 \\ 470 \\ 470 \\ 6,200 \\ 620 \\ 620 \end{array}$ | 324,300 32,430 32,430 $2,008,800$ 200,880 200,880 | 0 | 0 | 50,205 | 2,795,925 | 0 | 2,795,925 | 3,494,906 | 0.8011 | 0.5523 | 44.24\% | 55.76\% | 260,239 | 115,140 | 145,099 | 0.87 |
| 6-12 Total | 317 | 341 | 393 |  | \$2,799,720 | 0 | \$0 | 50,205 | \$2,795,925 | \$0 | \$2,795,925 | \$3,494,906 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$260,239 | \$115,140 | \$145,099 | 0.87 |
| Bedford High School | 643 | *Existing *LEED | $\begin{aligned} & 768 \\ & 768 \\ & 768 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,200 \\ 620 \\ 620 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,761,600 \\ 476,160 \\ 476,160 \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | 177,390 | 1,671,700 | 0 | 1,671,700 | 2,089,625 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ { }^{* *} 20 \% \text { Rule } \end{gathered}$ for Existing | 0.5523 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 155,682 | 0 | 155,682 | 0.93 |
| District Administration Offices (DAO) | 19 | *Existing *LEED | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 21 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,200 \\ 620 \\ 620 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 130,200 \\ 13,020 \\ 13,020 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9-12 / DAO Total | 643 | 711 | 768 | ** | \$5,870,160 | 0 | \$0 | 177,390 | \$1,671,700 | \$0 | \$1,671,700 | \$2,089,625 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$155,682 | \$0 | \$155,682 | 0.93 |



** Existing Renovation Costs must exceed the " $20 \%$ Rule" to qualify for Reimbursement of the existing portion of the facility.

## OPTION 3-B -- Change Grade Alignment

## K-4 Maintain separate Elementary School campus sites.

K-4 - Alterations and additions to Bedford Elementary School as required.
K-5 - Maintain Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School with upgrades as required.

5-8 Combine 5, 6-8 Secondary School campus sites at Bedford Middle School site.

- Relocate Bedford Elementary School grade 5 also Hyndman Middle/Senior High School grades 6-8 to Bedford Middle School; Alterations and additions with upgrades as required.

6-12 Combine 6-12 Secondary School campus sites at Bedford Schools site.

- Close existing Hyndman Middle/Senior High School.

9-12 Combine 9-12 Secondary School campus sites at Bedford High School site.

- Relocate Hyndman Middle/Senior High School grades 9-12 to Bedford High School; Alterations to convert existing District Administration Offices (DAO) to classrooms and upgrades as required.

DAO Construct New Building / Addition for the District Administration Offices (DAO).

Pros - Maintain current geographic neighborhood for Elementary Schools

- K-4 Grade structure change would provide more capacity for the Elementary Schools, thus potentially reducing the need for future additions to the existing Elementary Schools.
- Homogeneous facility grade structure and educational programs for 5-8 and 9-12
- Separation of 5-8 Middle School age students and 9-12 High School age students
- Potential savings of yearly expense, transportation and maintenance for fewer School facilities

Cons - Geographical change of Secondary Schools Status Quo

- Potential additional yearly expense, transportation, and maintenance for two geographically separate Elementary Schools


## Proposed Campus Structure -- Change Grade Alignment



## OPTION COSTS

## OPTION 3-B -- Change Grade Alignment

## Proposed Mimimum Option Costs for Reimbursement

|  | Max. Eligible Reimb. | Constr. <br> Cost for <br> Additions | Renov. Cost | Additional Educ. Upgrades | Total Constr. Cost | Total Project Cost | + Annual State Share | + Annual Local Share | Millage Equiv. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K-4 Bedford ES | \$6,705,960 | \$0 | \$0 | - \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00 |
| K-5 Hyndman ES | \$2,481,600 | \$0 | \$1,070,420 | \$0 | \$1,070,420 | \$1,338,025 | \$54,987 | \$44,573 | 0.27 |
| K-4 / K-5 Total | \$9,187,560 | \$0 | \$1,070,420 | \$0 | \$1,070,420 | \$1,338,025 | \$54,987 | \$44,573 | 0.27 |
| Bedford MS 5-8 Total | \$7,884,600 | \$9,225,000 | \$5,178,885 | \$0 | \$14,403,885 | \$18,004,856 | \$324,098 | \$1,015,920 | 6.09 |
| Hyndman MS/HS | CLOSE | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00 |
| Bedford HS <br> 9-12 Total | \$7,730,160 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00 |
| DAO Total | \$156,240 | \$1,125,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,125,000 | \$1,406,250 | \$6,416 | \$98,141 | 0.59 |
| K-12/DAO Total | \$24,958,560 | \$10,350,000 | \$6,249,305 | \$0 | \$16,599,305 | \$20,749,131 | \$385,501 | \$1,158,634 | 6.94 |

## Proposed Option Costs

|  | Max. <br> Eligible <br> Reimb. | Constr. <br> Cost for <br> Additions | Renov. <br> Cost | Additional <br> Educ. <br> Upgrades | Total <br> Constr. <br> Cost | Total <br> Project <br> Cost | + Annual <br> State <br> Share | + Annual <br> Local <br> Share | Millage <br> Equiv. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K-4 Bedford ES <br> K-5 Hyndman ES | $\$ 6,705,960$ | $\$ 2,481,600$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,213,630$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,213,630$ | $\$ 1,517,038$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 113,014$ | 0.68 |
| K-5 Total | $\$ 9,187,560$ | $\$ 0,500,450$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,500,450$ | $\$ 3,125,563$ | $\$ 101,981$ | $\$ 130,581$ | 0.78 |  |  |
| Bedford MS <br> 5-8 Total | $\$ 7,884,600$ | $\$ 9,225,000$ | $\$ 9,158,922$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 18,383,922$ | $\$ 22,979,903$ | $\$ 324,080$ | $\$ 1,386,116$ | 8.31 |  |
| Hyndman MS/HS | CLOSE | $\$ 0$ | $\$ \$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 0.00 |  |
| Bedford HS <br> $9-12 ~ T o t a l ~$ | $\$ 7,730,160$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,671,700$ | $\$ 500,000$ | $\$ 2,171,700$ | $\$ 2,714,625$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 202,194$ | 1.21 |  |
| DAO Total | $\$ 156,240$ | $\$ 1,125,000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,125,000$ | $\$ 1,406,250$ | $\$ 6,416$ | $\$ 98,141$ | 0.59 |  |

