

American Rescue Plan (ARP) Act ESSER III-LEA Application

| Name of LEA | Thomasville City Schools | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--|---------------|
| Date of Submission | 4/14/2023 | Original Budget | | Amendment # 2 |

APPLICATION CONTENTS

- Assurances
- ➤ LEA Overall Priorities
- Learning Opportunity Loss Plan
- Use of Funds
- > Implementation of Preventive & Mitigation Strategies (Return to In-Person Instruction)
- > Learning Opportunity Loss Plan Appendix

ASSURANCES

Select each box within each category of assurances. NOTE: Selecting a checkbox is the digital signature for the specified local education agency (LEA) personnel in the assurance.

| | An LEA that receives ARP ESSER funds will develop, submit to the SEA, and make publicly available on the LEA's website, a plan for the LEA's use of ARP ESSER funds. The plan, and any revisions to the plan will be submitted consistent with procedures. |
|----------|---|
| V | The LEA assures that ARP ESSER funds will be used for their intended purposes, including: • whether and how they will use the funds specifically for COVID-19 prevention and mitigation strategies, |
| | how the funds will be used to address the academic impact of lost instructional time through the implementation of evidence-based interventions, and how the LEA will ensure that those interventions respond to the academic, social, emotional, and |
| | mental health needs of all students and particularly those students disproportionately impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. |
| | The LEA assures, during the period of the ARP ESSER award established in section 2001(a) of the ARP Act the LEA |
| | will periodically, but no less frequently than every six months, review and, as appropriate, revise its plan. Consistent with section 2001(i)(2) of the ARP Act, the LEA will seek public comment on the development of its plan, the LEA will seek public input and take such input into account in determining whether to revise its plan and, if it determines revisions are necessary, on the revisions it makes to its plan. |
| • | The LEA assures if they revise their plan, the revised plan will address each of the aspects of safety currently recommended by the CDC or, if the CDC has updated its safety recommendations at the time the LEA is revising its plan, the revised plan will address the extent to which the LEA has adopted policies, and describe any such policies, for each of the updated safety recommendations. |
| • | The LEA assures if a plan has been developed prior to the enactment of the ARP Act that meets the requirements under section 2001(i)(1) and (2) of the ARP Act, but does not address each of the required aspects of safety established in the interim final rule requirement, they will (as part of the required periodic review) revise the plan consistent with these requirements no later than six months after its last review. |
| V | The LEA assures the plan will be in an understandable and uniform format; to the extent practicable, written in a language that parents can understand or, if not practicable, orally translated; and upon request by a parent who is an individual with a disability, provided in an alternative format accessible to that parent. |



LEA OVERALL PRIORITIES

Please provide the top 2-3 priorities the LEA has determined as the most pressing needs for students and schools within the LEA as a result or in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Please include the data sources that illustrate why these are the most critical and/or most widespread needs experienced by students and schools within the LEA.

| PRIORITIES | DATA SOURCE |
|------------------------|---|
| Overall Learning Loss | GMAS, MAP, DIBELS, Reading Inventory, Academic Grades, Attendance |
| Continuity of Services | Attendance, Budget |
| Academic Intervention | GMAS, MAP, DIBELS, Reading Inventory, Academic Grades, Attendance |

Learning Opportunity Loss Plan

Purpose

This template should guide district decision making as they plan evidence-based interventions to support accelerated learning opportunities for students impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. The template follows Georgia's Systems of Continuous Improvement Cycle, with a focus on the Coherent Instruction System.

"Accelerated learning and strong instruction are interdependent. You cannot accelerate learning with poor instructional practices in place, and you cannot have strong instruction if you cannot effectively support unfinished learning. Therefore, it is important to develop your leaders and teachers on the concepts and best practices of accelerated learning and strong instruction."

Embedded within the document are links to guiding questions and research that can support your decisions for interventions and provide guidance for how to implement the interventions.

Section Contents

- Identifying Interventions
- Selecting Interventions
- Plan Implementation
- Implement Plan
- Examine Progress

| LEA ARP Plan | https://www.tcitys.org/page/arp-esser-application |
|------------------|---|
| LEA Website Link | |

If the contents of this document are explicitly explained and included within a template created by the LEA and posted on the LEA's website, please include the reference to locate the contents of the plan above.



