Henderson Independent School District
William E Wylie Elementary School
2023-2024 Campus Improvement Plan



Mission Statement

Preparing each student to reach their full potential by providing a safe place where students' needs are ackowledge, accepted, and met.

Vision

Every Decision, Every Day, Doing what is best for our KIDS!

Motto

#BEST@WES

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Student Achievement	4
School Culture and Climate	5
Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment	6
Priority Problem Statements	7
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	8
Goals	9
Goal 1: Students are on grade level in reading.	9
Goal 2: Students are on grade level in math.	11
Goal 3: Students graduate life and career ready.	13
Goal 4: Build an engaged, positive, and safe learning environment.	15

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Student Achievement

Student Achievement Summary

The campus will work towards ensuring that all students are reading on grade level according to the TEKS.

Student Achievement Strengths

- Implementing High Quality Instructional Materials: Amplify and Reading Horizons
- Cub Camp and STAAR Ready Tutorials

Problem Statements Identifying Student Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Based on EOY MAP data 54% of our students are projected to not be reading on grade level. **Root Cause:** Lack of research based instructional practices in Tier 1 reading instruction.

School Culture and Climate

School Culture and Climate Summary

The campus needs to establish explicit school-wide behavioral expectations and culture routines, along with proactive and responsive student support services.

School Culture and Climate Strengths

- Improved the discipline referral process to decrease the amount of unnecessary referrals and missed instructional time.
- Established campus-wide expectations for common areas. (Hallway, Cafeteria, Playground, Bathrooms)

Problem Statements Identifying School Culture and Climate Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The campus lacks a system of explicit school-wide behavioral expectations and culture routines. **Root Cause:** Teachers lack training on how to implement best practices for establishing and maintaining a productive classroom learning environment.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): The campus has not made promoting CCMR a priority. **Root Cause:** There is a lack of awareness of the importance in introducing this in early grades.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Summary

The campus needs to establish an effective MTSS process, so teachers are able to monitor and track students to improve tiered instruction and close learning gaps.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Strengths

- MTSS Team has been established, and initial training has been provided.
- Teachers are building capacity in disaggregating their data to improve instruction.

Problem Statements Identifying Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The campus is not meeting academic and behavior needs for ALL students. **Root Cause:** The lack of knowledge and training of the MTSS process.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: Based on EOY MAP data 54% of our students are projected to not be reading on grade level.

Root Cause 1: Lack of research based instructional practices in Tier 1 reading instruction.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 2: The campus lacks a system of explicit school-wide behavioral expectations and culture routines.

Root Cause 2: Teachers lack training on how to implement best practices for establishing and maintaining a productive classroom learning environment.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: School Culture and Climate

Problem Statement 3: The campus is not meeting academic and behavior needs for ALL students.

Root Cause 3: The lack of knowledge and training of the MTSS process.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 4: The campus has not made promoting CCMR a priority.

Root Cause 4: There is a lack of awareness of the importance in introducing this in early grades.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: School Culture and Climate

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data

Accountability Data

- Effective Schools Framework data
- RDA data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- · Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Running Records results
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- State-developed online interim assessments
- Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

Student Data: Student Groups

- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- · At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

· Discipline records

Employee Data

- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data

Support Systems and Other Data

• Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation

Goals

Goal 1: Students are on grade level in reading.

Performance Objective 1: Students meeting or exceeding projected growth on MAP in RLA grades 1-3 will increase by 10% from BOY 2023 to EOY 2024.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Assessment Data

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Teachers and campus leaders use a framework for instruction that contains research based instructional		Summative		
strategies, specifically explicit and systematic practices with foundational literacy skills, as well as practice with grade-level complex texts, text-based responses, and building knowledge and vocabulary to improve student performance using HQIM	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
such as Amplify, Reading Horizons and Heggerty.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student growth performance on assessment data.	50%			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Leadership Team and Teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
- Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 1				

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Students will be taught how to hold themselves accountable by setting, tracking and monitoring individual		Summative		
academic reading goals. Students will utilize at least one visible tracking artifact, which is reviewed and regularly updated.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student growth performance in reading.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Leadership Team and Teachers	25%			
Trai. I.	2570			
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
- Targeted Support Strategy				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 1: Based on EOY MAP data 54% of our students are projected to not be reading on grade level. **Root Cause**: Lack of research based instructional practices in Tier 1 reading instruction.

Goal 2: Students are on grade level in math.

Performance Objective 1: Students meeting or exceeding projected growth on MAP in math grades 1-3 will increase by 10% from BOY 2023 to EOY 2024.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Assessment Data

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Teachers will utilize HQIM such as Eureka Math and ST Math to provide explicit grade level instruction that	on that Formative Summative	Formative Sum		
contains research based instructional strategies.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student growth performance on assessment data.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Leadership Team and Teachers	60%			
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Students will be taught how to hold themselves accountable by setting, tracking, and monitoring individual	Formative			Summative
academic math goals. Students will utilize at least one visible tracking artifact, which is reviewed and regularly updated.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student growth performance in math.	360	9411	17141	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Leadership Team and Teachers	25%			
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Curriculum,	Instruction	and	Assessment
Culticulum	msu ucuvii.	anu.	A33C33IIICHU

Problem Statement 1: The campus is not meeting academic and behavior needs for ALL students. **Root Cause**: The lack of knowledge and training of the MTSS process.

Goal 3: Students graduate life and career ready.

Performance Objective 1: All students are introduced to the importance of being college, career, and/or military ready.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Xello usage data, track initiatives and events on campus

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Teachers will promote CCMR by sharing/displaying post secondary options, and promoting career	Formative Summ			Summative
opportunities.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in number of CCMR initiatives and events offered on the campus.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Leadership Team and Teachers	50%			
Title I:				
2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Connect high school to career and college				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: School Culture and Climate 2				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Students will utilize the Xello program on a bi-weekly basis to learn about a variety of career paths.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will explore and learn about a variety of careers they find interesting.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Leadership Team and Teachers Title I: 2.5, 2.6	25%			
- TEA Priorities:				
Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: School Culture and Climate 2				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

School Culture and Climate

Problem Statement 2: The campus has not made promoting CCMR a priority. Root Cause: There is a lack of awareness of the importance in introducing this in early grades.

Goal 4: Build an engaged, positive, and safe learning environment.

Performance Objective 1: Engage all staff in a proactive MTSS system to address both academic and behavior needs.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: MTSS Tracking Tool

Oct 25%	Formative Jan	Mar	Summative June	
	Jan	Mar	June	
25%				
25%				
Reviews				
Formative Sur			Summative	
Oct	Jan	Mar	June	
50%				
		Formative Oct Jan	Formative Oct Jan Mar	

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Teachers will review disaggregated data to inform instructional decisions to improve Tier 1 and Tier 2	Formative Sun			Summative
instruction.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Close the learning gaps, and increase assessment performance.				1
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Leadership Team and Teachers	50%			
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1				
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Campus Administrators will implement Behavior Brief meetings to take a proactive approach to teach explicit	Formative S			Summative
behavioral expectations for students who have been identified as Tier 2 and Tier 3.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in number of discipline referrals and increase student performance.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Leadership Team	25%			
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: School Culture and Climate 1				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	<u> </u>	1

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

School Culture and Climate

Problem Statement 1: The campus lacks a system of explicit school-wide behavioral expectations and culture routines. **Root Cause**: Teachers lack training on how to implement best practices for establishing and maintaining a productive classroom learning environment.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 1: The campus is not meeting academic and behavior needs for ALL students. Root Cause: The lack of knowledge and training of the MTSS process.