TABLE 71 - Projected Student Enrollment (K-4) vs. Proposed Building Capacity


TABLE 72 - Projected Student Enrollment (5-8) vs. Proposed Building Capacity


TABLE 73 - Projected Student Enrollment (9-12) vs. Proposed Building Capacity


TABLE 74 - Projected Student Enrollment (K-12) vs. Proposed Building Capacity


Proposed Building Capacity for Grades K-4; 5-8; 9-12; K-12

|  | Building | Proposed Grade Alignment | Potential Work | Functional Capacity |  | Special Education Capacity |  | Highest Projected Enrollment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | DIST | PDE | DIST | PDE | Methods I \& II | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current } \\ & +10 \% \text { * } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | BEDFORD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL | K-4 | Maintain w/ Upgrades | 802 | 950 | 50 | 125 |  |  |
|  | HYNDMANLONDONDERRY ELEM. SCHOOL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K-4 } \\ & \text { K-5 } \end{aligned}$ | Maintain w/ Upgrades | 235 | 275 | 20 | 50 |  |  |


| K-4/5 TOTAL | 1,037 | 1,225 | K-4 | 994 <br> Method II |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



BEDFORD

| MIDDLE | $5-8$ | Alts/Adds | 895 | 1,007 | 60 | 150 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

SCHOOL
5-8 Alts/Adds $895 \quad 1,007 \quad 60 \quad 150$


| 9-12 TOTAL | 743836 | $\mathbf{7 5 6}$ <br> Method II * 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| K-12 TOTAL | 2,675 | 3,068 | $\mathbf{2 , 5 7 7}$ <br> Method II |

DISTRICT
ADMINISTRATION
OFFICES

New
Building / 1919
Addition

* PDE allows 2006/07 or 2007/08 Current Enrollment + 10\% to be used as Highest Projected Enrollment for Project Grades.
** Elementary Fuctional Capacity are Graded Classrooms K-5; Special Education Capacity is not included in the Functional Capacity or Total Capacity.

| Educational Space | BEDFORD ELEMENTARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HYNDMAN-LONDONDERRY ELEMENTARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing K-5 |  |  |  |  | Proposed K-4 Option 3-B |  |  |  |  | Existing K-5 |  |  |  |  | Proposed K-5 Option 3-B |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. | Area | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dist. } \\ & \text { FTE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PDE } \\ & \text { FTE } \end{aligned}$ | No. | Area | Total | Dist. FTE | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PDE } \\ & \text { FTE } \end{aligned}$ | No. | Area | Total | Dist. FTE | PDE FTE | No. | Area | Total | Dist. FTE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PDE } \\ & \text { FTE } \end{aligned}$ |
| Half Day Kindergarten |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Full Day Kindergarten | 7 | 925 | 6,475 | 140 | 175 | 8 | 925 | 7,400 | 160 | 200 | 2 | 815 | 1,630 | 40 | 50 | 2 | 815 | 1,630 | 40 | 50 |
| First Grade Classroom | 7 | 840 | 5,880 | 140 | 175 | 8 | 840 | 6,720 | 160 | 200 | 2 | 850 | 1,700 | 40 | 50 | 2 | 850 | 1,700 | 40 | 50 |
| Second Grade Classroom | 7 | 840 | 5,880 | 140 | 175 |  | 840 | 6,720 | 160 | 200 | 2 | 850 | 1,700 | 40 | 50 | 2 | 850 | 1,700 | 40 | 50 |
| Third Grade Classroom | 6 | 850 | 5,100 | 138 | 150 | 7 | 845 | 5,915 | 161 | 175 | 1 | 800 | 800 | 23 | 25 | 2 | 800 | 1,600 | 46 | 50 |
| Fourth Grade Classroom | 6 | 850 | 5,100 | 138 | 150 | 7 | 845 | 5,915 | 161 | 175 | 2 | 800 | 1,600 | 46 | 50 | 2 | 800 | 1,600 | 46 | 50 |
| Fifth Grade Classroom | 6 | 850 | 5,100 | 138 | 150 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 800 | 1,600 | 46 | 50 | 1 | 800 | 800 | 23 | 25 |
| Support Classroom |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 800 | 800 | 23 | 25 | 1 | 800 | 800 | 23 | 25 |
| Reg. Clsrm. < 660 SF |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Pre Kindergarten |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 865 | 865 | 20 | 25 | 1 | 815 | 815 | 20 | 25 | 1 | 815 | 815 | 20 | 25 |
| Special Education Clsrm. S.E. Seminar / S.G.I. | 5 | 850 | 4,250 0 |  |  | 5 | 850 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,250 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  |  | 2 | 800 | 1,600 0 |  |  | 2 | 800 | 1,600 |  |  |
| Media Center | 1 | 3,945 | 3,945 |  |  | 1 | 3,945 | 3,945 |  |  | 1 | 560 | 560 |  |  | 1 | 560 | 560 |  |  |
| Small Group Instruction | 4 | 515 | 2,060 |  |  | 4 | 515 | 2,060 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Small Group Instruction | 4 | 150 | 600 |  |  | 4 | 150 | 600 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Large Group Instruction | 1 | 1,680 | 1,680 |  |  | 1 | 1,680 | 1,680 |  |  | 1 | 990 | 990 |  |  | 1 | 990 | 990 |  |  |
| Computer Lab | 1 | 815 | 815 |  |  | 1 | 815 | 815 |  |  | 1 | 425 | 425 |  |  | 1 | 425 | 425 |  |  |
| Art Classroom | 1 | 735 | 735 |  |  | 1 | 735 | 735 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Art / Music Classroom | 1 | 750 | 750 |  |  | 1 | 750 | 750 |  |  | 1 | 985 | 985 |  |  | 1 | 985 | 985 |  |  |
| Music Classroom | 1 | 715 | 715 |  |  | 1 | 715 | 715 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Gymnasium / Multi-Purpose Rm | 1 | 6,035 | 6,035 |  |  | 1 | 6,035 | 6,035 |  |  | 1 | 3,570 | 3,570 |  |  | 1 | 3,570 | 3,570 |  |  |
| Locker Room |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Stage / Platform | 1 | 1,670 | 1,670 |  |  | 1 | 1,670 | 1,670 |  |  | 1 | 560 | 560 |  |  | 1 | 560 | 560 |  |  |
| Student Dining |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Kitchen Areas | 1 | 3,320 | 3,320 |  |  | 1 | 3,320 | 3,320 |  |  | 1 | 1,460 | 1,460 |  |  | 1 | 1,460 | 1,460 |  |  |
| Administration / Guidance | 1 | 3,300 | 3,300 |  |  | 1 | 3,300 | 3,300 |  |  | 1 | 740 | 740 |  |  | 1 | 740 | 740 |  |  |
| Health Suite | 1 | 1,170 | 1,170 |  |  | 1 | 1,170 | 1,170 |  |  | 1 | 295 | 295 |  |  | 1 | 295 | 295 |  |  |
| Faculty Dining / Workroom | 1 | 355 | 355 |  |  | 1 | 355 | 355 |  |  | 1 | 320 | 320 |  |  | 1 | 320 | 320 |  |  |
| Faculty / I.P.C. | 9 | 175 | 1,575 |  |  | 9 | 175 | 1,575 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |
| FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY |  |  |  | 834 | 975 |  |  |  | 802 | 950 |  |  |  | 235 | 275 |  |  |  | 235 | 275 |
| TOTAL CAPACITY |  |  |  | 834 | 975 |  |  |  | 822 | 975 |  |  |  | 278 | 325 |  |  |  | 278 | 325 |
| SPECIAL EDUCATION CAPACITY |  |  |  | 50 | 125 |  |  |  | 50 | 125 |  |  |  | 20 | 50 |  |  |  | 20 | 50 |
| SCHEDULED AREA |  |  | 66,510 | SF |  |  |  | 66,510 | SF |  |  |  | 22,150 | SF |  |  |  | 22,150 | SF |  |
| ARCHITECTURAL AREA |  |  | 115,105 | SF |  |  |  | 115,105 | SF |  |  |  | 32,725 | SF |  |  |  | 32,725 | SF |  |
| NEW ARCHITECTURAL AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | SF |  |
| CLSRM COUNT | 39 |  |  |  |  | 39 |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. COUNT | 5 |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 44 |  |  |  |  | 44 |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| ADDITIONAL CLASSROOMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.A. / ARCH. AREA FACTOR |  |  | 1.731 |  |  |  |  | 1.731 |  |  |  |  | 1.477 |  |  |  |  | 1.477 |  |  |
| SF/FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY |  |  |  | 138 | 118 |  |  |  | 144 | 121 |  |  |  | 139 | 119 |  |  |  | 139 | 119 |
| SF / NO. OF STUDENTS |  |  |  | 137 | 137 |  |  |  | 129 | 129 |  |  |  | 172 | 172 |  |  |  | 208 | 208 |