Identifying Needs



Provide data outlining district-wide learning opportunity loss, the current resources to support extended learning opportunities, and additional resources identified through the needs assessment process. Include data identifying learning opportunity loss among students disproportionately affected by the pandemic and school closures, including low-income students, children with disabilities, English learners, racial and ethnic minorities, students experiencing homelessness, and children and youth in foster care.

| Guiding Questions | Responses |
|---|---|
| What data needs to be collected and synthesized to determine student needs caused by learning opportunity loss? | Student and staff attendance data, grades, MAP results, GMAS results, GAA results, ACCESS results, Graduation rate, stakeholder surveys |
| Analyze data to identify strengths and challenges and determine outcomes. | Thomasville City Schools (TCS) has 2,776 students and 340 staff members. During the 2020-2021 school year, TCS had 199 positive COVID cases, 2325 quarantined, isolated or symptomatic cases. As a result, attendance for students as well as staff was inconsistent causing academic gaps and instructional challenges. Before the start of the FY21 school year, TCS conducted a stakeholder survey to determine preference of learning options of either distance or face to face. Based on results, the district provided both options for students. Initially 40% of students selected virtual learning and 60% face to face. As the year progressed many students returned to face to face with only 20% of students remaining virtual by the end of the schoolyear. Due to attendance issues from COVID-19 as well as instructional interruptions, gaps in academic performance widened. Strengths: Increased teacher capacity to instruct virtually and become Google trained. Also, the district developed solutions to address the technology needs and assistance in our community. Challenges: Attendance and increased learning gaps |
| What are you currently using (interventions, strategies, resources, community partnerships) to address learning opportunity loss? | All teachers participated in Google training to implement Google Classroom as their platform -Increased PL for technology and software for teachers -RESA partnership for PL -Grade repair -Academic intervention and after-school tutoring -Summer academic interventions -Summer credit repair and credit recovery -Multi-tiered System of Supports (MTSS) |
| What data have you collected to know if current efforts have been successful? | GMAS, MAP, DIBELS, Reading Inventory, Academic Grades, Attendance |
| What data will you collect and analyze to identify ongoing needs? | GMAS, MAP, DIBELS, Academic Grades, Attendance |



Selecting Interventions



Research many sources to determine the solutions that have evidence of meeting the identified needs. Select the evidence-based interventions that scale up and enhance existing academic programs and initiatives that accelerate academic progress. Interventions should address literacy, numeracy, credit-recovery, and needs for those students at-risk for not graduating.

- Consider all the evidence for needed improvements.
- Research possible interventions
- Determine if staff has the capacity to implement possible interventions.

This section is to inform the selection of intervention(s). No entries are required in this section.

| Intervention Options | Considerations for Selection and Implementation | |
|---|--|--|
| Class-Size Reduction | According to the research, things to consider with this intervention: • Most effective in grades K-8 • Generally, class sizes of between 15 and 18 are recommended to ensure impact • Teacher quality must be considered • Physical space Link to the research in the appendix | |
| Extended School Day (before and after school) | According to the research, it is important to consider the impact of the school start and end time within the school context, on the community, on families, on students, as well as financial implications. Things to consider: • Curriculum and alignment to content taught during the regular school day • Transportation • Meals and snacks • Required accommodations for students • Impact on extracurricular activities Link to the research in the appendix | |
| Extended School Year (adding days to calendar) | Extending the school day or year may bring non-instructional benefits, but little research-based evidence exists to support the possibility that student achievement will increase as well. The research literature indicates that time is a necessary but not sufficient condition for improving achievement. The crucial issue seems to be how the time is used, with quality of instruction being the key. Things to consider: Contracts of teachers and administrators Teacher and student burnout Parent buy-in to ensure engagement School breaks Transportation Link to the research in the appendix | |



| High Frequency Tutoring | According to the research, the most effective tutoring programs share the following structure: • Three or more sessions per week • 30-60 minutes per session • At least 10 weeks • Three to four students per group, but one-on-one or 1:2 tutoring is most effective, using teachers or paraprofessionals • Pair students with a consistent tutor throughout the program • Offer during the school day or immediately after • Align to grade-level or course standards |
|---|---|
| | Use ongoing formative assessment practices to measure growth and make adjustments in delivery <u>Link to the research in the appendix</u> |
| Summer Programming | According to the research, the most effective summer programs share the following structure: • Five to six weeks in duration • Five days of programming per week • 60-90 minutes of math and 90-120 minutes of reading/writing instruction per day and two-three hours for enrichment activities • Small classes of up to 15 students per teacher • Use highly effective teachers • Provide professional learning focused on consistent curriculum and maximizing instructional time Link to the research in the appendix |
| Virtual Learning (remediation, tutoring, credit recovery, etc.) | According to research, things to consider: Instruction combining online and face-to-face elements has a larger advantage Online instruction should be collaborative or instructor-directed Provide learners control of their interactions with media and prompt learner reflection Provide a combination of additional learning time and materials as well as additional opportunities for collaboration Online learning is much more conducive to the expansion of learning time than is face-to-face instruction Accessibility – access to technology and connectivity Meals for students Teacher training on student engagement strategies Flexible schedules Link to the research in the appendix |
| Other | |
| Guiding Questions to 0 | Consider – Link to questions in appendix |



Plan for Implementation



Develop a team and plan to implement the solutions that are most promising and can be implemented with fidelity.