|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Dist } \\ \text { BIdg. } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | PDE Adj. New FTE | RPC | Reimb. Factor | Max Elig. Reimb. | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Constr. } \\ \text { New } \\ \text { S.F. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Constr. Cost for Additions | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Renov. } \\ \text { Exist. } \\ \text { S.F. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Renov. Study Cost | Additional Educ. Upgrades | Total Constr. Cost | Total Project Cost | \% M.E.R. to T.P.C. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aid } \\ \text { Ratio } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Local } \\ \text { Share } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { + Annual } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { Share } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | + Annual State Share | + Annual Local Share | Millage Equiv. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford <br> Elementary | 802 | *Existing *LEED | $\begin{aligned} & 1,189 \\ & 1,189 \\ & 1,189 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,700 \\ 470 \\ 470 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5,588,300 558,830 558,830 | 0 | 0 | 115,105 | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ \text { Rank } \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0.0000 **20\% Rule for Existing | 0.5523 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| K-4 Total | 802 | 905 | 1,189 | ** | \$6,705,960 | 0 | \$0 | 115,105 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00 |
| Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary | 235 | *Existing *LEED | $\begin{aligned} & 440 \\ & 440 \\ & 440 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,700 \\ 470 \\ 470 \end{array}$ | 2,068,000 206,800 206,800 | 0 | 0 | $32,725$ | 1,070,420 <br> $20 \%$ rule min. | 0 | 1,070,420 | 1,338,025 | 1.0000 | 0.5523 | 55.23\% | 44.77\% | 99,560 | 54,987 | 44,573 | 0.27 |
| K-5 Total | 235 | 314 | 440 |  | \$2,481,600 | 0 | \$0 | 32,725 | \$1,070,420 | \$0 | \$1,070,420 | \$1,338,025 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$99,560 | \$54,987 | \$44,573 | 0.27 |
| Bedford <br> Middle School | 895 | *Existing *LEED 488 *Existing *LEED | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 683 \\ & 683 \\ & 683 \\ & 542 \\ & 542 \\ & 542 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,700 \\ 470 \\ 470 \\ 6,200 \\ 620 \\ 620 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,210,100 \\ 321,010 \\ 321,010 \\ 3,360,400 \\ 336,040 \\ 336,040 \end{array}$ | 41,000 | 9,225,000 | 81,000 | 5,178,885 Rank 1 | 0 | 14,403,885 | 18,004,856 | 60.4379 | 0.5523 | 24.19\% | 75.81\% | 1,340,018 | 324,098 | 1,015,920 | 6.09 |
| 5-8 Total | 895 | 976 | 1,225 |  | \$7,884,600 | 41,000 | \$9,225,000 | 81,000 | \$5,178,885 | \$0 | \$14,403,885 | \$18,004,856 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$1,340,018 | \$324,098 | \$1,015,920 | 6.09 |



| Bedford <br> High School | 743 | 936 | 1,039 | 6,200 | 6,441,800 | 0 | 0 | 177,390 | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ \text { Rank } 1 \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \quad 0.0000 \\ & \begin{array}{c} * * 20 \% \text { Rule } \\ \text { for Existing } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 0.5523 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | *Existing | 1,039 | 620 | 644,180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *LEED | 1,039 | 620 | 644,180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9-12 Total | 743 | 936 | 1,039 |  | \$7,730,160 | 0 | \$0 | 177,390 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.5523 |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00 |


| K-12 Total | 2,675 | 3,131 |  | \$24,802,320 |  | 41,000 | \$9,225,000 | 406,220 | \$6,249,305 | \$0 | \$15,474,305 | \$19,342,881 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$1,439,578 | \$379,085 | \$1,060,493 | 6.36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Administration | 19 | 19 | 21 | 6,200 | 130,200 | 5,000 | 1,125,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,125,000 | 1,406,250 | 0.1111 | 0.5523 | 6.14\% | 93.86\% | 104,557 | 6,416 | 98,141 | 0.59 |
| Offices |  | *Existing | 21 | 620 | 13,020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *LEED | 21 | 620 | 13,020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DAO Total | 19 | 19 | 21 |  | \$156,240 | 5,000 | \$1,125,000 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,125,000 | \$1,406,250 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$104,557 | \$6,416 | \$98,141 | 0.59 |
| K-12 / DAO Total | 2,694 | 3,150 |  |  | \$24,958,560 | 46,000 | \$10,350,000 | 406,220 | \$6,249,305 | \$0 | \$16,599,305 | \$20,749,131 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$1,544,135 | \$385,501 | \$1,158,634 | 6.94 |

[^5]|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Dist } \\ \text { BIdg. } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | PDE Adj. New FTE | RPC | Reimb. Factor | Max Elig. Reimb. | Constr. New S.F. | Constr. Cost for Additions | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Renov. } \\ \text { Exist. } \\ \text { S.F. } \end{gathered}$ | Renov. Study Cost | Additional Educ. Upgrades | Total Constr. Cost | Total Project Cost | \% M.E.R. to T.P.C. | Aid <br> Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \% \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Share } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \% \\ \text { Local } \\ \text { Share } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { + Annual } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Share } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { + Annual } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Share } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { + Annual } \\ & \text { Local } \\ & \text { Share } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Millage Equiv. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford Elementary | 802 | 905 *Existing *LEED <br> *LEED | $\begin{aligned} & 1,189 \\ & 1,189 \\ & 1,189 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,700 \\ 470 \\ 470 \end{array}$ | 5,588,300 <br> 558,830 558,830 | 0 | 0 | 115,105 | 1,213,630 | 0 | 1,213,630 | 1,517,038 | $\begin{aligned} & \quad 0.0000 \\ & { }^{* *} 20 \% \text { Rule } \\ & \text { for Existing } \end{aligned}$ | 0.5523 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 113,014 | 0 | 113,014 | 0.68 |
| K-4 Total | 802 | 905 | 1,189 | ** | \$6,705,960 | 0 | \$0 | 115,105 | \$1,213,630 | \$0 | \$1,213,630 | \$1,517,038 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$113,014 | \$0 | \$113,014 | 0.68 |
| Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary | 235 | 314 *Existing <br> *LEED | $\begin{aligned} & 440 \\ & 440 \\ & 440 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 4,700 \\ 470 \\ 470 \end{array}$ | 2,068,000 206,800 206,800 | 0 | 0 | 32,725 | 2,500,450 | 0 | 2,500,450 | 3,125,563 | 0.7940 | 0.5523 | 43.85\% | 56.15\% | 232,562 | 101,981 | 130,581 | 0.78 |
| K-5 Total | 235 | 314 | 440 |  | \$2,481,600 | 0 | \$0 | 32,725 | \$2,500,450 | \$0 | \$2,500,450 | \$3,125,563 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$232,562 | \$101,981 | \$130,581 | 0.78 |


| Bedford <br> Middle School | 895 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,386,116 | 8.31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-8 Total | 895 | 976 |  |  | \$7,884,600 |  | 41,000 | \$9,225,000 | 81,000 | \$9,158,922 | \$0 | \$18,383,922 | \$22,979,903 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$1,710,196 | \$324,080 | \$1,386,116 | 8.31 |




| K-12 Total | 2,675 | 3,131 |  |  | \$24,802,320 | 41,000 | \$9,225,000 | 406,220 | \$14,544,702 | \$500,000 | \$24,269,702 | \$30,337,129 | 0.5523 |  |  |  | \$2,257,966 | \$426,061 | \$1,831,905 | 10.98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Administration | 19 | 19 | 21 | 6,200 | 130,200 | 5,000 | 1,125,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,125,000 | 1,406,250 | 0.1111 | 0.5523 | 6.14\% | 93.86\% | 104,557 | 6,416 | 98,141 | 0.59 |
| Offices |  | *Existing *LEED | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 620 \\ & 620 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,020 \\ & 13,020 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DAO Total | 19 | 19 | 21 |  | \$156,240 | 5,000 | \$1,125,000 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,125,000 | \$1,406,250 |  | 0.5523 |  |  | \$104,557 | \$6,416 | \$98,141 | 0.59 |


| K-12 / DAO Total | 2,694 | 3,150 | \$24,958,560 | 46,000 | \$10,350,000 | 406,220 | \$14,544,702 | \$500,000 | \$25,394,702 | \$31,743,379 | 0.5523 | \$2,362,523 | \$432,477 | \$1,930,046 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[^6]\section*{0 <br> | 0 |
| :--- |
| 10 |
| 0 | $\sum_{0}^{0}<$}

## INTRODUCTION TO OPTIONS COST SUMMARY

## Option Cost Summary

This section of the Feasibility Study is a Cost Summary of all options including Maximum Eligible Reimbursement, Cost for Additions, Renovation Study Cost, Additional Educational Upgrades Cost, Total Project Cost, Annual Total Share, Annual State Share (State Reimbursement), Annual Local Share.

## PlanCon "20\% Rule"

Existing Renovation Costs must exceed the " $20 \%$ Rule" to qualify for Reimbursement of the existing portion of the facility.

Based on the provisions of Basic Educastion Circular (BEC) 24 P.S. 7-733, "School Construction Reimbursement Criteria", if the Adjusted Estimated Alteration costs for a project fall below 20\% of the replacement value at the time a project is bid, the alteration work will be non-reimburseable. If the project is not voided and the District still receives reimbursement for any additions, the project building will not be eligible for reimbursement for alterations for the next 20 years unless a request for a variance is approved by the Pennsylvania Department of Education.

## Minimum Renovation Costs for PlanCon Reimbursement Eligibility

Table 75 profiles the data for PlanCon Reimbursement Eligibility based on the "20\% Rule" as oultined above for the Proposed Options.

| TABLE 75 | PDE Total Existing Capacity | PDE <br> Relacement Value | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PDE } \\ 20 \% \text { Rule } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ | Project Renovation Cost | Cost Difference | Reimb. Eligibility Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford <br> Elementary School | 975 | \$16,056,300 | \$3,211,260 | $\$ 1,213,630$ <br> All Options | -\$1,997,630 | Not Eligible |
| Hyndman-Londonderry Elementary School | 325 | \$5,352,100 | \$1,070,420 | $\$ 2,500,450$ <br> All Options | \$1,430,030 | Eligible |
| Bedford Middle School | 590 | \$12,990,030 | \$2,598,006 | $\$ 9,158,922$ <br> All Options | \$6,560,916 | Eligible |
| Hyndman Middle/Senior H. S. | 356 | \$7,838,052 | \$1,567,610 | $\$ 2,795,925$ <br> All Options | \$1,228,315 | Eligible |
| Bedford High School | 742 | \$16,336,614 | \$3,267,323 | \$1,671,700 <br> Options 1, 2A <br> \$2,171,700 <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & -\$ 1,595,623 \\ & , 3 \text { A } \\ & -\$ 1,095,623 \\ & \text { 3B } \end{aligned}$ | Not Eligible <br> Not Eligible |

## Summary of Options

## Option 1 -- "Status Quo"

Option 1 (K-5, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12)

- Maintain separate Campus sites for all grade groupings.


## Option 2 -- "Change Attendance Areas"

Adjust attendance areas for the Hyndman Campus to include Manns Choice Borough and Harrison Township as well as Hyndman Borough and Londonderry Township.

Option 2-A (K-5, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12)

- Maintain separate Campus sites for all grade groupings.

Option 2-B (K-5, 6-8, 9-12)

- Maintain separate Campus sites for Elementary grade groupings.
- Combine Campus sites for grades 6-8 and 9-12 at Bedford Campus.


## Option 3 -- "Change Grade Alignment"

Bedford Elementary grade level change to K-4; Relocate grade 5 to Bedford Middle School.
Option 3-A (K-4, 5-8, 9-12; K-5, 6-12)

- Maintain separate Campus sites for all grade groupings.

Option 3-B (K-4, 5-8, 9-12)

- Maintain separate Campus sites for Elementary grade groupings.
- Combine Campus sites for grades 5-8 and 9-12 at Bedford Campus.