- Develop a team that will deeply understand the interventions and best ways to implement them.
- Identify roles and responsibilities of those implementing the interventions.
- Develop the implementation timeline.
- Identify resources and supports needed for the implementation of the interventions.
- Develop a set of benchmarks to be reviewed to track the progress of implementation.

Answer the questions below for interventions being implemented.

| Questions | Responses | | |
|---|---|----------|--|
| | Class-Size Reduction | | |
| | Extended School Day (before and after school) | | |
| | Extended School Year (adding days to the calendar) | 4 | |
| What is the selected intervention(s)? | High Frequency Tutoring | 4 | |
| | Summer Programming | | |
| | Virtual Learning (remediation, tutoring, credit recovery, etc.) | 4 | |
| | Other: ELA and Math Interventionist, Math Instr | <u> </u> | |
| | Other: Content related materials, supplies and | | |
| Which regions/clusters/schools will implement each intervention selected? | Extended School Year: Credit Recovery/Credit Repair - High School High Frequency Tutoring: Tutoring After School - All Schools Summer Programming: BARK Summer Reading Program - All Elementary Schools Summer Programming: MPMS Summer Learning Program - Middle School Virtual Learning: C.I.T.Y. Virtual Program - All Schools Other: ELA and Math Interventionists, Math Instructional Coach, MTSS Interventionist and District Coordinator, Additional support staff, Content Related Materials and PL - All Schools | | |
| Which grades or grade bands will participate in each intervention selected? | Extended School Year: Credit Recovery/Credit Repair - 9-12 High Frequency Tutoring: Tutoring Afterschool - K-12 Summer Programming: BARK Summer Reading Program - K-5 Summer Programming: MPMS Summer Learning Program - 6-8 Virtual Learning: C.I.T.Y. Virtual Program - K-12 Other: ELA and Math Interventionists, Math Instructional Coach, MTSS Interventionist and District Coordinator Additional support staff, Content Related Materials and PL - K-12 | | |
| Which student subgroup(s) will participate in each intervention selected? | All student subgroups | | |



| What is the target student population(s) for each intervention selected? | Extended School Year: Credit Recovery/Credit Repair - All 9-12 students who failed an academic course High Frequency Tutoring: Tutoring Afterschool - All K-12 academically struggling students Summer Programming: BARK Summer Reading Program - All K-5 academically struggling students Summer Programming: MPMS Summer Learning Program - All 6-8 academically struggling students Virtual Learning: C.I.T.Y. Virtual Program - All K-12 students who choose to work virtually Other: ELA and Math Interventionists,Math Instructional Coach, MTSS Interventionist and District Coordinator, Additional support staff, Content Related Materials and PL - All K-12 academically struggling students |
|---|--|
| What are the proposed number of hours added to the school year? | For elementary students: summer programing may include up to 64 hours; during the year tutoring may include up to 100 hours. For middle and high school students: summer programing may include up to 32 hours; during the year tutoring may include up to 100 hours. |
| What training is required for staff, students, and parents for each intervention selected? | Extended School Year: Credit Recovery/Credit Repair - Training for staff in use of Edmentum High Frequency Tutoring: Tutoring Afterschool - Ongoing Professional Learning Summer Programming: BARK Summer Reading Program - Ongoing Professional Learning Summer Programming: MPMS Summer Learning Program - Ongoing Professional Learning Virtual Learning: C.I.T.Y. Virtual Program - Training parents in use of online platforms Other: ELA and Math Interventionists,Math Instructional Coach, MTSS Interventionist and District Coordinator, Additional support staff, Content Related Materials and PL - Training staff in intervention strategies, READ 180, and assessments |
| What resources (interventions, strategies, community partnerships) are required for effective implementation of each intervention selected? | Extended School Year: Credit Recovery/Credit Repair - Certified staff in content, technology licenses, Chromebooks High Frequency Tutoring: Tutoring Afterschool - Content materials, Chromebooks, applicable software programs Summer Programming: BARK Summer Reading Program - Certified staff, Content materials, technology licenses, Chromebooks Summer Programming: MPMS Summer Learning Program - Certified staff in content, technology licenses, Chromebooks Virtual Learning: C.I.T.Y. Virtual Program - District virtual teachers, technology licenses, Chromebooks, digital resources Other: ELA and Math Interventionists, Math Instructional Coach, MTSS Interventionist and District Coordinator, Additional support staff, Content Related Materials and PL - Certified staff in ELA and Math, intervention resources |
| What is the formative assessment plan to evaluate student progress and impact for each intervention selected? | Extended School Year: Credit Recovery/Credit Repair - Number of credits earned/issued High Frequency Tutoring: Tutoring Afterschool - DIBELS, MAP Summer Programming: BARK Summer Reading Program - DIBELS, MAP Summer Programming: MPMS Summer Learning Program - MAP Virtual Learning: C.I.T.Y. Virtual Program - DIBELS, MAP, GMAS, credits earned Other: ELA and Math Interventionists, Math Instructional Coach, MTSS Interventionist and District Coordinator, Additional support staff, Content Related Materials and PL - DIBELS, MAP, credits earned |



Implement Plan



Carry out the plan to implement the promising solutions, making real-time adjustments where/when needed.