## PROPOSED OPTIONS

## Proposed Mimimum Option Costs for Reimbursement

|  | Max. Eligible Reimb. | Constr. <br> Cost for Additions | Renov. Cost | Additional Educ. Upgrades | Total <br> Constr. <br> Cost | Total Project Cost | Aid Ratio | + Annual State Share | + Annual Local Share | Millage Equiv. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | \$23,661,480 | \$0 | \$7,816,915 | \$0 | \$7,816,915 | \$9,771,144 | 0.5523 | \$328,572 | \$398,329 | 2.39 |
| 2-A | \$24,255,000 | \$1,507,500 | \$7,816,915 | \$0 | \$9,324,415 | \$11,655,519 | 0.5523 | \$352,997 | \$514,210 | 3.08 |
| 2-B | \$24,124,560 | \$6,412,500 | \$6,249,305 | \$0 | \$12,661,805 | \$15,827,256 | 0.5523 | \$302,297 | \$875,505 | 5.25 |
| 3-A | \$24,678,240 | \$6,750,000 | \$7,816,915 | \$0 | \$14,566,915 | \$18,208,644 | 0.5523 | \$415,819 | \$939,192 | 5.63 |
| 3-B | \$24,958,560 | \$10,350,000 | \$6,249,305 | \$0 | \$16,599,305 | \$20,749,131 | 0.5523 | \$385,501 | \$1,158,634 | 6.94 |


| Proposed Option Costs <br>  <br> Max. <br> Eligible <br> Reimb.Constr. <br> Cost for <br> Additions | Renov. <br> Cost | Additional <br> Educ. <br> Upgrades | Total <br> Constr. <br> Cost | Total <br> Project <br> Cost | Aid <br> Ratio | +Annual <br> State <br> Share | + Annual <br> Local <br> Share | Millage <br> Equiv. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 23,661,480$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 17,340,627$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 17,340,627$ | $\$ 21,675,785$ | 0.5523 | $\$ 423,309$ | $\$ 1,190,018$ | 7.13 |
| 2-A | $\$ 24,255,000$ | $\$ 1,507,500$ | $\$ 17,340,627$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 18,848,127$ | $\$ 23,560,160$ | 0.5523 | $\$ 447,721$ | $\$ 1,305,912$ | 7.83 |

[^7]
## Options Campus Structure



## OPTION COSTS

## OPTION 1 -- Status Quo

## Proposed Mimimum Option Costs for Reimbursement

|  | Max. <br> Eligible <br> Reimb. | Constr. <br> Cost for <br> Additions | Renov. <br> Cost | Additional <br> Educ. <br> Upgrades | Total <br> Constr. <br> Cost | Total <br> Project <br> Cost | + Annual <br> State <br> Share | + Annual <br> Local <br> Share | Millage <br> Equiv. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Bedford ES <br> Hyndman ES | $\$ 7,551,960$ | $\$ 2,825,640$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 0.00 |
| K-5 Total | $\$ 10,377,600$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,070,420$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,070,420$ | $\$ 1,338,025$ | $\$ 54,987$ | $\$ 44,573$ | 0.27 |  |
| Bedford MS <br> 6-8 Total | $\$ 4,699,680$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 5,178,885$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 5,178,885$ | $\$ 6,473,606$ | $\$ 193,122$ | $\$ 288,531$ | 1.73 |  |
| Hyndman MS/HS <br> 6-12 Total | $\$ 2,773,560$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,567,610$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,567,610$ | $\$ 1,959,513$ | $\$ 80,463$ | $\$ 65,225$ | 0.39 |  |
| Bedford HS <br> 9-12/DAO Total | $\$ 5,810,640$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 0.00 |  |

## Proposed Option Costs

|  | Max. <br> Eligible <br> Reimb. | Constr. <br> Cost for <br> Additions | Renov. <br> Cost | Additional <br> Educ. <br> Upgrades | Total <br> Constr. <br> Cost | Total <br> Project <br> Cost | + Annual <br> State <br> Share | + Annual <br> Local <br> Share | Millage <br> Equiv. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bedford ES <br> Hyndman ES | $\$ 7,551,960$ | $\$ 2,825,640$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,213,630$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,213,630$ | $\$ 1,517,038$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 113,014$ | 0.68 |
| K-5 Total | $\$ 10,377,600$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,500,450$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,500,450$ | $\$ 3,125,563$ | $\$ 116,119$ | $\$ 116,443$ | 0.70 |  |
| Bedford MS <br> 6-8 Total | $\$ 4,699,680$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 9,158,922$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 9,158,922$ | $\$ 11,448,653$ | $\$ 193,126$ | $\$ 658,704$ | 3.95 |  |
| Hyndman MS/HS <br> 6-12 Total | $\$ 2,773,560$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,795,925$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,795,925$ | $\$ 3,494,906$ | $\$ 114,064$ | $\$ 146,175$ | 0.88 |  |
| Bedford HS <br> 9-12/DAO Total | $\$ 5,810,640$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,671,700$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,671,700$ | $\$ 2,089,625$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 155,682$ | 0.93 |  |

## OPTION COSTS

## OPTION 2-A -- Change Attendance Area

## Proposed Mimimum Option Costs for Reimbursement

|  | Max. <br> Eligible <br> Reimb. | Constr. <br> Cost for <br> Additions | Renov. <br> Cost | Additional <br> Educ. <br> Upgrades | Total <br> Constr. <br> Cost | Total <br> Project <br> Cost | + Annual <br> State <br> Share | + Annual <br> Local <br> Share | Millage <br> Equiv. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bedford ES <br> Hyndman ES | $\$ 7,551,960$ | $\$ 2,825,640$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| $\$ 1,070,420$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,070,420$ | $\$ 1,338,025$ | $\$ 54,987$ | $\$ 44,573$ | 0.27 |  |  |  |  |
| K-5 Total | $\$ 10,377,600$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,070,420$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,070,420$ | $\$ 1,338,025$ | $\$ 54,987$ | $\$ 44,573$ | 0.27 |  |
| Bedford MS <br> 6-8 Total | $\$ 5,293,200$ | $\$ 1,507,500$ | $\$ 5,178,885$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 6,686,385$ | $\$ 8,357,981$ | $\$ 217,547$ | $\$ 404,412$ | 2.42 |  |
| Hyndman MS/HS <br> 6-12 Total | $\$ 2,773,560$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,567,610$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,567,610$ | $\$ 1,959,513$ | $\$ 80,463$ | $\$ 65,225$ | 0.39 |  |
| Bedford HS <br> 9-12/DAO Total | $\$ 5,810,640$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 0.00 |  |

## Proposed Option Costs

|  | Max. <br> Eligible <br> Reimb. | Constr. <br> Cost for <br> Additions | Renov. <br> Cost | Additional <br> Educ. <br> Upgrades | Total <br> Constr. <br> Cost | Total <br> Project <br> Cost | + Annual <br> State <br> Share | Annual <br> Local <br> Share | Millage <br> Equiv. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bedford ES <br> Hyndman ES | $\$ 7,551,960$ | $\$ 2,825,640$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,213,630$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,213,630$ | $\$ 1,517,038$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 113,014$ | 0.68 |
| K-5 Total | $\$ 10,377,600$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,500,450$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,500,450$ | $\$ 3,125,563$ | $\$ 116,119$ | $\$ 116,443$ | 0.70 |  |
| Bedford MS <br> 6-8 Total | $\$ 5,293,200$ | $\$ 1,507,500$ | $\$ 9,158,922$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 10,666,422$ | $\$ 13,333,028$ | $\$ 217,538$ | $\$ 774,598$ | 4.64 |  |
| Hyndman MS/HS <br> 6-12 Total | $\$ 2,773,560$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,795,925$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,795,925$ | $\$ 3,494,906$ | $\$ 114,064$ | $\$ 146,175$ | 0.88 |  |
| Bedford HS <br> 9-12/DAO Total | $\$ 5,810,640$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,671,700$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,671,700$ | $\$ 2,089,625$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 155,682$ | 0.93 |  |