- Collect information to monitor the quality of supports being provided for the intervention(s).
- Consider what additional information is needed to determine if intervention(s) are working.
- Assess the degree to which the implementation plan is being followed.
- Identify ways to break down barriers.
- Build capacity of others to facilitate and implement the intervention(s).

Timeline for Implementation

What is the proposed timeline to implement each intervention selected?

3 Years

Extended School Year: Credit Recovery/Credit Repair
High Frequency Tutoring: Tutoring Afterschool
Summer Programming: BARK Summer Reading Program
Summer Programming: MPMS Summer Learning Program
Virtual Learning: C.I.T.Y. Virtual Program
Other: ELA and Math Interventionists, Math Instructional
Coach, MTSS Interventionist and District Coordinator,
Additional support
staff, Content Related Materials and PL



Examine Progress



How will you evaluate implementation

fidelity and effectiveness on an ongoing

basis of each intervention selected by

Subgroups: low-income students,

students with disabilities, racial and

ethnic minorities, migrant students,

English Learners, students experiencing

homelessness, children in foster care.

specific subgroups?

Carry out the plan to implement the promising solutions, making real-time adjustments where/when needed.

- Collect information to monitor the quality of supports being provided for the intervention(s).
- Consider what additional information is needed to determine if the intervention(s) is working.
- Assess the degree to which the implementation plan is being followed.
- Identify ways to break down barriers.

Outline for Evaluating Implementation and Impact

2021-2022

Assessments are given and evaluated at multiple points throughout the year.

Extended School Year: Credit Recovery/Credit Repair -Pre-Post data, attendance,

grades, progress monitoring

High Frequency Tutoring: Tutoring Afterschool - Pre-Post data, grades, MAP (Fall to Spring data), Elementary schools DIBELS

2022-2023

Assessments are given and evaluated at multiple points throughout the year.

Extended School Year: Credit Recovery/Credit Repair - Pre-Post data, attendance, grades, progress monitoring

High Frequency Tutoring: Tutoring Afterschool - Pre-Post data, grades, MAP (Fall to Spring data), Elementary schools DIBELS data

Summer Programming: BARK Summer Reading Program - Pre-Post data, grades, MAP (Fall to Spring data), Elementary schools DIBELS data

Summer Programming: MPMS Summer Learning Program - Grades, MAP (Fall to Spring data) Virtual Learning: C.I.T.Y. Virtual Program - Participation, grades, MAP (Fall to Spring data) Other: ELA and Math Interventionists, Math Instructional Coach, MTSS Interventionist and District Coordinator, Additional support staff, Content Related Materials and

PL - Pre-Post data, Progress monitoring, MAP (Fall to Spring data), Elementary schools DIBELS data.

2023-2024

Assessments are given and evaluated at multiple points throughout the year. Extended School Year: Credit Recovery/Credit Repair - Pre-Post data, attendance, grades, progress

High Frequency Tutoring: Tutoring Afterschool - Pre-Post data, grades, MAP (Fall to Spring data), Elementary schools DIBELS data

Summer Programming: BARK Summer Reading Program - Pre-Post data, grades, MAP (Fall to Spring data), Elementary schools DIBELS data

Summer Programming: MPMS Summer Learning Program - Grades, MAP (Fall to Spring

Virtual Learning: C.I.T.Y. Virtual Program - Participation, grades, MAP (Fall to Spring data) Other: ELA and Math Interventionists, Math Instructional Coach, MTSS Interventionist and District Coordinator, Additional support staff, Content Related Materials and PL - Pre-Post data, Progress monitoring, MAP (Fall to Spring data), Elementary schools DIBELS data.





USE OF FUNDS

The LEA shall reserve *not less than 20 percent* of such funds to address learning loss through the implementation of evidence-based interventions. In addition to the interventions outlined in the template above, this percentage of funds can be used to address assessment needs, assistance to parents as they support their students, and student engagement and attendance. Please estimate the approximate percentage of the LEA's ESSER III formula funds allocated for each of the activities or purposes addressing learning loss listed below.

| Activities to Address Learning Loss | Percent | |
|---|---------|---|
| Planning and implementing activities related to summer learning and supplemental afterschool programs, including providing classroom instruction or online learning during the summer months and addressing the needs of at-risk populations. | 41 | % |
| Administering and using high-quality assessments that are valid and reliable, to accurately assess students' academic progress and assist educators in meeting students' academic needs, including through differentiating instruction. | | % |
| Providing information and assistance to parents and families on how they can effectively support students, including in a distance learning environment | | % |
| Tracking student attendance and improving student engagement in distance education | | % |

| Activities to Address Other Needs | Percent |
|--|---------|
| Any activity authorized by the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, Adult Education and Family Literacy Act, and Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act of 2006. | % |
| Activities to address the unique needs of at-risk populations | % |
| Developing and implementing procedures and systems to improve the preparedness and response efforts | % |
| Training and professional development for staff of the local educational agency on sanitation and minimizing the spread of infectious diseases | % |
| Purchasing supplies to sanitize and clean the facilities | % |
| Planning for, coordinating, and implementing activities during long-term closures, including providing meals to | |
| eligible students, providing technology for online learning to all students, providing guidance for carrying out requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act and ensuring other educational services can continue to be provided consistent with all Federal, State, and local requirements. | % |
| Providing mental health services and supports, including through the implementation of evidence-based full-service community schools. | 1 % |
| Purchasing educational technology (including hardware, software, and connectivity) for students who are served by the local educational agency that aids in regular and substantive educational interaction between students and their classroom instructors including low-income students and children with disabilities, which may include assistive technology or adaptive equipment. | 12 % |
| School facility repairs and improvements to enable operation of schools to reduce risk of virus transmission and exposure to environmental health hazards, and to support student health needs. | % |
| Inspection, testing, maintenance, repair, replacement, and upgrade projects to improve the indoor air quality in school facilities, including mechanical and nonmechanical heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems, filtering, purification and other air cleaning, fans, control systems, and window and door repair and replacement | % |
| Developing strategies and implementing public health protocols including, to the greatest extent practicable, policies in line with guidance from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention for the reopening and operation of school facilities to effectively maintain the health and safety of students, educators, and other staff | % |
| Other activities that are necessary to maintain the operation of and continuity of services in local educational agencies and continuing to employ existing staff of the local educational agency. Continuity of Core Services: Transportation; Recruitment Please identify "other activities" | 46 % |
| Total | 100% |



LEA Implementation Plan for Use of Funds. *In the survey below, please check all that apply:*

| ■At-ri | isk Student Populations low-income students: cover costs of specific activities, services, supports, programs, and/or targeted interventions |
|--------|---|
| | students with disabilities: cover costs of specific activities, services, supports, programs, and/or targeted interventions |
| | ■ racial and ethnic minorities: cover costs of specific activities, services, supports, programs, and/or targeted interventions |
| | ☐ migrant students: cover costs of specific activities, services, supports, programs, and/or targeted interventions |
| | ■ English Learners: cover costs of specific activities, services, supports, programs, and/or targeted interventions |
| | students experiencing homelessness: cover costs of specific activities, services, supports, programs, and/or targeted interventions |
| | □ children in foster care: cover costs of specific activities, services, supports, programs, and/c targeted interventions |
| □ Car | reer, Technical, and Agricultural Education expenses (approved under Perkins Act) If these efforts support At-risk Student Populations, please also check the populations at the beginning of this survey. |
| Contin | nuity of Core Staff and Services |
| | \square cover costs of offsetting the need to furlough or reduce the salaries of school-based staff |
| | cover costs of bonuses for retaining educators and support personnel |
| | cover costs of transportation operations |
| | cover costs of expenses related to utilities |
| | other operational costs (please explain) |
| | Audit and Indirect Costs |
| | |
| | |
| | |



Distance/Remote Learning

| | \Box connectivity (hot spots, outfitting buildings/buses with WiFi, other wireless, internet service, etc.) | | |
|---------|--|--|--|
| | devices (laptops, tablets, etc.) | | |
| | □ printing costs for learning packets | | |
| | □ instructional resources/tools | | |
| | □hardware | | |
| | □ software, subscriptions, licenses | | |
| | □ assistive technology or adaptive equipment | | |
| | □ online learning platforms/learning management systems | | |
| | other distance/remote learning costs (please explain) | | |
| | Charging Carts, Interactive Boards, and Protective Cases for Chromebooks | | |
| | If these efforts support <i>At-risk Student Populations</i> , please also check which populations at the beginning of this survey. | | |
| Facilit | ies/Equipment | | |
| | □ costs related to sanitizing/disinfecting buildings and buses | | |
| | additional personnel costs ('hazard pay', hours, etc unrelated to preparing and delivering school meals; see 'School Meals' below) | | |
| | equipment and supplies (gloves, masks, PPE, cleaning supplies, etc.) | | |
| | ☐ facilities/equipment (please explain) | | |
| | | | |