## OPTION COSTS

## OPTION 2-B -- Change Attendance Area

## Proposed Mimimum Option Costs for Reimbursement

|  | Max. Eligible Reimb. | Constr. <br> Cost for Additions | Renov. Cost | Additional Educ. Upgrades | Total Constr. Cost | Total Project Cost | + Annual State Share | + Annual Local Share | Millage Equiv. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedford ES | \$7,551,960 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00 |
| Hyndman ES | \$2,825,640 | \$0 | \$1,070,420 | 0 \$0 | \$1,070,420 | \$1,338,025 | \$54,987 | \$44,573 | 0.27 |
| K-5 Total | \$10,377,600 | \$0 | \$1,070,420 | O \$0 | \$1,070,420 | \$1,338,025 | \$54,987 | \$44,573 | 0.27 |
| Bedford MS 6-8 Total | \$5,860,560 | \$5,287,500 | \$5,178,885 | 5 \$0 | \$10,466,385 | \$13,082,981 | \$240,894 | \$732,791 | 4.39 |
| Hyndman MS/HS 6-12 Total | CLOSE | \$0 | \$0 | O \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00 |
| Bedford HS 9-12 Total | \$7,730,160 | \$0 | \$0 | O \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00 |
| DAO Total | \$156,240 | \$1,125,000 | \$0 | 0 \$0 | \$1,125,000 | \$1,406,250 | \$6,416 | \$98,141 | 0.59 |
| K-12/DAO Total | \$24,124,560 | \$6,412,500 | \$6,249,305 | 5 \$0 | \$12,661,805 | \$15,827,256 | \$302,297 | \$875,505 | 5.25 |

## Proposed Option Costs

|  | Max. <br> Eligible <br> Reimb. | Constr. <br> Cost for <br> Additions | Renov. <br> Cost | Additional <br> Educ. <br> Upgrades | Total <br> Constr. <br> Cost | Total <br> Project <br> Cost | + Annual <br> State <br> Share | + Annual <br> Local <br> Share | Millage <br> Equiv. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bedford ES <br> Hyndman ES | $\$ 7,551,960$ | $\$ 2,825,640$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,213,630$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,213,630$ | $\$ 1,517,038$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 113,014$ | 0.68 |
| K-5 Total | $\$ 10,377,600$ | $\$ 0,500,450$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,500,450$ | $\$ 3,125,563$ | $\$ 116,119$ | $\$ 116,443$ | 0.70 |  |  |
| Bedford MS <br> 6-8 Total | $\$ 5,860,560$ | $\$ 5,287,500$ | $\$ 9,158,922$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 14,446,422$ | $\$ 18,058,028$ | $\$ 240,879$ | $\$ 1,102,983$ | 6.61 |  |
| Hyndman MS/HS <br> 6-12 Total | CLOSE | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 0.00 |  |
| Bedford HS <br> 9-12 Total | $\$ 7,730,160$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,671,700$ | $\$ 500,000$ | $\$ 2,171,700$ | $\$ 2,714,625$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 202,194$ | 1.21 |  |
| DAO Total | $\$ 156,240$ | $\$ 1,125,000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,125,000$ | $\$ 1,406,250$ | $\$ 6,416$ | $\$ 98,141$ | 0.59 |  |

## OPTION COSTS

## OPTION 3-A -- Change Grade Alignment

## Proposed Mimimum Option Costs for Reimbursement

|  | Max. <br> Eligible <br> Reimb. | Constr. <br> Cost for <br> Additions | Renov. <br> Cost | Additional <br> Educ. <br> Upgrades | Total <br> Constr. <br> Cost | Total <br> Project <br> Cost | + Annual <br> State <br> Share | + Annual <br> Local <br> Share | Millage <br> Equiv. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| K-4 Bedford ES <br> K-5 Hyndman ES | $\$ 6,705,960$ | $\$ 2,481,600$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 0.00 |
| K-4 / K-5 Total | $\$ 9,187,560$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,070,420$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,070,420$ | $\$ 1,338,025$ | $\$ 54,987$ | $\$ 44,573$ | 0.27 |  |
| Bedford MS <br> 5-8 Total | $\$ 6,820,800$ | $\$ 6,750,000$ | $\$ 5,178,885$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 11,928,885$ | $\$ 14,911,106$ | $\$ 280,369$ | $\$ 829,394$ | 4.97 |  |
| Hyndman MS/HS <br> 6-12 Total | $\$ 2,799,720$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,567,610$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,567,610$ | $\$ 1,959,513$ | $\$ 80,463$ | $\$ 65,225$ | 0.39 |  |
| Bedford HS <br> 9-12/DAO Total | $\$ 5,870,160$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 0.00 |  |

## Proposed Option Costs

|  | Max. Eligible Reimb. | Constr. <br> Cost for Additions | Renov. Cost | Additional Educ. Upgrades | Total Constr. Cost | Total Project Cost | + Annual State Share | + Annual <br> Local <br> Share | Millage Equiv. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K-4 Bedford ES | \$6,705,960 | \$0 | \$1,213,630 | \$0 | \$1,213,630 | \$1,517,038 | \$0 | \$113,014 | 0.68 |
| K-5 Hyndman ES | \$2,481,600 | \$0 | \$2,500,450 | \$0 | \$2,500,450 | \$3,125,563 | \$101,981 | \$130,581 | 0.78 |
| K-4 / K-5 Total | \$9,187,560 | \$0 | \$3,714,080 | \$0 | \$3,714,080 | \$4,642,601 | \$101,981 | \$243,595 | 1.46 |
| Bedford MS 5-8 Total | \$6,820,800 | \$6,750,000 | \$9,158,922 | 2 \$0 | \$15,908,922 | \$19,886,153 | \$280,352 | \$1,199,588 | 7.19 |
| Hyndman MS/HS 6-12 Total | \$2,799,720 | \$0 | \$2,795,925 | 5 \$0 | \$2,795,925 | \$3,494,906 | \$115,140 | \$145,099 | 0.87 |
| Bedford HS 9-12/DAO Total | \$5,870,160 | \$0 | \$1,671,700 | \$0 | \$1,671,700 | \$2,089,625 | \$0 | \$155,682 | 0.93 |
| K-12/DAO Total | \$24,678,240 | \$6,750,000 | \$17,340,627 | 7 \$0 | \$24,090,627 | \$30,113,285 | \$497,473 | \$1,743,964 | 10.45 |