| | 3 0 |
|--------|---|
| Menta | l and Physical Health (contracted hours, professional learning, programs, etc.) |
| | \square costs related to telehealth |
| | costs related to counseling |
| | □ costs related to school nursing |
| | □ costs related to school-based clinics |
| | costs related to therapeutic services |
| | costs related to wraparound services and supports |
| | □ other mental |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | If these efforts support At-risk Student Populations, please also check which populations at the beginning of this survey. |
| Profes | sional Development |
| | Cover costs of additional professional development for school leaders, teachers, and staff (trainings extended professional development days, consultants, programs, etc.). |
| | other professional development costs (please explain) |
| | Supplies and Books for Professional Learning |
| | |
| | |
| | If these efforts support At-risk Student Populations, please also check which populations at the beginning of this survey. |
| Schoo | Meals |
| | \square offset costs due to serving meals (not covered by the USDA reimbursement) |
| | □ cover additional 'hazard' pay or hours personnel |
| | □ cover additional transportation costs of delivering meals |
| | □ other school meals costs (please explain) |
| | |
| | |



| Supp | lemental | l Learning |
|------|----------|------------|
|------|----------|------------|

| ■ remediation opportunities | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| enrichment opportunities | | | |
| summer learning | | | |
| before/afterschool programs scheduled within the school year | | | |
| additional instructional resources | | | |
| additional pay for teachers, staff, mentors for extended hours/schedules | | | |
| other supplemental learning costs (please explain) | | | |
| Additional support personnel | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



Implement Prevention and Mitigation Strategies


Section A

| Return to In-Person | https://core-docs.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/asset/uploaded_file/1396711/2021 | | |
|----------------------------|---|---|--|
| Instruction Plan | _TCS_Return_to_School_Plan_updated_07_30.pdf | | |
| LEA Website Link | | | |
| The Plan Will Be | YES | NO 🗸 | |
| Updated Within 6 Months | | | |
| | Date: 4/14/2023 | Plan is Updated to Current CDC Guidelines | |

Section B

LEAs that receive ARP ESSER funds must develop, submit to GaDOE on a reasonable timeline, and make publicly available on the LEA's website, a plan for the LEA's use of ARP ESSER funds.

In the section below, please explain to the extent to which and how the funds will be used to implement prevention and mitigation strategies that are, to the greatest extent practicable, consistent with the most recent Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) guidance on reopening schools, to continuously and safely open and operate schools for in-person learning. The U. S. Department of Education has provided resources that align with the CDS's guidance, please reference resource in the chart.





1. Please explain how the LEA engaged the entire school community to establish a safe environment for all educators, school staff, and students to promote trust and confidence.

If explicitly explained and included within the Return to In-Person Instruction plan posted on the LEA's website, please include the reference to locate the description from the plan below.

At the end of the 2019-2020 school year, Thomasville City Schools surveyed parents and students to gain feedback of the online learning implemented in March 2020. Based on the feedback, the district drafted a digital learning plan to address the needs and concerns indicated in the survey. Also, Thomasville City Schools sent out a survey in the summer prior to the 2020-2021 school year to gain feedback on preference of modalities of learning. Additionally, school level teams composed of staff members developed strategies and procedures to ensure safe re-opening of schools. The Thomasville City Schools re-opening plan was shared with all stakeholders by email, social media, and district webpage. The superintendent collaborated with local health officials to ensure all safety measures were addressed in the re-opening plan. The district used consistent, clear communication to keep all stakeholders informed prior to and throughout the school year, building a strong level of trust and confidence among teachers, parents, students, staff, and the community. The district consistently communicated with the community on the number of cases and quarantined students and staff via the district's webpage.

 $https://core-docs.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/asset/uploaded_file/1396711/2021_TCS_Return_to_School_Plan_updated_07_30.pdf$

2. Please explain how the LEA used ARP-ESSER funds to implement prevention strategies to reduce the transmission of COVID-19 in schools.

If explicitly explained and included within the Return to In-Person Instruction plan posted on the LEA's website, please include the reference to locate the description from the plan below.

Please check strategies implemented by LEA:

- Universal and correct use of masks
- Physical distancing
- Handwashing and respiratory etiquette
- Cleaning and maintain healthy facilities
- Contact tracing in combination with isolation and quarantine

CARES I and CARES II funds were used for the implementation of these strategies. At the beginning of the 2020-2021 school year and continuing throughout the year, the strategies to mitigate the spread of COVID-19 included: 1) All individuals were required to wear masks in common areas. The district provided each student and teacher with one gaiter and one cloth mask. 2) Schools were provided with plentiful supplies of disinfectant wipes, disinfectant spray, and sanitizer. 3) Signage regarding hand-washing and sanitizing protocols were provided to all facilities and posted throughout the facilities. 4) A decision guide aligned to DPH guidelines was published and continually updated to instruct school personnel regarding quarantining of students and staff.

https://core-docs.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/asset/uploaded_file/1396711/2021_TCS_Return_to_School_Plan_updated 07 30.pdf

3. Please explain how the LEA monitored cases and made decisions about in-person instruction.

If explicitly explained and included within the Return to In-Person Instruction plan posted on the LEA's website, please include the reference to locate the description from the plan below.

COVID-19 cases were actively monitored at school and district levels. By tracking positive cases and the total number of individuals quarantined, the superintendent, local health officials, and school administrators monitored COVID-19 cases and their impact on schools daily. In-person instruction decisions were based on the availability of staff. In an effort to remain transparent, a detailed COVID-19 communication protocol was followed for reported cases. Weekly COVID-19 data was posted to the district website weekly.

https://core-docs.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/asset/uploaded_file/1396711/2021_TCS_Return_to_School_Plan_updated_07_30.pdf



Appendix

Guiding Questions

Selecting Interventions:

- Were studies conducted in settings and with populations relevant to the local context (e.g., students with disabilities, English learners) and does the intervention have positive and statistically significant effects on important student or other relevant outcomes?
- Have appropriate stakeholders (e.g., students, family, staff and community) been involved in discussions on how to address their needs?
- How can the impact of the intervention be measured?
- What tools will the school/district use to determine impact?
- What resources are required to implement this intervention with fidelity?
- What is the local capacity to implement this intervention with fidelity?
- What skills and resources will staff need to implement the intervention?
- How does this intervention fit into larger strategic goals and other existing efforts?

Examining Progress:

- How will you progress monitor the fidelity of implementation?
- How can progress monitoring ensure that the actions are effective, impact practices, and improve student achievement for all subgroups?
- What benchmarks will be established and what data will be collected to measure effectiveness of interventions for all subgroups?
- What specific evidence and artifacts will you collect to determine if the interventions are executed in a way that has an impact on student outcomes for all subgroups?
- Do the evidence and artifacts demonstrate the fidelity of implementation and effectiveness of the interventions?
- Are the evidence and artifacts easily obtainable?
- Who is responsible for gathering evidence and artifacts? How and where will the evidence and artifacts be stored and shared?
- <u>Program Evaluation Step-by-Step Guide</u> and <u>Logic Model</u> This step-by-step guide assists districts with
 prioritizing programs to evaluate, planning processes for data collection and analysis, and using the
 findings to develop action plans for program improvements. An accompanying tool provides an
 overview of the logic model concept, a template for logic model creation, and a checklist to ensure
 effective logic model implementation.



Additional Research and Resources

Updates and Research on Accelerated Learning and Best Practices

- <u>TNTP-Learning-Acceleration-Guide-Updated-Nov-2020.pdf</u>
 This is an updated guide from The New Teacher Project (TNTP) with specific goals and strategies that can help schools begin accelerating students back to grade level in any instructional format—in-person, virtual, or hybrid. TNTP has placed special emphasis on the two most important things schools should prioritize right now: grade-appropriate assignments and strong instruction.
- EdResearch for Recovery School Practices to Address Student Learning Loss (brown.edu) This brief is one in a series aimed at providing K-12 education decision makers with an evidence base to ground discussions about how to best serve students during and following the novel coronavirus pandemic. This brief looks at potential interventions for students who have fallen out of typical grade range, particularly those who were struggling before the pandemic. Evidence suggests that, although most students will experience some learning loss, the majority will still be able to engage with grade-level content.
- <u>Broad-Based Academic Supports for All Students (brown.edu)</u> This brief provides research and
 resources to address academic supports schools should prioritize for all students, including strategies to
 consider and those to avoid.
- <u>Best Practices for Learning Loss Recovery</u> This report explores research-based supports for student
 academic recovery from learning lost due to COVID-19 pandemic-related school closures. This report
 examines approaches to adding learning time within the structure of the regular academic calendar
 (e.g., school year, school days, and periods) and existing extended learning opportunities (e.g., afterschool programs, acceleration academies, summer school).
- <u>EdResearch for Recovery Bringing Evidence-Based Decision-Making to School Safety (brown.edu)</u> This brief provides research and resources to address how schools and districts can monitor students' social and emotional well-being throughout the year, including strategies to consider and those to avoid.
- Restart & Recovery: Considerations for Teaching and Learning: Academics This resource is one part of a
 project designed to support states and school systems in addressing the critical set of challenges they
 will face as they plan for (and restart) teaching and learning amid the COVID-19 pandemic and in light of
 the moral imperative to actively redress racial and other inequities. It consists of customizable guidance
 and vetted resources.
- <u>Review-of-Expanded-Learning-Opportunities.pdf (hanoverresearch.com)</u> Hanover Research expands
 its previous brief on extended learning time. An expanded discussion of extended school day/year
 models, summer school initiatives, pre-kindergarten programs, and out-of-school time programs is
 provided, to inform discussions about strategies to improve student achievement and educational
 outcomes.