## OPTION COSTS

## OPTION 3-B -- Change Grade Alignment

## Proposed Mimimum Option Costs for Reimbursement

|  | Max. Eligible Reimb. | Constr. <br> Cost for <br> Additions | Renov. Cost | Additional Educ. Upgrades | Total Constr. Cost | Total Project Cost | + Annual State Share | + Annual Local Share | Millage Equiv. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K-4 Bedford ES | \$6,705,960 | \$0 | \$0 | - \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00 |
| K-5 Hyndman ES | \$2,481,600 | \$0 | \$1,070,420 | \$0 | \$1,070,420 | \$1,338,025 | \$54,987 | \$44,573 | 0.27 |
| K-4 / K-5 Total | \$9,187,560 | \$0 | \$1,070,420 | \$0 | \$1,070,420 | \$1,338,025 | \$54,987 | \$44,573 | 0.27 |
| Bedford MS 5-8 Total | \$7,884,600 | \$9,225,000 | \$5,178,885 | \$0 | \$14,403,885 | \$18,004,856 | \$324,098 | \$1,015,920 | 6.09 |
| Hyndman MS/HS | CLOSE | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00 |
| Bedford HS <br> 9-12 Total | \$7,730,160 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00 |
| DAO Total | \$156,240 | \$1,125,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,125,000 | \$1,406,250 | \$6,416 | \$98,141 | 0.59 |
| K-12/DAO Total | \$24,958,560 | \$10,350,000 | \$6,249,305 | \$0 | \$16,599,305 | \$20,749,131 | \$385,501 | \$1,158,634 | 6.94 |

## Proposed Option Costs

|  | Max. <br> Eligible <br> Reimb. | Constr. <br> Cost for <br> Additions | Renov. <br> Cost | Additional <br> Educ. <br> Upgrades | Total <br> Constr. <br> Cost | Total <br> Project <br> Cost | + Annual <br> State <br> Share | + Annual <br> Local <br> Share | Millage <br> Equiv. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K-4 Bedford ES <br> K-5 Hyndman ES | $\$ 6,705,960$ | $\$ 2,481,600$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,213,630$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,213,630$ | $\$ 1,517,038$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 113,014$ | 0.68 |
| K-5 Total | $\$ 9,187,560$ | $\$ 0,500,450$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,500,450$ | $\$ 3,125,563$ | $\$ 101,981$ | $\$ 130,581$ | 0.78 |  |  |
| Bedford MS <br> 5-8 Total | $\$ 7,884,600$ | $\$ 9,225,000$ | $\$ 9,158,922$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 18,383,922$ | $\$ 22,979,903$ | $\$ 324,080$ | $\$ 1,386,116$ | 8.31 |  |
| Hyndman MS/HS | CLOSE | $\$ 0$ | $\$ \$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 0.00 |  |
| Bedford HS <br> $9-12 ~ T o t a l ~$ | $\$ 7,730,160$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,671,700$ | $\$ 500,000$ | $\$ 2,171,700$ | $\$ 2,714,625$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 202,194$ | 1.21 |  |
| DAO Total | $\$ 156,240$ | $\$ 1,125,000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,125,000$ | $\$ 1,406,250$ | $\$ 6,416$ | $\$ 98,141$ | 0.59 |  |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## FINDINGS

## 1. Bedford Elementary

- Newest Facility also Facility that is most overcrowded.
- Current Student Enrollment has surpassed Current Building Capacity.

2. Bedford Middle School

- Facility most in need of Upgrades.


## 3. Projected Student Enrollment

- Relatively Stable.
- Projections show a shift to a higher number of students attending the Bedford Campus than the Hyndman Campus.


## DISCUSSION ISSUES

1. Elementary School Size

- How large does the District want for an Elemetary School?


## 2. Two High School Programs

- Advantages / Disadvatages of maintaining two High School programs.


## 3. Change Grade Level Alignment

- Advantages / Disadvatages of moving Fifth Grade to the Middle School.


## SOLUTIONS

1. Change Grade Level Alignment

- Move Fifth Grade to Middle School
- K-4 Elemetary School; 5-8 Middle School.


## 2. Change Attendance Area

- Adjust attendance areas for the Hyndman Campus to include Manns Choice Borough and Harrison Township as well as Hyndman Borough and Londonderry Township.
- Help to alleviate overcrowding at Bedford Elementary.


## 3. Consolidate Secondary Program

- Combine Campus sites for grades 6-8 and 9-12 at Bedford Campus.


## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Bedford Middle School -- PDE Maximum Eligible Reimbursement

## Grades 6-8 -- Alterations \& Additions to Bedford Middle School



Grades 5-8 -- Alterations \& Additions to Bedford Middle School

| PDE Maximum Eligible Reimbursement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$6,820,800 | \$1,063,800 | + | \$156,240 | = | \$8,040,840 |  |
|  |  |  | An |  |  |  |
| Bedford Middle School Grades 5-8 | Hyndman Middle School Grades 6-8 |  | District Administration Offices |  | Option 3-B |  |



The following section is included in this report to present the reader with the terminology used in this Study.

Adjusted Capacity - The adjusted capacity reflects usage of a building in compliance with Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE) guidelines. These guidelines include individual classroom spaces for all PDE recommended educational subjects, including art, music, and special education programs; and, occupancy use of all support services and programs per recommended minimum square footage.

Architectural Area - The sum of the areas of all floors, including basements, mezzanines, and penthouses, with a 6 ft .6 in . minimum head room height. The area is measured from the exterior faces of the exterior walls. The area of open roofed-over paved areas and covered walkways is also included, but multiplied by a factor of 0.50 . The area does not include roof overhangs, pipe trenches, exterior steps, or terraces.

Building Replacement Value - This value pertains to alteration work for an existing building. A project is only eligible for state reimbursement when the total alteration costs are greater than $20 \%$ of the replacement value for the building. The value is determined by following calculations of the PDE formula. (A capacity value or full-time equivalent (FTE) value is calculated for an existing building. The FTE is then multiplied by the PDE recommended square feet per student. This value, the recommended architectural area, is then multiplied by a construction cost per square foot factor to equal the building replacement value.)

CARF - Capital Account Reimbursement Fraction as determined by the Pennsylvania Department of Education.

Classroom Equivalent - An 800 sq. ft. space which can be subdivided into small group instructional areas for special support programs or be considered as a classroom.

Cohort Survival - A population projection method based upon historic data averages and multiplied by a retention ratio to determine future projections.

## DEFINITIONS

Current Capacity - The capacity reflects the current usage of spaces in a building. Room capacities are given to specific instructional spaces as determined, but may not be the original capacity when the school was constructed, or meet PDE guidelines for square footage. The capacity represents the PDE designated number of students that will occupy a space (regardless of the actual number of students that will occupy a space). The sum of all individual room capacities will equal the total building capacity.

Enrollment - The number of students that make up the student population in a school for the current year. Enrollment data is supplied for each grade level. The building enrollment includes only the student population in the grade levels which are to be housed by the building.

Enrollment Projections - Enrollment projections are calculated and supplied by the school district. The projections span from a current given year, to either five or ten years into the future for each grade level. The district enrollment projection model uses resident live birth data and grade progression rates determined by enrollment patterns from the most recent five years for grades 2 to 12. Retention rates for kindergarten and first grade are determined from births five and six years earlier, respectively. These ten-year projections are used to determine an increase or decline in the student population for each grade level. This date can be used to determine a need for more classroom space in the future.

LEED ${ }^{\circledR}$ - The Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Green Building Rating System ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ encourages and accelerates global adoption of sustainable green building and development practices through the creation and implementation of universally understood and accepted tools and performance criteria. LEED certification provides independent, third-party verification that a building project meets the highest green building and performance measures.

PlanCon - When a school district undertakes a major construction project and seeks reimbursement from the Commonwealth, a process known as PlanCon is initiated. PlanCon, an acronym for Planning and Construction Workbook, is a set of forms and procedures used to apply for Commonwealth reimbursement. The PlanCon forms are designed to: (1) document a local school district's planning process; (2) provide justification for a project to the public; (3) ascertain compliance with state laws and regulations; and (4) establish the level of State participation in the cost of the project.

Rated Pupil Capacity (RPC) - The figure used to determine amount of reimbursement. RPC is determined by multiplying the Full Time Equivalent (FTE) by the RPC factor.

Reimbursement - For school construction projects, it is based on the capacity of a building that can be justified by current or projected student enrollment and is based on the Rated Pupil Capacity (RPC) of a building.

Retention Ratio - A ratio of the difference between a past year population and a present year population for a given progressing grade.

Scheduled Area - The sum of areas of instructional spaces which accommodate direct student instruction, such as classrooms, laboratories, student project or activity rooms, seminar rooms, shops, band and choral rooms, and physical education stations. General use areas are also included, such as libraries, locker rooms, team rooms, instructors' offices, multipurpose rooms, auditorium, stage, cafeteria and kitchen areas, health suites, faculty rooms, and administration suites. However, service and general storage areas, toilet rooms, custodial rooms, maintenance and utility areas, and circulation are not included.

Total Project Cost - The sum of areas of instructional spaces which accommodate direct student instruction, such as classrooms, laboratories, student project or activity rooms, seminar rooms, shops, band and choral rooms, and physical education stations. General use areas are also included, such as libraries, locker rooms, team rooms, instructors' offices, multipurpose rooms, auditorium, stage, cafeteria and kitchen areas, health suites, faculty rooms, and administration suites. However, service and general storage areas, toilet rooms, custodial rooms, maintenance and utility areas, and circulation are not included.

## INFORMATION UTILIZED IN THE STUDY

District Aid Ration - 0.5523
Value of a Mil - \$166,840

## DESIGN GUIDELINES FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION

S.F.

Per Student Cost per S.F. New Construction

| Elementary School | 125 s.f. | $\$ 200 /$ s.f. | construction cost for additions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Middle School | 150 s.f. | $\$ 225 /$ s.f. | construction cost for additions |
| High School | 175 s.f. | $\$ 225 /$ s.f. | construction cost for additions |

## DESIGN GUIDELINES FOR RENOVATION

| Educational Upgrade | See Part II Facilities |
| :--- | :--- |
| Renovation | See Part II Facilities |

## Site Acquisition or State Reimbursement on Site Acquisition <br> - Not included in Total Construction Cost

Total Project Costs Include:
$\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ of construction cost for the following construction-related costs.

Movable Fixtures and Equipment
Project Contingency
Construction-Related Costs

Architect/Engineering Fees
Financing Cost
Project Supervision

## AUTHORS OF THE STUDY

## El ASSOCIATES - ARCHITECT

| Architect - Design/Educational | Mark S. Barnhardt, AIA | PA License RA011059X |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Architect - Technical | Daniel J. Bierzonski, AIA | PA License RA011076X |
| Architect - Technical | Vernon R. Shields, RA |  |
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| Intern Architect - Design | Virginia J. Stone |  |
| Director of Business Development | Leah E. Shiley, MBA |  |

## BEDFORD AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Dr. Glenn Thompson, Superintendent
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Glenn Burket, Technology Coordinator
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Leslie Turkovich, Bedford Elementary Principal
Kevin Windows, Bedford Middle School Principal
Dr. Dan Webb, Bedford High School Principal
Paul Ruhlman, Hyndman Campus Principal

## BEDFORD AREA BOARD OF EDUCATION

## Officers:

President - Thomas A. Bullington
Vice President - Mark R. Shaffer
Treasurer - J. Roy Bence
Secretary (NM) - Anita J. Foy

## Members:
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D. Royce Coughenour
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H. Clay Thomas, III

## AUTHORS OF THE STUDY
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## Mark S. Barnhardt, AIA, Senior Vice President, Principal-in-Charge

## EDUCATION

Pennsylvania State University
Master of Architecture
Miami University
Bachelor of Environmental Design
Thaddeus Stevens State School of Technology
Associate Degree

## EXPERIENCE

Mark Barnhardt has been with El Associates for over 20 years. Over this period of time, Mark has developed a specialized interest in the design of educational facilities. He has managed and designed over a half billion dollars of school construction projects.
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Pennsylvania Society of Architects
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Education
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## Education

Pennsylvania State University
Majored in Architecture and Architectural Engineering
Harrisburg Area Community College
AutoCad and 3-D Computer Design

## Virginia J. Stone, Intern Architect

## Education

Pennsylvania State University
Bachelor of Architecture
Harrisburg Area Community College
Associate Degree in Architectural Technology
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## Education

Chatham College
Master of Business Administration
University of Pittsburgh
Bachelor of Arts, Communications
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## Education

Pennsylvania State University
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Registration
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[^0]:    ** Elementary Fuctional Capacity are Graded Classrooms K-5; Special Education Capacity is not included in the Functional Capacity or Total Capacity.

[^1]:     ** Existing Renovation Costs must exceed the " $20 \%$ Rule" to qualify for Reimbursement of the existing portion of the facility.

[^2]:     ** Existing Renovation Costs must exceed the " $20 \%$ Rule" to qualify for Reimbursement of the existing portion of the facility.

[^3]:     ** Existing Renovation Costs must exceed the " $20 \%$ Rule" to qualify for Reimbursement of the existing portion of the facility.

[^4]:     ** Existing Renovation Costs must exceed the " $20 \%$ Rule" to qualify for Reimbursement of the existing portion of the facility.

[^5]:     ** Existing Renovation Costs must exceed the " $20 \%$ Rule" to qualify for Reimbursement of the existing portion of the facility. BEDFORD AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT

[^6]:     ** Existing Renovation Costs must exceed the " $20 \%$ Rule" to qualify for Reimbursement of the existing portion of the facility. BEDFORD AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT

[^7]:    3-B \$24,958,560 \$10,350,000 \$14,544,702 \$500,000 \$25,394,702 \$31,743,379 0.5523 \$432,477 \$1,930,046
    11.57