Class-size Reduction

- NCPEA Policy Brief -Class-Size Policy -The STAR Experiment and Related Class-Size Studies This brief summarizes findings on class size from over 25 years of work on the Tennessee Student Teacher Achievement Ratio (STAR) randomized, longitudinal experiment, and other Class-Size Reduction (CSR) studies throughout the United States, Australia, Hong Kong, Sweden, Great Britain, and elsewhere.
- <u>The Effectiveness of Class Size Reduction</u> This brief provides research-based options for education policy makers on the effectiveness of class size reduction.
- Rethinking Class Size -The complex story of impact on teaching and learning This book examines class size reduction with several new approaches and shares an overriding model which shows how class size works through interconnections with other processes and features in the classroom and identifies key pedagogical implications for teachers and schools.
- Class Size: What Research Says and What it Means for State Policy

Extended School Day

- Extending the School Day-Year: Proposals and Results This review provides a review of research on the feasibility of extending the school day/year
- Research Brief Extended School Day This review summarizes what the research says about extending the school day, either starting early or staying later.
- <u>Do Students Benefit from Longer School Days? Regression Discontinuity Evidence from Florida's</u>
 Additional Hour of Literacy Instruction

Extended School Year

- <u>Extended School Day-Year Programs: A Research Synthesis</u> This analysis reviews the data on two types
 of extended school programs--those that extend the number of hours per day students spend in school,
 and those that extend the school year.
- <u>Extended School Year</u> This Information Capsule addresses research conducted on extended school years and their impact on student achievement.

High Frequency Tutoring

- Accelerating Student Learning with High-Dosage Tutoring (brown.edu) Part of EdResearch's Design
 Principles series that outlines the evidence, design principles and considerations for high-frequency
 tutoring. Rigorous research provides strong evidence that high-dosage tutoring can produce large
 learning gains for a wide range of students, including those who have fallen behind academically.
- <u>Community Engagement in K-12 Tutoring Programs: A Research-Based Guide for Best Practices</u> This
 document provides evidence from researchers across disciplines and synthesizes a set of best practices
 in tutoring for use by community engagement practitioners.



Summer Programming

- Best Practices for Comprehensive Summer School Programs This report discusses best practices for summer learning and describes how districts structure summer enrichment, acceleration, and transition programs.
- <u>Effective Program Design for Elementary Summer Learning Programs</u> This report investigates the impact of summer programs on student learning outcomes, discusses the features of effective summer programs for elementary school students, and outlines strategies for evaluating summer programs.
- Getting to Work on Summer Learning Recommended Practices for Success This guidance is intended
 for school district leaders and their partners across the United States who are interested in launching or
 improving summer learning programs. In this summary version, the authors distill lessons about
 implementation gleaned from a six-year study of voluntary summer programs in the five urban districts
 participating in the National Summer Learning Project.
- <u>Summer Reading Camp Self-Study Guide</u> This guide is designed to facilitate self-studies of planning and
 implementation of summer reading camp programs for grade 3 students. It provides a template for data
 collection and guiding questions for discussion that may improve instruction and increase the number of
 students meeting the grade-level standard by the end of the summer reading camp.
- <u>Summer School Design and Evaluation Framework</u> This report reviews best practices in summer school
 program design and discusses how districts can leverage multiple research methodologies (e.g., data
 analyses, surveys, in-depth interviews, classroom observations, etc.) to monitor the implementation and
 evaluate the effects of their summer school programs.

Virtual Learning

- <u>Evaluation of Evidence-Based Practices in Online Learning</u> The goal of this study by the U.S. Department of Education is to provide policymakers, administrators and educators with research-based guidance about how to implement online learning for K–12 education and teacher preparation.
- Access to Algebra I=The Effects of Online Mathematics for Grade 8 Students This study tested the
 impact of offering an online Algebra I course on students' algebra achievement at the end of grade 8
 and their subsequent likelihood of participating in an advanced mathematics course sequence in high
 school.
- <u>Strategies for Virtual Learning Implementation</u> This report by Hanover Research examines best
 practices in planning and implementing virtual learning programs. The report reviews literature on
 strategies for implementing successful virtual learning programs and profiles four school districts with
 exemplary programs.